

MA 205/206 notes: Crash course on cohomology

Following Liu 5.2-3

Dan Abramovich

Brown University

April 22, 2018

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: sheaves and sections

- We are working with **schemes** X .
- The structure is governed by **sheaves** of abelian groups, such as \mathcal{O}_X .
- Most important are **Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules**.
- Particularly useful are **Quasi-coherent** sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.
- We want to understand their **sections**.
- For instance: we classified morphisms $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ through sections of an invertible sheaf.

Reminder: failure of right-exactness

- Recall the sheaf axiom $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$.
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X)$ exact. . .
- but right exactness fails in general:
- say $Y =$ two points in $X = \mathbb{P}^1$;
- then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$, but
- $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k^2 \rightarrow 0$ is not.

Reminder: failure of right-exactness

- Recall the sheaf axiom $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$.
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X)$ exact. . .
- but right exactness fails in general:
- say $Y =$ two points in $X = \mathbb{P}^1$;
- then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$, but
- $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k^2 \rightarrow 0$ is not.

Reminder: failure of right-exactness

- Recall the sheaf axiom $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$.
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X)$ exact. . .
- but right exactness fails in general:
- say $Y =$ two points in $X = \mathbb{P}^1$;
- then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$, but
- $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k^2 \rightarrow 0$ is not.

Reminder: failure of right-exactness

- Recall the sheaf axiom $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$.
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X)$ exact. . .
- but right exactness fails in general:
- say $Y =$ two points in $X = \mathbb{P}^1$;
- then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$, but
- $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k^2 \rightarrow 0$ is not.

Reminder: failure of right-exactness

- Recall the sheaf axiom $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$.
- If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(X)$ exact. . .
- but right exactness fails in general:
- say $Y =$ two points in $X = \mathbb{P}^1$;
- then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$, but
- $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow k^2 \rightarrow 0$ is not.

Comments on how this is resolved

- We'll follow Liu, following SERRE, *Faisceaux algébriques cohérents*, to resolve using Čech cohomology. This works for sections of quasi-coherent sheaves.
- Hartshorne follows GROTHENDIECK, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*¹, to resolve this using derived functors. This works in the context of left-exact additive functors on abelian categories with enough injective objects.
- An important modern approach uses derived categories (GELFAND–MANIN, WEIBEL), still in the additive realm.
- Homotopy theory has even loftier approaches (model categories, ...)

¹never do that to yourself!

Comments on how this is resolved

- We'll follow Liu, following SERRE, *Faisceaux algébriques cohérents*, to resolve using Čech cohomology. This works for sections of quasi-coherent sheaves.
- Hartshorne follows GROTHENDIECK, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*¹, to resolve this using derived functors. This works in the context of left-exact additive functors on abelian categories with enough injective objects.
- An important modern approach uses derived categories (GELFAND–MANIN, WEIBEL), still in the additive realm.
- Homotopy theory has even loftier approaches (model categories, ...)

¹never do that to yourself!

Comments on how this is resolved

- We'll follow Liu, following SERRE, *Faisceaux algébriques cohérents*, to resolve using Čech cohomology. This works for sections of quasi-coherent sheaves.
- Hartshorne follows GROTHENDIECK, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*¹, to resolve this using derived functors. This works in the context of left-exact additive functors on abelian categories with enough injective objects.
- An important modern approach uses derived categories (GELFAND–MANIN, WEIBEL), still in the additive realm.
- Homotopy theory has even loftier approaches (model categories, ...)

¹never do that to yourself!

Comments on how this is resolved

- We'll follow Liu, following SERRE, *Faisceaux algébriques cohérents*, to resolve using Čech cohomology. This works for sections of quasi-coherent sheaves.
- Hartshorne follows GROTHENDIECK, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*¹, to resolve this using derived functors. This works in the context of left-exact additive functors on abelian categories with enough injective objects.
- An important modern approach uses derived categories (GELFAND–MANIN, WEIBEL), still in the additive realm.
- Homotopy theory has even loftier approaches (model categories, ...)

¹never do that to yourself!

Overview of Čech cohomology of sheaves

- Given a covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}$ of X one defines a complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_0} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots$,
- where $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.
- For $f \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one defines

$$df = \sum_0^{p+1} (-1)^k f_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}}}.$$

- Exercise: $d^2 = 0$.
- Define $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ker}(d_p) / \mathfrak{I}(d_{p-1})$.

