

MA 205 notes: Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

Following Liu 5.1

Dan Abramovich

Brown University

Today

- A *scheme* is a (locally) ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) which has an open covering $X = \bigcup \text{Spec } A_\alpha$. A morphism of schemes is a morphism of the corresponding **locally** ringed spaces.
- You learned a whole lot about schemes without considering sheaves other than \mathcal{O}_X .
- You can imagine that other sheaves might be of interest. For instance, the ideal \mathcal{I}_Y of a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ is naturally a sheaf which holds the key to understanding Y .
- Differential forms must say something about a scheme just like in the theory of manifolds.
- We have come to a point where we have to use them.

Definition

An \mathcal{O}_X -module is a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{F} with a bilinear map $\mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with the usual module axioms. *

Note: you know what the product of sheaves is.

Note: this is the same as an \mathcal{O}_X -module in the category of sheaves of abelian groups. So these form a category in the natural way!

Note: One can define direct products, more generally limits, of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules in the obvious manner. Direct sums also work.

Note: The tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}$ is the **sheaf associated** to the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{G}(U)$. Same care is needed with colimits.

Note: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is the **sheaf** given by $U \mapsto \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_X|_U}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$.

Global generation

$\mathcal{F}(U)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module and \mathcal{F}_x is an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module. We have an $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -module homomorphism $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$.

Definition

\mathcal{F} is **globally generated*** if the image of $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ generates \mathcal{F}_x as an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -module. In other words, $\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ is surjective.

For instance \mathcal{O}_X is globally generated, any $\bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X$ or its quotient is also.

Lemma (5.1.3)

\mathcal{F} is globally generated if and only if there is an epimorphism $\bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Indeed if \mathcal{F} is globally generated the homomorphism $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{F}(X)} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ sending the basis element corresponding to s to $s|_U$ is surjective. The other direction is the remark above.

Definition

A sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is **quasi-coherent** if every $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ and an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X|_U \rightarrow \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow 0.$$

This generality is important for instance in complex analysis. In algebraic geometry there is a wonderful coincidence.*

For a module M over a ring A we define a natural sheaf \tilde{M} . The main result is

Theorem

*A sheaf \mathcal{F} is quasicohherent if and only if for every affine open $U = \text{Spec } A \subset X$ there is an A -module M such that $\mathcal{F}|_U \simeq \tilde{M}$.**
We get an equivalence $A\text{-mod} \simeq \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec } A)$.

Sheaves associated to modules

- Let $X = \text{Spec } A$ and M an A -module. We define a sheaf \tilde{M} by specifying it on principal opens: $\tilde{M}(D(f)) := M[f^{-1}]$.
- One needs to check that this satisfies the \mathcal{B} -sheaf axiom. This turns out to require exactly the same proof as for \mathcal{O}_X , using the “partition of unity” $\sum a_i f_i^\ell = 1$ whenever $\cup D(f_i) = X$.*
- Consequently $\tilde{M}_p = M_p$ and \tilde{M} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module.
- One has a functor $M \mapsto \tilde{M}$, which respects direct sums since localization does: $\widetilde{\bigoplus M_i} = \bigoplus \tilde{M}_i$.

Lemma

$M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ exact if and only if $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ exact.

Indeed $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$ exact if and only if $M_p \rightarrow N_p \rightarrow L_p$ exact $\forall p$, if and only if $\tilde{M}_p \rightarrow \tilde{N}_p \rightarrow \tilde{L}_p$ exact $\forall p$, if and only if $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ exact.

So both $M \mapsto \tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M} \mapsto \tilde{M}(X) = M$ are exact functors!

\tilde{M} is quasicoherent, and a converse

Proposition

\tilde{M} is quasicoherent

Take a presentation $\bigoplus_J A \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. By compatibility with direct sums and exactness it induces a presentation

$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0$, as needed. ♠

Note: For any sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on an affine X there is a canonical homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}(X)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition

Suppose X affine and $\bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ a presentation. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}(X)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism.

