

Vojta's conjecture and level structures on abelian varieties

Dan Abramovich, Brown University

Joint work with Anthony Várilly-Alvarado and Keerthi Padapusi Pera

ICERM workshop on Birational Geometry and Arithmetic
May 17, 2018

Torsion on elliptic curves

Following [Mazur 1977]...

Theorem (Merel, 1996)

Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There is an integer $c = c(d)$ such that:
For all number fields k with $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and all elliptic curves E/k ,

$$\#E(k)_{\text{tors}} < c.$$

Mazur: $d = 1$.

What about higher dimension?

(Jump to theorem)

Torsion on elliptic curves

Following [Mazur 1977]...

Theorem (Merel, 1996)

Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There is an integer $c = c(d)$ such that:
For all number fields k with $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and all elliptic curves E/k ,

$$\#E(k)_{\text{tors}} < c.$$

Mazur: $d = 1$.

What about higher dimension?

(Jump to theorem)

Torsion on elliptic curves

Following [Mazur 1977]...

Theorem (Merel, 1996)

Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. There is an integer $c = c(d)$ such that:
For all number fields k with $[k : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ and all elliptic curves E/k ,

$$\#E(k)_{\text{tors}} < c.$$

Mazur: $d = 1$.

What about higher dimension?

(Jump to theorem)

Torsion on abelian varieties

Theorem (Cadoret, Tamagawa 2012)

Let k be a field, finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} ; let p be a prime.

Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme over a k -curve S .

There is an integer $c = c(A, S, k, p)$ such that

$$\#A_s(k)[p^\infty] \leq c$$

for all $s \in S(k)$.

What about all torsion?

What about all abelian varieties of fixed dimension together?

Torsion on abelian varieties

Theorem (Cadoret, Tamagawa 2012)

Let k be a field, finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} ; let p be a prime.

Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme over a k -curve S .

There is an integer $c = c(A, S, k, p)$ such that

$$\#A_s(k)[p^\infty] \leq c$$

for all $s \in S(k)$.

What about all torsion?

What about all abelian varieties of fixed dimension together?

Torsion on abelian varieties

Theorem (Cadoret, Tamagawa 2012)

Let k be a field, finitely generated over \mathbb{Q} ; let p be a prime.

Let $A \rightarrow S$ be an abelian scheme over a k -curve S .

There is an integer $c = c(A, S, k, p)$ such that

$$\#A_s(k)[p^\infty] \leq c$$

for all $s \in S(k)$.

What about all torsion?

What about all abelian varieties of fixed dimension together?

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (N, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

For any $m > m_0$ there is no principally polarized abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level m structure.

Why not torsion?

What's with Vojta?

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (N, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

For any $m > m_0$ there is no principally polarized abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level m structure.

Why not torsion?

What's with Vojta?

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (X, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

For any $m > m_0$ there is no principally polarized abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level m structure.

Why not torsion?

What's with Vojta?

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (X, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

For any $m > m_0$ there is no principally polarized abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level m structure.

Why not torsion?

What's with Vojta?

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (X, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

For any $m > m_0$ there is no principally polarized abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level m structure.

Why not torsion?

What's with Vojta?

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

¹Demjanenko

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

¹Demjanenko

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

¹Demjanjenko

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

¹Demjanjenko

Mazur's theorem revisited

- Consider the curves $\pi_m : X_1(m) \rightarrow X(1)$.
- $X_1(m)$ parametrizes elliptic curves with m -torsion.
- Observation: $g(X_1(m)) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \infty$ (quadratically)
- Faltings (1983) $\implies X_1(m)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for large m .
- Manin (1969!):¹ $\implies X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q})$ finite for some k ,
- and by Mordell–Weil $X_1(p^k)(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ for large k .

But there are infinitely many primes $> m_0$!

(Jump to Flexor–Oesterlé)

¹Demjanjenko

Aside: Cadoret-Tamagawa

- Cadoret-Tamagawa consider similarly $S_1(m) \rightarrow S$, with components $S_1(m)^j$.
- They show $g(S_1^j(p^k)) \longrightarrow \infty, \dots$
- unless they correspond to torsion on an isotrivial factor of A/S .
- Again this suffices by Faltings and Mordell–Weil for their p^k theorem.

Is there an analogue for higher dimensional base?

Aside: Cadoret-Tamagawa

- Cadoret-Tamagawa consider similarly $S_1(m) \rightarrow S$, with components $S_1(m)^j$.
- They show $g(S_1^j(p^k)) \longrightarrow \infty, \dots$
 - unless they correspond to torsion on an isotrivial factor of A/S .
 - Again this suffices by Faltings and Mordell–Weil for their p^k theorem.

Is there an analogue for higher dimensional base?

Aside: Cadoret-Tamagawa

- Cadoret-Tamagawa consider similarly $S_1(m) \rightarrow S$, with components $S_1(m)^j$.
- They show $g(S_1^j(p^k)) \longrightarrow \infty, \dots$
- unless they correspond to torsion on an isotrivial factor of A/S .
 - Again this suffices by Faltings and Mordell–Weil for their p^k theorem.

Is there an analogue for higher dimensional base?

Aside: Cadoret-Tamagawa

- Cadoret-Tamagawa consider similarly $S_1(m) \rightarrow S$, with components $S_1(m)^j$.
- They show $g(S_1^j(p^k)) \longrightarrow \infty, \dots$
- unless they correspond to torsion on an isotrivial factor of A/S .
- Again this suffices by Faltings and Mordell–Weil for their p^k theorem.