Proposition (5.2.6)

$$\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Overview of Čech cohomology of sheaves

- Given a covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}$ of X one defines a complex
$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_0} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots,$$
- where $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.
- For $f \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one defines

$$df = \sum_0^{p+1} (-1)^k f_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}}}.$$

- Exercise: $d^2 = 0$.
- Define $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ker}(d_p) / \mathfrak{I}(d_{p-1})$.

Proposition (5.2.6)

$$\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Overview of Čech cohomology of sheaves

- Given a covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}$ of X one defines a complex
$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_0} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots,$$
- where $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.
- For $f \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one defines

$$df = \sum_0^{p+1} (-1)^k f_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}}}.$$

- Exercise: $d^2 = 0$.
- Define $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ker}(d_p) / \mathfrak{I}(d_{p-1})$.

Proposition (5.2.6)

$$\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Overview of Čech cohomology of sheaves

- Given a covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}$ of X one defines a complex
$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_0} C^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d_1} \dots,$$
- where $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.
- For $f \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one defines

$$df = \sum_0^{p+1} (-1)^k f_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_k, \dots, i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}}}.$$

- Exercise: $d^2 = 0$.
- Define $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ker}(d_p) / \mathfrak{S}(d_{p-1})$.

Proposition (5.2.6)

$$\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Instead of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one can work instead with **alternating** chains $C'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ or with the direct summand $C''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.

Proposition

We have $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

This is proved by Serre using a homotopy of chain complexes.

Corollary

If \mathcal{U} contains n opens then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $p \geq n$.

Instead of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one can work instead with **alternating** chains $C'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ or with the direct summand $C''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.

Proposition

We have $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

This is proved by Serre using a homotopy of chain complexes.

Corollary

If \mathcal{U} contains n opens then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $p \geq n$.

Instead of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ one can work instead with **alternating** chains $C'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ or with the direct summand $C''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_p} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0, \dots, i_p})$.

Proposition

We have $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}'(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

This is proved by Serre using a homotopy of chain complexes.

Corollary

If \mathcal{U} contains n opens then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $p \geq n$.

- Example: Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1_A$ with the open sets $U_i = D_+(T_i)$.
- The Čech complex $C''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{O}_X is

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A[t] \oplus A[t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{d_0} A[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow 0 \cdots$$

- $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Ker}(d_0) = A$,
- $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Coker}(d_0) = 0$,
- and the rest is 0.

- Example: Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1_A$ with the open sets $U_i = D_+(T_i)$.
- The Čech complex $C''(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{O}_X is

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A[t] \oplus A[t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{d_0} A[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow 0 \dots$$

- $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Ker}(d_0) = A$,
- $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Coker}(d_0) = 0$,
- and the rest is 0.

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the Čech cohomology of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

- A refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a covering \mathcal{V} with a map $\sigma : J \rightarrow I$ such that $U_{\sigma(j)} \subset V_j$.
- Get a map $\sigma^* : C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ compatible with grading and differentials,
- giving $\sigma^* : \check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$.
- Serre shows this homomorphism is independent of σ .
- Two coverings are **equivalent** if each is a refinement of the other.
- Define

$$\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}),$$

the **Čech cohomology** of \mathcal{F} .

- For quasicompact spaces **finite** covers suffice. For schemes **affine** covers suffice.
- Read Theorem 5.2.12 on a criterion for $\check{H}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}(X, \mathcal{F})$ to be an isomorphism (Leray's theorem)

The long exact sequence

- The construction of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Hence $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Suppose now $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact,
- and suppose further
 $0 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$ exact.
- Then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exact.

- If further this holds for a cofinal family of coverings, then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exact.

The long exact sequence

- The construction of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Hence $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Suppose now $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact,
- **and suppose further**
 $0 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$ exact.
- Then

$$\overset{\partial}{\rightarrow} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \overset{\partial}{\rightarrow}$$

exat.

- If further this holds for a cofinal family of coverings, then

$$\overset{\partial}{\rightarrow} \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}'') \overset{\partial}{\rightarrow}$$

exat.