Write $M = \text{Im}(\alpha(X))$. So $\bigoplus_J A \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and so $\bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0$ exact, hence $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ an isomorphism, and $M = \mathcal{F}(X)$, as needed. ♠

The proposition implies that \mathcal{F} is quasicoherent if and only if there is **some** covering by $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ with $\mathcal{F}_{U_i} = \widetilde{M}_i$.

Proposition (1.6**)

Assume X is either Noetherian or separated and quasicompact, \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent, and $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(X)[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_f)$ is an isomorphism.*

- Given the proposition, take any affine open $U \subset X$, for which the proposition applies;
- hence $\mathcal{F}(U)[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D(f))$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$.
- Since these form a basis $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}(U)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ is an isomorphism, and the theorem follows. ♠

Proof of the proposition

- To prove the proposition cover $X = \bigcup_{\text{finite}} U_i$ where $\mathcal{F}_{U_i} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(U_i)}$.
- Write $V_i = X_f \cap U_i = D(f|_{U_i})$, which are also affine, so $\mathcal{F}(U_i)[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ is an isomorphism.

- This means that in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X)[f^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(U_i)[f^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)[f^{-1}] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X_f) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(V_i) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus \mathcal{F}(V_i \cap V_j) \end{array}$$

the arrow β is an isomorphism.

- It follows that $\mathcal{F}(X)[f^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_f)$ is injective.
- The assumption propagates from X to opens such as $U_i \cap U_j$, so the right arrow is injective too;
- by the Snake Lemma the left arrow is surjective, hence an isomorphism ♠

A surprisingly useful result (and vanishing of \check{H}^1)

Proposition

Suppose X **affine** and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with \mathcal{F} **quasicoherent**. Then $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(X) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

- We need to take $s \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ and lift it to $\mathcal{G}(X)$.
- By definition of surjectivity we can lift to $t_i \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$ with $U_i = D(f_i)$ principal opens.
- The difference $t_j - t_i \in \mathcal{G}(U_{ij})$ is $v_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{ij})$, and these satisfy the **cocycle condition** $v_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} - v_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} + v_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} = 0$.

Lemma

There are $w_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ so that $v_{ij} = w_j - w_i$.

- With the lemma the elements $t'_i := t_i - w_i \in \mathcal{G}(U_i)$ have the property $t'_i - t'_j = 0$, hence define a section $t' \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ mapping to s , implying the proposition.

Proof that $\check{H}^1(\text{quasicoherent}) = 0$ on affine

Lemma

There are $w_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ so that $v_{ij} = w_j - w_i$.*

- Writing $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$ let $v_{ij} = m_{ij}/(f_i f_j)^r$, with $m_{ij} \in M$, for sufficiently large r good for all ij .
- The cocycle condition means that for all a, i, j we have $m_{ai} f_j^r - m_{aj} f_i^r + m_{ij} f_a^r = 0 \in M[(f_a f_i f_j)^{-1}]$, so for large ℓ we have $f_a^\ell (m_{ai} f_j^r - m_{aj} f_i^r + m_{ij} f_a^r) = 0 \in M[(f_i f_j)^{-1}]$
- Write $\sum h_a f_a^{\ell+r} = 1$.
- Define $w_i = \sum_a h_a f_a^\ell (m_{ai} / f_i^r) \in M[f_i^{-1}]$. Now*

$$\begin{aligned}(w_j - w_i)|_{U_{ij}} &= \sum_a h_a f_a^\ell (m_{aj} f_i^r - m_{ai} f_j^r) / (f_i f_j)^r \\ &= \sum_a h_a f_a^\ell (m_{ij} f_a^r) / (f_i f_j)^r = m_{ij} / (f_i f_j)^r = v_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

as needed. ♠

Definition

\mathcal{F} is (locally) finitely generated if for all $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ and epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_U^m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$. \mathcal{F} is **coherent** if further for any* $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_U^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ also $\ker \alpha$ is finitely generated.

