

Factorization of birational maps for qc schemes in characteristic 0

AMS special session on Algebraic Geometry
joint work with M. Temkin (Hebrew University)

Dan Abramovich

Brown University

October 24, 2014

Factorization of birational maps: varieties

Theorem (Włodarczyk, Kollar-Karu-Matsuki-Włodarczyk (2002))

Let $\phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be the blowing up of a coherent ideal sheaf I on a *variety* X_2 over a field of characteristic 0 and let $U \subset X_2$ be the complement of the support of I . Assume X_1, X_2 are regular. Then ϕ can be factored, functorially for *smooth* surjective morphisms on X_2 , into a sequence of blowings up and down of *smooth* centers disjoint from U :

$$X_1 = V_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\ell-1}} V_{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\ell} V_\ell = X_2 .$$

Factorization of birational maps: qc schemes

Theorem (K-Temkin)

Let $\phi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be the blowing up of a coherent ideal sheaf I on a **qc scheme** X_2 over a field of characteristic 0 and let $U \subset X_2$ be the complement of the support of I . Assume X_1, X_2 are regular. Then ϕ can be factored, functorially for **regular** surjective morphisms on X_2 , into a sequence of blowings up and down of **regular** centers disjoint from U :

$$X_1 = V_0 \xrightarrow{-\varphi_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{-\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{-\varphi_{\ell-1}} V_{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{-\varphi_\ell} V_\ell = X_2 .$$

Regular morphisms and qe schemes

Definition

A morphism of schemes $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is said to be **regular** if it is (1) **flat** and (2) **all geometric fibers of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ are regular**.

Definition

A locally noetherian scheme X is a **qe scheme** if:

- for any scheme Y of finite type over X , the regular locus Y_{reg} is open; and
- For any point $x \in X$, the completion morphism $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a regular morphism.

Qe schemes are the natural world for resolution of singularities.

Places where q_e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.

Places where \mathfrak{q} e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.
- $A = \widehat{A}$ the formal completion of the above.

Places where q_e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.
- $A = \widehat{A}$ the formal completion of the above.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid germ¹ of a complex analytic space.

¹intersection with a small **closed** polydisc

Places where q_e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.
- $A =$ the formal completion of the above.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid germ¹ of a complex analytic space.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid Berkovich k -analytic space,

¹intersection with a small **closed** polydisc

Places where q_e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.
- $A =$ the formal completion of the above.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid germ¹ of a complex analytic space.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid Berkovich k -analytic space,
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid rigid space over k .

¹intersection with a small **closed** polydisc

Places where q_e rings appear

- A of finite type over a field or \mathbb{Z} , and localizations.
- $A =$ the formal completion of the above.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid germ¹ of a complex analytic space.
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid Berkovich k -analytic space,
- $A = O(X)$, where X is an affinoid rigid space over k .

In all these geometries we deduce factorization in characteristic 0 from factorization over $\text{Spec } A$, which requires GAGA.

¹intersection with a small **closed** polydisc

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

- Given $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

- Given $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.
- On $B_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times X_2$ consider $I' = I + I_0$, where I_0 is the defining ideal of $\{0\} \times X_2$.

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

- Given $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.
- On $B_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times X_2$ consider $I' = I + I_0$, where I_0 is the defining ideal of $\{0\} \times X_2$.
- Set $B_1 = Bl_{I'} B_0$. It contains X_1 as the proper transform of $\{0\} \times X_2$, as well as X_2

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

- Given $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.
- On $B_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times X_2$ consider $I' = I + I_0$, where I_0 is the defining ideal of $\{0\} \times X_2$.
- Set $B_1 = Bl_{I'} B_0$. It contains X_1 as the proper transform of $\{0\} \times X_2$, as well as X_2
- Apply canonical resolution of singularities to B_1 , resulting in a regular scheme B , projective over X_2 , with \mathbb{G}_m action.

Factorization step 1: birational cobordism

We follow Włodarczyk's original ideas

- Given $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.
- On $B_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times X_2$ consider $I' = I + I_0$, where I_0 is the defining ideal of $\{0\} \times X_2$.
- Set $B_1 = Bl_{I'} B_0$. It contains X_1 as the proper transform of $\{0\} \times X_2$, as well as X_2
- Apply canonical resolution of singularities to B_1 , resulting in a regular scheme B , projective over X_2 , with \mathbb{G}_m action.