The long exact sequence

- The construction of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Hence $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Suppose now $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact,
- **and suppose further**
 $0 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$ exact.
- Then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exact.

- If further this holds for a cofinal family of coverings, then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exact.

The long exact sequence

- The construction of $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ and $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Hence $\check{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is functorial in \mathcal{F} .
- Suppose now $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact,
- **and suppose further**
 $0 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \rightarrow 0$ exact.
- Then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exat.

- If further this holds for a cofinal family of coverings, then

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}'') \xrightarrow{\partial}$$

exat.

The shorter exact sequence

In general we only have

Proposition (2.15)

Suppose $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact. Then there is a functorial $\partial : \mathcal{F}''(X) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}')$ with exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(x) \\ \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}'') \end{aligned}$$

In fact in general one uses other cohomology constructions.

The shorter exact sequence

In general we only have

Proposition (2.15)

Suppose $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact. Then there is a functorial $\partial : \mathcal{F}''(X) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}')$ with exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(x) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}'') \end{aligned}$$

In fact in general one uses other cohomology constructions.

Lemma

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} a finite covering by principal opens. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

- When we proved Proposition 5.1.8, I showed this for you as a Lemma in case $p = 1$. The proof is “the same”, with slightly more horrendous indices.
- This boils down to constructing a homotopy using a “partition of unity” $\sum h_i g_i^m = 1$, where $U_i = D(g_i)$.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent. Then $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

Indeed the family of finite coverings by principal opens is cofinal.

Lemma

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} a finite covering by principal opens. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

- When we proved Proposition 5.1.8, I showed this for you as a Lemma in case $p = 1$. The proof is “the same”, with slightly more horrendous indices.
- This boils down to constructing a homotopy using a “partition of unity” $\sum h_i g_i^m = 1$, where $U_i = D(g_i)$.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent. Then $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

Indeed the family of finite coverings by principal opens is cofinal.

Lemma

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} a finite covering by principal opens. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

- When we proved Proposition 5.1.8, I showed this for you as a Lemma in case $p = 1$. The proof is “the same”, with slightly more horrendous indices.
- This boils down to constructing a homotopy using a “partition of unity” $\sum h_i g_i^m = 1$, where $U_i = D(g_i)$.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent. Then $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

Indeed the family of finite coverings by principal opens is cofinal.

Lemma

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} a finite covering by principal opens. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

- When we proved Proposition 5.1.8, I showed this for you as a Lemma in case $p = 1$. The proof is “the same”, with slightly more horrendous indices.
- This boils down to constructing a homotopy using a “partition of unity” $\sum h_i g_i^m = 1$, where $U_i = D(g_i)$.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be affine, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent. Then $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$.

Indeed the family of finite coverings by principal opens is cofinal.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be separated, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} affine covering. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

- This implies that $\check{H}^p(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- This is proven in the book as a consequence of Leray's acyclicity, which is not proven there.
- One can prove directly using the total complex of a double complex
- One deduces that $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be separated, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} affine covering. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

- This implies that $\check{H}^p(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- This is proven in the book as a consequence of Leray's acyclicity, which is not proven there.
- One can prove directly using the total complex of a double complex
- One deduces that $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be separated, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} affine covering. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

- This implies that $\check{H}^p(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- This is proven in the book as a consequence of Leray's acyclicity, which is not proven there.
- One can prove directly using the total complex of a double complex
- One deduces that $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be separated, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} affine covering. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

- This implies that $\check{H}^p(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- This is proven in the book as a consequence of Leray's acyclicity, which is not proven there.
- One can prove directly using the total complex of a double complex
- One deduces that $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem (2.18)

Let X be separated, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent, \mathcal{U} affine covering. Then $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

- This implies that $\check{H}^p(\mathbb{P}_A^1, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for all $p > 0$.
- This is proven in the book as a consequence of Leray's acyclicity, which is not proven there.
- One can prove directly using the total complex of a double complex
- One deduces that $\check{H}^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^p(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism.

The long exact sequence

Corollary

Let X be separated, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ exact, then we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(x) \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}'') \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^2(X, \mathcal{F}'') \\ \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Suppose X either noetherian or separated and quasicompact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X affine.
- (ii) $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} and $p > 0$.
- (iii) $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} .