This is absolutely crucial for complex analytic spaces, but again in algebraic geometry it is well-behaved, at least for locally noetherian schemes.

Proposition

Let X be a locally noetherian scheme. Then a quasi-coherent \mathcal{F} is coherent if and only if it is locally finitely generated, if and only if $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for every affine open U .

Proof of proposition

- Coherent implies locally finitely generated by definition.
- If \mathcal{F} is locally finitely generated and U affine, we can find a finite covering $U = \cup U_i$ by principal opens and epimorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{m_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$.
- By quasi-coherence we have $\mathcal{O}_{U_i}^{m_i}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ surjective, and $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \mathcal{O}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ an isomorphism.
- Find a finitely generated submodule $M \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \mathcal{O}(U_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ surjective for all i .
- Now $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_U$ surjective so $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is finitely generated.
- We may take $M = \mathcal{O}(U)^m$.
- Now let $\mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a homomorphism on some affine. It is determined by $A^n \rightarrow M$. Since A noetherian the kernel is also finitely generated, as needed.

Basic properties

- Quasi-coherence is preserved by direct sums. Local finite generation preserved by finite direct sums.
- If \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are quasi-coherent then $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}$ is quasi-coherent, with module $\mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)} \mathcal{G}(U)$ on any affine open.
- The kernel and cokernel of a homomorphism of quasi-coherent sheaves is quasi-coherent. Same for coherent on a locally Noetherian scheme.
- An extension of quasi-coherent sheaves is quasi-coherent. Same for coherent on a locally Noetherian scheme.

Indeed the useful result gives an exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U) \rightarrow 0$, so a diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(U)} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{G}(U)} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{H}(U)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Pull back and push forward

- Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the structure arrow $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is equivalent to $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.
- Define $f^*\mathcal{G} := f^{-1}\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$.
- Note $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$ and f^* commutes with direct sums.

Properties:

- $f^*\mathcal{G}_x = \mathcal{G}_{f(x)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.
- If $X = \text{Spec } B$, $Y = \text{Spec } A$, $\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{M}$ affine then $f^*\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{M \otimes_A B}$.
- If \mathcal{G} quasi-coherent then $f^*\mathcal{G}$ quasi-coherent.

The first follows from $f^{-1}\mathcal{G}_x = \mathcal{G}_{f(x)}$.

Fix presentation $\bigoplus_I A \rightarrow \bigoplus_J A \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ giving presentation $\bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$. Direct sums and right exactness give presentation $\bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand we also have presentation $\bigoplus_I B \rightarrow \bigoplus_J B \rightarrow M \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$ giving $\bigoplus_I \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_J \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \widetilde{M \otimes_A B} \rightarrow 0$. This gives an isomorphism $\widetilde{M \otimes_A B} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{G}$.

Properties of pushforward

- Assume either X noetherian or f separated and quasi-compact. If \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent then $f_*\mathcal{F}$ quasi-coherent.
- If f finite and \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent and L.F.G then $f_*\mathcal{F}$ quasi-coherent and L.F.G.

We may assume Y affine, so X either noetherian or separated and quasi-compact.

- We want to show $f_*\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(X)}$. Enough to evaluate $f_*\mathcal{F}(D(g))$. Write $g' = f^*g$. $f_*\mathcal{F}(D(g)) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}D(g)) = \mathcal{F}(X_{g'}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(X)[g'^{-1}] = \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_A A[g^{-1}]$, as needed.
- f finite implies affine, so separated and quasi-compact, so $f_*\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(X)}$. Now $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ so finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$.

Proposition

The correspondence $\{Z \subset X\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{Ker } i^\#\}$ is an order-reversing 1-1 correspondence between closed subschemes and quasi-coherent ideal sheaves.

We may assume $X = \text{Spec } A$ affine. There is already a correspondence between sheaves of ideals and closed ringed subspaces.