So far, this works for schemes.

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$,

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$.

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$.
- $B //_{a_1} \mathbb{G}_m = X_1$, $B //_{a_k} \mathbb{G}_m = X_2$.

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$.
- $B //_{a_1} \mathbb{G}_m = X_1$, $B //_{a_k} \mathbb{G}_m = X_2$.
- Denoting

$$W_{a_i} = B //_{a_i} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i+} = B //_{a_i+\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i-} = B //_{a_i-\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m$$

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$.
- $B //_{a_1} \mathbb{G}_m = X_1$, $B //_{a_k} \mathbb{G}_m = X_2$.
- Denoting

$$W_{a_i} = B //_{a_i} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i+} = B //_{a_i+\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i-} = B //_{a_i-\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m$$

we have

$$W_{a_i+} = W_{(a_{i+1})-}$$

and a sequence

Factorization step 2: GIT

- Equivariant embedding $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{X_2}(E_{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{a_k})$, with $a_i < a_{i+1}$.
- $B //_{a_1} \mathbb{G}_m = X_1$, $B //_{a_k} \mathbb{G}_m = X_2$.
- Denoting

$$W_{a_i} = B //_{a_i} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i+} = B //_{a_i+\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m,$$

$$W_{a_i-} = B //_{a_i-\epsilon} \mathbb{G}_m$$

we have

$$W_{a_i+} = W_{(a_{i+1})-}$$

and a sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_1 = W_{a_2-} & & & & W_{a_3-} & & & & W_{a_l-} = X_2 \\ & \searrow^{\varphi_{2-}} & & \swarrow^{\varphi_{2+}} & \searrow^{\varphi_{3-}} & & & \swarrow^{\varphi_{(l-1)+}} & \\ & & W_{a_2} & & & & \dots & & \end{array}$$

Factorization step 3: local description

- $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ is affine.

Factorization step 3: local description

- $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ is affine.
- If $b \in B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is a fixed point, can diagonalize $T_{B_{a_i}^{ss}, b}$.

Factorization step 3: local description

- $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ is affine.
- If $b \in B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is a fixed point, can diagonalize $T_{B_{a_i}^{ss}, b}$.
- Tangent eigenvectors lift to eigenfunctions.

Factorization step 3: local description

- $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ is affine.
- If $b \in B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is a fixed point, can diagonalize $T_{B_{a_i}^{ss}, b}$.
- Tangent eigenvectors lift to eigenfunctions.
- Locally on W_{a_i} get equivariant $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\dim + 1}$.

Factorization step 3: local description

- $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ is affine.
- If $b \in B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is a fixed point, can diagonalize $T_{B_{a_i}^{ss}, b}$.
- Tangent eigenvectors lift to eigenfunctions.
- Locally on W_{a_i} get equivariant $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\dim+1}$.
- This “chart” is *regular* and *inert*.

Factorization step 4: Luna's fundamental lemma

Definition (Special orbits)

An orbit $\mathbb{G}_m \cdot x \subset B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is *special* if it is closed in the fiber of $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.

Factorization step 4: Luna's fundamental lemma

Definition (Special orbits)

An orbit $\mathbb{G}_m \cdot x \subset B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is *special* if it is closed in the fiber of $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.

Definition (Inert morphisms)

The \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\dim+1}$ is *inert* if (1) it takes special orbits to special orbits and (2) it preserves inertia groups.

Factorization step 4: Luna's fundamental lemma

Definition (Special orbits)

An orbit $\mathbb{G}_m \cdot x \subset B_{a_i}^{ss}$ is *special* if it is closed in the fiber of $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.

Definition (Inert morphisms)

The \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\dim+1}$ is *inert* if (1) it takes special orbits to special orbits and (2) it preserves inertia groups.

Theorem (Luna's fundamental lemma, [Luna, Alper, N-Temkin])

The regular and inert \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant $B_{a_i}^{ss} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\dim+1}$ is *strongly equivariant*, namely

$$B_{a_i}^{ss} = \mathbb{A}^{\dim+1} \times_{\mathbb{A}^{\dim+1} // \mathbb{G}_m} W_{a_i}.$$

Factorization step 4: torification

- This is compatible with $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.

Factorization step 4: torification

- This is compatible with $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.
- Locally on W_i the transformations $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ have **toric charts**.