- Let $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$. Need to show $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ an isomorphism.
- For $f \in A$ we have $X_f = \phi^{-1}D(f)$ and by an old result $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = A[f^{-1}]$.
- If X_f affine then $\phi_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow D(f)$ an isomorphism,
- so it suffices to show (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f , and (2) ϕ surjective.

Theorem

Suppose X either notherian or separated and quasicompact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X affine.
- (ii) $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} and $p > 0$.
- (iii) $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} .

- Let $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$. Need to show $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ an isomorphism.
- For $f \in A$ we have $X_f = \phi^{-1}D(f)$ and by an old result $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = A[f^{-1}]$.
- If X_f affine then $\phi_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow D(f)$ an isomorphism,
- so it suffices to show (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f , and (2) ϕ surjective.

Theorem

Suppose X either noetherian or separated and quasicompact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X affine.
- (ii) $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} and $p > 0$.
- (iii) $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} .

- Let $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$. Need to show $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ an isomorphism.
- For $f \in A$ we have $X_f = \phi^{-1}D(f)$ and by an old result $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = A[f^{-1}]$.
- If X_f affine then $\phi_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow D(f)$ an isomorphism,
- so it suffices to show (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f , and (2) ϕ surjective.

Theorem

Suppose X either notherian or separated and quasicompact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X affine.
- (ii) $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} and $p > 0$.
- (iii) $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} .

- Let $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$. Need to show $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ an isomorphism.
- For $f \in A$ we have $X_f = \phi^{-1}D(f)$ and by an old result $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = A[f^{-1}]$.
- If X_f affine then $\phi_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow D(f)$ an isomorphism,
- so it suffices to show (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f , and (2) ϕ surjective.

Theorem

Suppose X either noetherian or separated and quasicompact. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X affine.
- (ii) $\check{H}^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} and $p > 0$.
- (iii) $\check{H}^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for every quasicoherent \mathcal{F} .

- Let $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$. Need to show $\phi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ an isomorphism.
- For $f \in A$ we have $X_f = \phi^{-1}D(f)$ and by an old result $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f) = A[f^{-1}]$.
- If X_f affine then $\phi_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow D(f)$ an isomorphism,
- so it suffices to show (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f , and (2) ϕ surjective.

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (1) each $x \in X$ lies in an affine X_f

- The closure $\overline{\{x\}}$ is quasicompact, hence has a closed point;
- might as well assume x closed.
- Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_{\{x\}}$. Let $U \ni x$ be an affine neighborhood. Let $J = \mathcal{I}_{X \setminus U}$.
- $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}/\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.
- The latter is a skyscraper with fiber $k(x)$ at x .
- By assumption $H^1(X, \mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}) = 0$,
- and by the general exact sequence there is $f \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$.
- Note that $X_f = D_U(f)$ is an affine neighborhood of x . ♠

Serre's criterion, (2) ϕ surjective.

- Take finitely many f_i so that $X = \cup X_{f_i}$.
- Need to show $A = \cup X_{f_i}$, namely $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (1)$.
- Consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is an **exact** sequence of **quasicoherent** sheaves.
- Since $H^1(X, \text{Ker}\psi) = 0$ we have $A^n \rightarrow A$ surjective, as needed!♠

Serre's criterion, (2) ϕ surjective.

- Take finitely many f_i so that $X = \cup X_{f_i}$.
- Need to show $A = \cup X_{f_i}$, namely $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (1)$.
- Consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is an **exact** sequence of **quasicoherent** sheaves.
- Since $H^1(X, \text{Ker}\psi) = 0$ we have $A^n \rightarrow A$ surjective, as needed!♠

Serre's criterion, (2) ϕ surjective.

- Take finitely many f_i so that $X = \cup X_{f_i}$.
- Need to show $A = \cup X_{f_i}$, namely $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (1)$.
- Consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is an **exact** sequence of **quasicoherent** sheaves.
- Since $H^1(X, \text{Ker}\psi) = 0$ we have $A^n \rightarrow A$ surjective, as needed!♠

Serre's criterion, (2) ϕ surjective.