If $Z = V(I)$ is a closed subscheme then it was shown that $\text{Ker } i^\#(D(g)) = I[g^{-1}]$ so $\text{Ker } i^\# = \tilde{I}$ is quasi-coherent. If $\tilde{I} := \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ a quasi-coherent ideal sheaf then it was shown that the ringed subspace $V(\mathcal{I})$ is the closed subscheme $\text{Spec } A/I$.

Sheaf associated to a graded module

- Let $B = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} B_n$ be a graded ring, $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ a graded module.
- For a homogeneous $f \in B_+$ one defined an affine open $D_+(f) \subset X := \text{Proj } B$ with ring $B_{(f)} = B[f^{-1}]_0$, the elements of degree 0 in the \mathbb{Z} -graded ring $B[f^{-1}]$.
- Define $M_{(f)} = M[f^{-1}]_0$,* the elements of degree 0 in the graded $B[f^{-1}]$ -module $M[f^{-1}]$, namely $M_{(f)} = \{mf^{-d} \mid m \in M_{d \deg f}, d \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proposition

There exists a unique quasi-coherent sheaf \widetilde{M} on X such that $\widetilde{M}|_{D_+(f)} = \widetilde{M_{(f)}}$. For $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj } B$ we have $\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} = (M_{\mathfrak{p}})_0$.

For this note that $M_{(fg)} = M_{(f)}[(g^{\deg f} / f^{\deg g})^{-1}]$ or repeat the argument of \mathcal{O}_X .

This is **not** an equivalence.

The twisting sheaf and twists of sheaves

- Define a graded module $B(n)$ by $B(n)_d = B_{n+d}$. Denote $\mathcal{O}_X(n) := \widetilde{B(n)}$.
- If $f \in B_1$ then $B(n)_{(f)} = f^n B_{(f)}$. So $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(f)} = (\mathcal{O}_X|_{D_+(f)})f^n$.
- We have $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) = \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is called the **twisting sheaf**.
- For a scheme Y one has a canonical morphism $f : \mathbb{P}_Y^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d$. One defines $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Y^d}(n) = f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^d}(n)$.
- It is an exercise (5.1.20) to show that when $Y = \text{Spec } A$ affine then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Y^d}(n)$ coincides with $A[\widetilde{T_0, \dots, T_d}](1)$.

Definition

Let $X = \mathbb{P}_A^n$, let \mathcal{F} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. The **n -th twist** of \mathcal{F} is $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$.

For an immersion* $Y \hookrightarrow X$ write $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \iota^* \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and for a quasi-coherent \mathcal{F} write $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(n)$.

Proposition (1.22)

Let $B = A[T_0, \dots, T_d]$, $d \geq 1$ and $X = \text{Proj } B$. Then $(\mathcal{O}_X(n))(X) = B_n$, so $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{O}_X(n))(X) = B$.

- A section of $(\mathcal{O}_X(n))(X)$ restricts to sections of $(\mathcal{O}_X(n))(D_+(T_i))$ which agree on intersections.
- These are submodules of homogeneous elements of $A[T_0, \dots, T_d, T_0^{-1}, \dots, T_n^{-1}]$ where only T_i can be in the denominator.
- Since $d > 0$ this means that no T_i can be in the denominator, giving a homogeneous polynomial of degree n .

Note that if $d = 0$ one gets $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{O}_X(n))(X) = B[T_0^{-1}]$

- We say that an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{L} is **invertible** if every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $x \in U \subset X$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_X|_U$.
- Since \mathbb{P}_Y^n is covered by $D_+(x_0)$ which have degree 1, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_Y^d}(n)$ is invertible.
- If \mathcal{L} invertible and $s \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ one defines an open $X_s = \{x \in X : \mathcal{L}_x = \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cdot s_x\} \subset X$.
- It generalizes X_f when $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

Proposition (• 1.25)

Let X be either noetherian or separated and quasicompact, \mathcal{L} invertible, \mathcal{F} quasi-coherent. Fix $s \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.