Factorization step 4: torification

- This is compatible with $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.
- Locally on W_i the transformations $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ have **toric charts**.
- The process of **torification** allows us to assume they are **toroidal** transformations.

Factorization step 4: torification

- This is compatible with $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$.
- Locally on W_i the transformations $W_{a_i \pm} \rightarrow W_{a_i}$ have **toric charts**.
- The process of **torification** allows us to assume they are **toroidal** transformations.
- Toroidal factorization is known [Włodarczyk, Morelli, N-Matsuki-Rashid].

Factorization in terms of ideals

Recall that $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.

Factorization in terms of ideals

Recall that $X_1 = Bl_I(X_2)$.

Our factorization

$$X_1 = V_0 \xrightarrow{-\varphi_1} V_1 \xrightarrow{-\varphi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{-\varphi_{\ell-1}} V_{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{-\varphi_\ell} V_\ell = X_2$$

gives a sequence of ideals J_i such that $V_k = Bl_{J_i}(X_2)$, and smooth Z_i such that $\varphi_i^{\pm 1}$ is the blowing up of Z_i .

Transporting to other categories 1: covering

- Assume $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blowing up of an ideal in one of our categories: local, formal, complex, Berkovich, rigid.

Transporting to other categories 1: covering

- Assume $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blowing up of an ideal in one of our categories: local, formal, complex, Berkovich, rigid.
- Say we have a finite cover $X'_2 = \sqcup U_\alpha \rightarrow X_2$ by patches such that $\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ is a qe ring **which determines** U_α : ideals correspond to closed sub-objects, coherent sheaves are acyclic, correspond to modules, etc.

Transporting to other categories 1: covering

- Assume $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blowing up of an ideal in one of our categories: local, formal, complex, Berkovich, rigid.
- Say we have a finite cover $X'_2 = \sqcup U_\alpha \rightarrow X_2$ by patches such that $\mathcal{O}(U_\alpha)$ is a qe ring **which determines** U_α : ideals correspond to closed sub-objects, coherent sheaves are acyclic, correspond to modules, etc.
- In complex analysis, $U_\alpha = X_2 \cap \overline{D}$ with \overline{D} a **closed** polydisc with the restricted sheaf [Frisch, Bambozzi].

Transporting to other categories 1: covering

- Assume $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blowing up of an ideal in one of our categories: local, formal, complex, Berkovich, rigid.
- Say we have a finite cover $X'_2 = \sqcup U_\alpha \rightarrow X_2$ by patches such that $O(U_\alpha)$ is a qe ring **which determines** U_α : ideals correspond to closed sub-objects, coherent sheaves are acyclic, correspond to modules, etc.
- In complex analysis, $U_\alpha = X_2 \cap \overline{D}$ with \overline{D} a **closed** polydisc with the restricted sheaf [Frisch, Bambozzi].
- Write $X'_2 = \sqcup \text{Spec } O(U_\alpha)$, write \mathcal{I}' corresponding to I , and $X'_1 = \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{I}'} X'_2$, **which is regular**.

Transporting to other categories 1: covering

- Assume $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a blowing up of an ideal in one of our categories: local, formal, complex, Berkovich, rigid.
- Say we have a finite cover $X'_2 = \sqcup U_\alpha \rightarrow X_2$ by patches such that $O(U_\alpha)$ is a qe ring **which determines** U_α : ideals correspond to closed sub-objects, coherent sheaves are acyclic, correspond to modules, etc.
- In complex analysis, $U_\alpha = X_2 \cap \overline{D}$ with \overline{D} a **closed** polydisc with the restricted sheaf [Frisch, Bambozzi].
- Write $X'_2 = \sqcup \text{Spec } O(U_\alpha)$, write \mathcal{I}' corresponding to I , and $X'_1 = \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{I}'} X'_2$, **which is regular**.
- Factorization of $X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$ gives ideals \mathcal{J}'_i and smooth $Z_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}'_i}(X'_2)$.

Transporting to other categories 2: GAGA

Theorem (Serre's Théorème 3)

In each of these categories, the pullback functor

$$h^* : \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^r_{X'_1}) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^r_{X'_2})$$

is an equivalence which induces isomorphisms on cohomology groups and preserves regularity.