- Take finitely many f_i so that $X = \cup X_{f_i}$.
- Need to show $A = \cup X_{f_i}$, namely $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (1)$.
- Consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is an **exact** sequence of **quasicoherent** sheaves.
- Since $H^1(X, \text{Ker}\psi) = 0$ we have $A^n \rightarrow A$ surjective, as needed!♠

Serre's criterion, (2) ϕ surjective.

- Take finitely many f_i so that $X = \cup X_{f_i}$.
- Need to show $A = \cup X_{f_i}$, namely $(f_1, \dots, f_m) = (1)$.
- Consider $\psi : \mathcal{O}_X^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\psi(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is an **exact** sequence of **quasicoherent** sheaves.
- Since $H^1(X, \text{Ker}\psi) = 0$ we have $A^n \rightarrow A$ surjective, as needed!♠

Vanishing above dimension

Recall: if Y projective over noetherian A and Z closed, then there is homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{I}_Z$ not vanishing at any generic point of $Y \setminus Z$.

Write d for the maximal dimension of a fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Proposition

If X quasiprojective over Noetherian A there is a covering of X by $d + 1$ affines. In particular $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for \mathcal{F} quasicoherent and $p > d$.

- Write $Y = \bar{X}$ and $Z = Y \setminus X$.
- Write $Y_1 = V(f)$, $X_1 = X \cap Y_1$, $Z_1 = Z \cap Y_1$.
- We have by induction d affines covering X_1 ,
- so together with $D_+(f)$ they give d affines.

Vanishing above dimension

Recall: if Y projective over noetherian A and Z closed, then there is homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{I}_Z$ not vanishing at any generic point of $Y \setminus Z$.

Write d for the maximal dimension of a fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Proposition

If X quasiprojective over Noetherian A there is a covering of X by $d + 1$ affines. In particular $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for \mathcal{F} quasicoherent and $p > d$.

- Write $Y = \bar{X}$ and $Z = Y \setminus X$.
- Write $Y_1 = V(f)$, $X_1 = X \cap Y_1$, $Z_1 = Z \cap Y_1$.
- We have by induction d affines covering X_1 ,
- so together with $D_+(f)$ they give d affines.

Vanishing above dimension

Recall: if Y projective over noetherian A and Z closed, then there is homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{I}_Z$ not vanishing at any generic point of $Y \setminus Z$.

Write d for the maximal dimension of a fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Proposition

If X quasiprojective over Noetherian A there is a covering of X by $d + 1$ affines. In particular $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for \mathcal{F} quasicoherent and $p > d$.

- Write $Y = \bar{X}$ and $Z = Y \setminus X$.
- Write $Y_1 = V(f)$, $X_1 = X \cap Y_1$, $Z_1 = Z \cap Y_1$.
- We have by induction d affines covering X_1 ,
- so together with $D_+(f)$ they give d affines.

Vanishing above dimension

Recall: if Y projective over noetherian A and Z closed, then there is homogeneous $f \in \mathcal{I}_Z$ not vanishing at any generic point of $Y \setminus Z$.

Write d for the maximal dimension of a fiber of $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$.

Proposition

If X quasiprojective over Noetherian A there is a covering of X by $d + 1$ affines. In particular $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for \mathcal{F} quasicoherent and $p > d$.

- Write $Y = \bar{X}$ and $Z = Y \setminus X$.
- Write $Y_1 = V(f)$, $X_1 = X \cap Y_1$, $Z_1 = Z \cap Y_1$.
- We have by induction d affines covering X_1 ,
- so together with $D_+(f)$ they give d affines.

Relative cohomology: affine case

We say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is quasicompact if preimage of affine open is quasicompact.

Lemma

Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ be separated and quasicompact, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent on X , and M an A -module. Denote $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A M = \mathcal{F} \otimes f^ \tilde{M}$. Then there is a canonical morphism $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A M \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A M)$, which is an isomorphism when M is flat.*

- Taking a finite affine covering all the intersections are affine.
- One verifies term by term that $C^p(U, \mathcal{F}) \otimes M = C^p(U, \mathcal{F} \otimes M)$.
- If K^\bullet is a complex of A -modules there is a canonical map $h^p(K^\bullet) \otimes M \rightarrow h^p(K^\bullet \otimes M)$, which is isomorphic if M is flat, as needed.

Corollary

Assume further B is a flat A -algebra, and $\rho : X_B \rightarrow X$ the base change. Then $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \simeq H^p(X_B, \rho^* \mathcal{F})$.