- (1) Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $f|_{X_s} = 0$. Then there is $n \geq 0$ such that $fs^n = 0 \in \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n$.
- (2) Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(X_s)$, Then there is $n \geq 0$ and $f \in (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n)(X)$ such that $f|_{X_s} = gs^n$.

Proof of the proposition, (1)

Let X_i be finitely many opens covering X with isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$, and $t_i = \phi_i(s)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ with $f|_{X_s} = 0$. Then there is $n \geq 0$ such that $fs^n = 0 \in \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n$.

- As $X_i \cap X_s = (X_i)_{t_i}$ we can use Proposition 1.6.
- So there is n such that $fs^n|_{X_i} = ft_i^n = 0$, for all i .
- By the sheaf axiom $fs^n = 0$ ♠

Proof of the proposition, (2)

Let $g \in \mathcal{F}(X_s)$, Then there is $n \geq 0$ and $f \in (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n)(X)$ such that $f|_{X_s} = gs^n$.

- By 1.6 there is k and $h_i \in (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}^n)(X_i)$ such that $gs^k|_{(X_i)_s} = gt_i^k = h_i|_{(X_i)_s}$, for all i .
- Note that $(h_i - h_j)|_{(X_{ij})_s} = 0$, and the assumption propagates to the opens X_{ij} .
- So by (1) there is m so that $(h_i s^m - h_j s^m)|_{X_{ij}} = 0$ for all i, j .
- By the sheaf axiom there is f so that $f|_{X_i} = h_i s^m$ as needed. ♠

Serre's eventual global generation

For an immersion $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d$ we wrote $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^d}(1)$ and for a quasi-coherent \mathcal{F} we wrote $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_Y(n)$.

Theorem

Say X projective over A and \mathcal{F} locally finitely generated quasi-coherent. There exists n_0 so that for all $n \geq n_0$ the sheaf $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated.

- By assumption there is $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d$.
- There is a nice exercise (5.1.6) showing $(\iota_* \mathcal{F})(n) = \iota_*(\mathcal{F}(n))$.
- Since $\iota_*(\mathcal{F}(n))(\mathbb{P}_A^d) = (\mathcal{F}(n))(X)$, and since $\iota_*(\mathcal{F}(n))_{f(x)} = (\mathcal{F}(n))_x$ we may and do replace X by \mathbb{P}_A^d .
- For each i we have $\mathcal{F}(D_+(T_i))$, and thus $\mathcal{F}|_{D_+(T_i)}$, generated by finitely many s_{ij} . Thus $\mathcal{F}(n)|_{D_+(T_i)}$ is generated by $s_{ij} T_i^n$.
- By the proposition there is n such that $s_{ij} T_i^n = u_{ij}|_{D_+(T_i)}$, with $u_{ij} \in (\mathcal{F}(n))(X)$, for all i, j , as needed. ♠

Corollary

Again X projective over A and \mathcal{F} locally finitely generated quasi-coherent. There is m and an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_X^r(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- Indeed for any n in the theorem take an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_X^r \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n)$.
- Taking $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-n)$ get an epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_X^r(-n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$,
- so $m = -n$ works.

- A quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\text{Spec } A$ satisfies $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F})}$.
- Suppose instead $X = \text{Proj } B$ with $B = A[T_0, \dots, T_d]$. The A -module $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathcal{F}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is a **graded** B -module via $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) \otimes_Z \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(m)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n+m))$.

Lemma

we have an isomorphism $\widetilde{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

- **Note** that in general $M \rightarrow \Gamma_\bullet(\widetilde{M})$ is not an isomorphism.*
- Let $T = T_0$ and $U = D_+(T_0)$. By affine case it suffices to show that $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathcal{F})_{(T)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is an isomorphism.**
- Let $T^{-n}t \in \Gamma_\bullet(\mathcal{F})_{(T)}$, with $t \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(n))$.
- So $t|_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}(n)) = T^n \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$, and $t|_U = T^n s$ for unique $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$.
- This is surjective by Proposition 1.25 (2) and injective by Proposition 1.25 (1).