Transporting to other categories 2: patching

- $X_2 \xleftarrow{\text{cover}} X'_2 \xrightarrow{h} X'_2$

Transporting to other categories 2: patching

- $X_2 \xleftarrow{\text{cover}} X'_2 \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{X}'_2$
- We had ideals $\mathcal{J}'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $\mathcal{Z}_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}'_i}(\mathcal{X}'_2)$ factoring $\mathcal{X}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_2$.

Transporting to other categories 2: patching

- $$X_2 \xleftarrow{\text{cover}} X'_2 \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{X}'_2$$
- We had ideals $\mathcal{J}'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}'_i}(\mathcal{X}'_2)$ factoring $\mathcal{X}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_2$.
- We get ideals $J'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z'_i \subset V'_k := \text{Bl}_{J'_i}(X'_2)$ factoring $X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$.

Transporting to other categories 2: patching

- $$X_2 \xleftarrow{\text{cover}} X'_2 \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{X}'_2$$
- We had ideals $\mathcal{J}'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}'_i}(\mathcal{X}'_2)$ factoring $\mathcal{X}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_2$.
- We get ideals $J'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z'_i \subset V'_k := \text{Bl}_{J'_i}(X'_2)$ factoring $X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$.
- Functoriality and **Temkin's trick** ensure that these agree on $X'_2 \times_{X_2} X'_2$.

Transporting to other categories 2: patching

- $X_2 \xleftarrow{\text{cover}} X'_2 \xrightarrow{h} X'_2$
- We had ideals $\mathcal{J}'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{J}'_i}(X'_2)$ factoring $X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$.
- We get ideals $J'_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X'_2}$ and smooth $Z'_i \subset \mathcal{V}'_k := \text{Bl}_{J'_i}(X'_2)$ factoring $X'_1 \rightarrow X'_2$.
- Functoriality and **Temkin's trick** ensure that these agree on $X'_2 \times_{X_2} X'_2$.
- Descent gives ideals $J_i \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_2}$ and smooth $Z_i \subset \mathcal{V}_k := \text{Bl}_{J_i}(X_2)$ factoring $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, as needed.

An analytic GAGA: statement

Theorem (Serre's Théorème 3)

Let D be a closed polydisk, $A = \mathcal{O}(D)$. The pullback functor

$$h^* : \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_A^r) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_D^r)$$

is an equivalence which induces isomorphisms on cohomology groups.

An analytic GAGA: lemmas

Lemma (Dimension Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^ \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > r$ and all \mathcal{F} .*

An analytic GAGA: lemmas

Lemma (Dimension Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > r$ and all \mathcal{F} .

Proof.

Use Čech covers of \mathbb{P}_D^r by **closed** standard polydisks!

An analytic GAGA: lemmas

Lemma (Dimension Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > r$ and all \mathcal{F} .

Proof.

Use Čech covers of \mathbb{P}_D^r by **closed** standard polydisks!



Lemma (Structure Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O})$ for all i .

An analytic GAGA: lemmas

Lemma (Dimension Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > r$ and all \mathcal{F} .

Proof.

Use Čech covers of \mathbb{P}_D^r by **closed** standard polydisks! ♠

Lemma (Structure Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O})$ for all i .

Proof.

Use proper base change for

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_D^r & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}^r \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varpi \\ D & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n. \end{array}$$

♠

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Lemma (Twisting Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n))$ for all i, r, n .

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Lemma (Twisting Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n))$ for all i, r, n .

Proof.

Induction on r and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}}(n) \rightarrow 0$

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Lemma (Twisting Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n))$ for all i, r, n .

Proof.

Induction on r and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}}(n) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)). \end{array}$$

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Lemma (Twisting Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n))$ for all i, r, n .

Proof.

Induction on r and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}}(n) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)). \end{array}$$

So the result for n is equivalent to the result for $n-1$.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Lemma (Twisting Sheaf Lemma)

We have $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n))$ for all i, r, n .

Proof.

Induction on r and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}}(n) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{O}(n)) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}(n)). \end{array}$$

So the result for n is equivalent to the result for $n-1$.

By the **Structure Sheaf Lemma** it holds for $n=0$ so it holds for all n . ♠

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 1)

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^r . The homomorphism $h^ : H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism for all i .*

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 1)

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^r . The homomorphism $h^* : H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism for all i .

Proof.

Descending induction on i for all coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r modules, the case $i > r$ given by the **Dimension Lemma**.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 1)

Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^r . The homomorphism $h^* : H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism for all i .