One notes that $C(\mathcal{U}_B, \rho^* \mathcal{F}) = C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$.

Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ separated and quasicompact, \mathcal{F} quasicoherent on X . For $V \subset Y$ affine open and $p \geq 0$ define $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}(V) := H^p(f^{-1}V, \mathcal{F})$.

Proposition

This is a quasicoherent sheaf on Y .

We call it the p -th **higher direct image sheaf**.

If $W \subset V$ principal open we have a homomorphism

$$H^p(f^{-1}V, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \mathcal{O}(W) \rightarrow H^p(f^{-1}W, \mathcal{F}),$$

which is an isomorphism since $\mathcal{O}(W)$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}(V)$ algebra.

We say \mathcal{F} is flat at x if \mathcal{F}_x is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ we say that \mathcal{F} is flat over Y at x if \mathcal{F}_x is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -module.

We say \mathcal{F} is flat over Y if it is flat over Y at all $x \in X$.

Lemma

Assume \mathcal{F} quasicohherent. Then \mathcal{F} is flat over X if and only if for all affine opens $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module.

If furthermore X locally noetherian and \mathcal{F} coherent, then \mathcal{F} is flat over X if and only if \mathcal{F} is locally free.

A module is flat if and only if all its localizations are. A finite module over a noetherian local ring is flat if and only if it is free.

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be separated and quasicompact, \mathcal{F} quasicohherent on X and \mathcal{G} quasicohherent on Y . Then there is a canonical homomorphism $(R^p f_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow R^p f_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{G})$ which is an isomorphism whenever \mathcal{G} is flat over Y .

- If \mathcal{G} is flat, this homomorphism is called “flat base change”.
- For a morphism $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ with pullback $g' : X' \rightarrow X$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ this gives $g^*(R^p f_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R^p f'_*(g'^* \mathcal{F})$, an isomorphism if g is flat.
- To prove let $V \subset Y$ be affine.
- $LHS = H^p(f^{-1}V, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \mathcal{G}(V)$,
- $RHS = H^p(f^{-1}V, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \mathcal{G}(V))$.
- This was done under “affine case”.

Vanishing above fiber dimension

Say $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a quasiprojective morphism, Y locally noetherian.
Write $y = \max_{y \in Y} \dim X_y$.

Proposition

If \mathcal{F} quasicohherent on X then $R^p f_ \mathcal{F} = 0$ whenever $p > r$.*

Proof: pass to affines, where it was done.

Proposition

Say $B = A[X_0, \dots, X_d]$ and $X = \text{Proj } B$. Then

- (a) $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(n)) = B_n$,
- (b) $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}(n)) = 0$ for $0 < i < d$
- (c) $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}(n)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(-n - d - 1))^\vee$.

(a) has been proven. (c) is an exercise assigned. (b) can be found in Hartshorne or FAC.

Theorem

If A noetherian, X/A projective, \mathcal{L} ample, \mathcal{F} *coherent* then

- $H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finitely generated A -module for all p .
- For large n and any $p > 0$ we have $H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(n)) = 0$.

- Say \mathcal{L}^k is very ample giving an embedding $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d$.
- $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^p(\mathbb{P}_A^d, f_*\mathcal{F})$ by an exercise you are doing for Friday. So may assume $X = \mathbb{P}_A^d$.
- Proving the result for $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^j, 0 \leq j < r$ shows that it is enough to take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Serre vanishing - completed

- $H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finitely generated A -module for all p .
- For large n and any $p > 0$ we have $H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}(n)) = 0$.
- We know that $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p > d$. Apply descending induction.
- Choose an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$. We get $H^p(\mathcal{O}(m)^r) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\mathcal{G})$ exact.
- We know the result for $\mathcal{O}(m)^r$ and for H^{p+1} , and it follows for $H^p(\mathcal{F})$

Corollary

Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism, Y noetherian, \mathcal{F} coherent. Then $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$ is coherent.

Theorem

For a proper morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ and invertible \mathcal{L} the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{L} is ample on X
- for any coherent \mathcal{F} , for all p , for large enough n we have $H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0$.
- For any ideal sheaf \mathcal{J} , for large enough n we have $H^p(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0$.

We proved (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (iii).