Proposition

*With A, B, X as above, let $Z \subset X$ be closed. Then $Z = \text{Proj } B/I$ for some homogeneous ideal $I \subset B$. In particular any projective A -scheme is of the form $\text{Proj } C$.**

- Let \mathcal{I} be the quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals defining Z and $I = \Gamma_{\bullet}(\mathcal{I})$.
- $\mathcal{I}(n) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ because $\mathcal{O}(n)$ is locally free hence flat.
- So by Proposition 1.22, $I \subset \Gamma_{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_X) = B$.
- By the Lemma $\tilde{I} = \mathcal{I}$. So $\mathcal{I}_{V(I)} = \tilde{I} = \mathcal{I}$ and $V(I) = Z$.*

Maps to projective space

For an invertible \mathcal{L} and section $s \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ one has an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{X_s} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{X_s}$ via $1 \mapsto s$. The inverse maps $t \mapsto t/s$.

Proposition (5.1.31)

Let Z/A be a scheme and $X = \text{Proj } A[T_0, \dots, T_d]$.

- 1) If $f : Z \rightarrow X$ an A -morphism then $f^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is generated by the $d + 1$ sections f^*T_i .
- 2) If \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on Z generated by sections s_0, \dots, s_d there is a unique morphism $f : Z \rightarrow X$ with $s_i = f^*T_i$.

- 1) Note T_i generate $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, with epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_X^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ giving epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_Z^{d+1} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ by right-exactness of \otimes .
- 2) Define $Z_{s_i} \rightarrow D_+(T_i)$ via the A -algebra homomorphism $T_j/T_i \mapsto s_j/s_i \in \mathcal{O}_Z(Z_{s_i})$.
These glue, and give an isomorphism $\mathcal{L} = f^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

Maps to projective space: the fundamental question

Examples: power maps, Veronese, Segre.

Note: the morphism f is not changed by rescaling s_i simultaneously.

Note: An invertible linear transformation on s_i results in composing with the corresponding projective linear transformation on \mathbb{P}^d .

The fundamental question of projective geometry is: what are the possible ways to map Z to projective space?

The discussion says that this is equivalent to: what are the invertible sheaves with finitely many generating sections (up to chosen equivalence)?

Definition

$\text{Pic}(X)$ = set of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves, with group structure given by $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Sections up to rescaling will be characterized in terms of **linear systems** of **Cartier divisors**.

Very ample and ample sheaves

Definition

A sheaf of the form $\iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^d}(1)$, with $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d$ an immersion, is **very ample** over A .

An invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} is **ample** if for every finitely generated quasi-coherent \mathcal{F} there is n_0 such that $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n$ is globally generated for all $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem

Say $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is of finite type, and either X noetherian or f separated. If \mathcal{L} is ample on X there is $m \geq 1$ such that \mathcal{L}^m is very ample.

Proof of the theorem: good affine neighborhoods

Lemma

Say either X noetherian or f separated, and \mathcal{L} is ample on X .
Each $x \in X$ has an affine neighborhood of the form $X_s, s \in \mathcal{L}^n(X)$.

- Let U be an affine neighborhood of x on which $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_X|_U$, and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}(X \setminus U)$.
- The inclusion $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_U$ gives $\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n = \mathcal{J}\mathcal{L}^n \subset \mathcal{L}^n$, since \mathcal{L}^n locally free.
- By ampleness there is a section $s \in (\mathcal{J}\mathcal{L}^n)(X)$ generating $(\mathcal{J}\mathcal{L}^n)_x = \mathcal{L}_x^n$, so $x \in X_s \subset U$.
- The isomorphism $\mathcal{L}|_U \simeq \mathcal{O}_X|_U$ carries s to $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, so $X_s = D_H(f)$ is affine.