Proof.

Descending induction on i for all coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r modules, the case $i > r$ given by the **Dimension Lemma**.

Choose a resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ with \mathcal{E} a sum of twisting sheaves.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - cohomology

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 1)


Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^r . The homomorphism $h^* : H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism for all i .

Proof.

Descending induction on i for all coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r modules, the case $i > r$ given by the **Dimension Lemma**.

Choose a resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ with \mathcal{E} a sum of twisting sheaves. **Flatness** of h implies $0 \rightarrow h^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow h^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow h^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\ H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{E}) \end{array}$$

so the arrow $H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^r, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_D^r, h^*\mathcal{F})$ surjective, and so also for \mathcal{G} , and finish by the 5 lemma. 

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - Homomorphisms

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 2)

For any coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r -modules \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} the natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular the functor h^* is fully faithful.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - Homomorphisms

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 2)

For any coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r -modules \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} the natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular the functor h^* is fully faithful.

Proof.

By **Serre's Théorème 1**, suffices to show that

$h^* \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - Homomorphisms

Proposition (Serre's Théorème 2)

For any coherent \mathbb{P}_A^r -modules \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} the natural homomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$$

is an isomorphism. In particular the functor h^* is fully faithful.

Proof.

By **Serre's Théorème 1**, suffices to show that

$h^*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{P}_D^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(h^*\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{P}_A^r}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \right)_x &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{x'}}(\mathcal{F}_{x'}, \mathcal{G}_{x'}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x'}} \mathcal{O}_x \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_x}(\mathcal{F}_{x'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x'}} \mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{G}_{x'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x'}} \mathcal{O}_x) \\ &= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{P}_X^r}(h^*\mathcal{F}, h^*\mathcal{G})_x. \end{aligned}$$

by **flatness**.



An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

Proof.

Induction on r .

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

Proof.

Induction on r .

Suffices to generate stalk at x . Choose $H \ni x$, and get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0$.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

Proof.

Induction on r .

Suffices to generate stalk at x . Choose $H \ni x$, and get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0$. This gives $\mathcal{F}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

Proof.

Induction on r .

Suffices to generate stalk at x . Choose $H \ni x$, and get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0$. This gives $\mathcal{F}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$ which breaks into

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves

Proposition (Cartan's Théorème A)

For any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_D^r there is n_0 so that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ is globally generated whenever $n > n_0$.

Proof.

Induction on r .

Suffices to generate stalk at x . Choose $H \ni x$, and get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow 0$. This gives $\mathcal{F}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$ which breaks into

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{F}_H are coherent sheaves on H ,

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n .

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n . So $h^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is descending,

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n . So $h^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is descending, and when it stabilizes $H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is bijective

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n . So $h^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is descending, and when it stabilizes $H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is bijective so $H^0(\mathbb{P}_X^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$ is surjective.

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n . So $h^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is descending, and when it stabilizes $H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is bijective so $H^0(\mathbb{P}_X^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$ is surjective.

Sections in $H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$ generate $\mathcal{F}_H(n)$ by dimension induction,

Serre's proof - generation of twisted sheaves (continued)

Proof of Cartan's Théorème A, continued.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0,$$

so right terms in

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n-1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^2(H, \mathcal{G}(n))$$

and

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$$

vanish for large n . So $h^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is descending, and when it stabilizes $H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{P}(n)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}_D^r, \mathcal{F}(n))$ is bijective so $H^0(\mathbb{P}_X^r, \mathcal{F}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$ is surjective.

Sections in $H^0(H, \mathcal{F}_H(n))$ generate $\mathcal{F}_H(n)$ by dimension induction, and by Nakayama the result at $x \in H$ follows.



An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - the equivalence

Peoof of Serre's Théorème 3.

Choose a resolution $\mathcal{O}(-n_1)^{k_1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}(-n_0)^{k_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$.

An analytic GAGA: Serre's proof - the equivalence

Peoof of Serre's Théorème 3.

Choose a resolution $\mathcal{O}(-n_1)^{k_1} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}(-n_0)^{k_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$.

By **Serre's Théorème 2** the homomorphism ψ is the analytification of an algebraic sheaf homomorphism ψ' , so the cokernel \mathcal{F} of ψ is also the analytification of the cokernel of ψ' .