Proof of the theorem: lifting coordinates to sections and embedding

- Say $\mathcal{O}_X(X_s) = A[f_1, \dots, f_k]$. For some r we have that $s^r f_j$ lifts to $s_j \in \mathcal{L}^{nr}(X)$.
- X is covered by finitely many X_s , renumbered X_{s_i} . May choose n, r good for all i, j .
- Consider $Y = \text{Proj } A[\{T_i, T_{ij}\}]$. By Proposition 5.1.31 there is $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ with $\phi^* \mathcal{O}_Y(1) = \mathcal{L}$.
- $X_{s_i} = \phi^{-1} D_+(T_i)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(D_+(T_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X_{s_i})$ surjective.
- So ϕ a closed embedding, as needed.

Lemma

Assume X either Noetherian or quasicompact and separated; \mathcal{L} invertible.

- a) If $X = \cup X_{s_i}$ affine open cover for $s_i \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then \mathcal{L} ample.
- b) If \mathcal{L} ample and U subset X open and quasicompact then $\mathcal{L}|_U$ ample.

Corollary

If $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ as in theorem, then it is quasi-projective if and only if there is an ample sheaf.

The theorem gives ample \Rightarrow quasi-projective. If quasi-projective then X open in a projective Y , which has an ample. By the lemma the restriction is ample.

- a)
- Suppose \mathcal{F} finitely generated and quasicohherent. Say f_{ij} generate $\mathcal{F}(X_{s_i})$.
 - Then $f_{ij}s_i^n$ lift to $t_{ij} \in (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)(X)$ generating the sheaf on X_{s_i} , for some n and for all i , as needed.
- b) By the Lemma there are sections of \mathcal{L}^r satisfying (a). Also $U \cap X_{s_j}$ is covered by finitely many principal opens $D_{X_{s_j}}(h_{ij}) \subset X_{s_j}$. So $s_j^n h_{ij}$ lifts to $t_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Now $u_{ij} = t_{ij}|_U$ have $U_{u_{ij}} = D_{X_{s_j}}(h_{ij})$ are affine so satisfy (a) on U , and $\mathcal{L}|_U$ ample by (a).

Proposition

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes, \mathcal{L} invertible on X . Fix $y \in Y$ and $\phi : X_{Y,y} := X \times_Y \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow X$ the canonical base change morphism.

- a) If $\phi^* \mathcal{L}$ is globally generated then there is an open $y \in V \subset Y$ such that \mathcal{L}_{X_V} is globally generated.
- b) If $\phi^* \mathcal{L}$ is ample then there is an open $y \in V \subset Y$ such that \mathcal{L}_{X_V} is ample.

Lemma (Flat base change)

Assume $Y = \text{Spec } A$ affine. Then $\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_{Y,y})$ an isomorphism.

One only needs X noetherian or separated and quasi-compact. Pick a finite affine open covering $X = \cup U_i$. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$ induces a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} & \longrightarrow & \oplus \mathcal{F}(U_i) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} & \longrightarrow & \oplus \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X_{Y,y}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus \mathcal{F}((U_i)_{Y,y}) & \longrightarrow & \oplus \mathcal{F}((U_i \cap U_j)_{Y,y})
 \end{array}$$

the arrow β is an isomorphism. So the left arrow is injective. Same holds for X replaced by $U_i \cap U_j$. So it is an isomorphism.

We may replace Y by affine open.

- a) Then $\phi^*\mathcal{L}(X_{Y,y}) = \mathcal{L}(X) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ and $\phi^*\mathcal{L}_x = \mathcal{L}_x$. So $\mathcal{L}(X) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is surjective along $f^{-1}y$. There is an open set of X containing $f^{-1}y$ where this is surjective. Since $X \rightarrow Y$ is proper there is a neighborhood of y where this is surjective.
- b) By taking a high power we may assume $\phi^*\mathcal{L}$ is very ample and globally generated. Shrinking Y and using (a) we may assume \mathcal{L} is globally generated. Since X is quasicompact a finite number of sections suffices, giving a morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d$. Let Z be the closure of the image, with $f : X \rightarrow Z$ the morphism. This is an isomorphism to the image along $X_{Y,y}$, so it is an isomorphism on an open set (this is exercise 3.2.5).