Is there an analogue for higher dimensional base?

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited: Flexor–Oesterlé, Silverberg

Proposition (Flexor–Oesterlé 1988, Silverberg 1992)

There is an integer $M = M(g)$ so that: Suppose $A(\mathbb{Q})[p] \neq \{0\}$, suppose q is a prime, and suppose $p > (1 + \sqrt{q}^M)^{2g}$. Then the reduction of A at q is “not even potentially good”.

- p torsion reduced injectively modulo q .
- The reduction is not good because of Lang-Weil: there are just too many points!
- For potentially good reduction, there is good reduction after an extension of degree $< M$, so that follows too.

Remark:

- Flexor and Oesterlé proceed to show that ABC implies uniform boundedness for elliptic curves.
- This is what we follow: Vojta gives a higher dimensional ABC.
- Mazur proceeds in another way

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$???????

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$???????

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$???????

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$???????

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$????????

Mazur's theorem revisited after Merel: Kolyvagin-Logachev, Bump–Friedberg–Hoffstein, Kamienny

The following suffices for Mazur's theorem:

Theorem

For all large p , $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ consists of cusps.

- [Merel] There are many weight-2 cusp forms f on $\Gamma_0(p)$ with analytic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(f, s) = 0$.
- [KL, BFH 1990] The corresponding factor $J_0(p)_f$ has rank 0.
- [Mazur, Kamienny 1982] The composite map $X_1(p) \rightarrow J_0(p)_f$ sending cusp to 0 is immersive at the cusp, even modulo small q .
- But reduction of torsion of $J_0(p)_f$ modulo q is injective.
- Combining with Flexor–Oesterlé we get the result.

Is there a replacement for $g > 1$???????

Main Theorem

Let A be a g -dimensional abelian variety over a number field k .

A **full-level m structure** on A is an isomorphism of k -group schemes

$$A[m] \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^g \times (\mu_m)^g$$

Theorem (X, V.-A., M. P. 2017)

Assume Vojta's conjecture.

Fix $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a number field k .

There is an integer $m_0 = m_0(k, g)$ such that:

*For any **prime $p > m_0$** there is no (pp) abelian variety A/k of dimension g with full-level **p** structure.*

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} :=$ k -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} := k$ -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} := k$ -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} := k$ -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} := k$ -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Strategy

- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} :=$ moduli **stack** of ppav's of dimension g .
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} := k$ -rational points of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level m structure.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[m]} = \pi_m(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}(k))$,
where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g^{[m]}$ is the space of ppav with full level.
- $W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$
- W_i is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ and $W_i \supseteq W_{i+1}$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g$ is Noetherian, so $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- **Vojta for stacks** $\Rightarrow W_n$ has dimension ≤ 0 .

(Jump to Vojta)

Dimension 0 case (with Flexor–Oesterlé)

- Suppose that $W_n = \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq n} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$ has dimension 0.
- representing finitely many **geometric** isomorphism classes of ppav's.
- Fix a point in W_n that comes from some A/k .
- Pick a prime $q \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_k$ of potentially good reduction for A .
- Twists of A with full-level p structure ($p > 2$; $q \nmid p$) have good reduction at q .
- p -torsion injects modulo $q \implies p \leq (1 + Nq^{1/2})^2$. □

There are other approaches!

Dimension 0 case (with Flexor–Oesterlé)

- Suppose that $W_n = \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq n} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$ has dimension 0.
- representing finitely many **geometric** isomorphism classes of ppav's.
- Fix a point in W_n that comes from some A/k .
- Pick a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_k$ of potentially good reduction for A .
- Twists of A with full-level p structure ($p > 2$; $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$) have good reduction at \mathfrak{q} .
- p -torsion injects modulo $\mathfrak{q} \implies p \leq (1 + N\mathfrak{q}^{1/2})^2$. □

There are other approaches!

Dimension 0 case (with Flexor–Oesterlé)

- Suppose that $W_n = \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq n} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$ has dimension 0.
- representing finitely many **geometric** isomorphism classes of ppav's.
- Fix a point in W_n that comes from some A/k .
- Pick a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_k$ of potentially good reduction for A .
- Twists of A with full-level p structure ($p > 2$; $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$) have good reduction at \mathfrak{q} .
- p -torsion injects modulo $\mathfrak{q} \implies p \leq (1 + N\mathfrak{q}^{1/2})^2$. □

There are other approaches!

Dimension 0 case (with Flexor–Oesterlé)

- Suppose that $W_n = \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq n} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$ has dimension 0.
- representing finitely many **geometric** isomorphism classes of ppav's.
- Fix a point in W_n that comes from some A/k .
- Pick a prime $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_k$ of potentially good reduction for A .
- Twists of A with full-level p structure ($p > 2$; $\mathfrak{q} \nmid p$) have good reduction at \mathfrak{q} .
- p -torsion injects modulo $\mathfrak{q} \implies p \leq (1 + N\mathfrak{q}^{1/2})^2$. □

There are other approaches!

Towards Vojta's conjecture

- k a number field; S a finite set of places containing infinite places.
 - $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ a pair with:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper morphism of schemes;
 - ▶ \mathcal{D} a fiber-wise normal crossings divisor on \mathcal{X} .
- $(X, D) :=$ the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$; $\mathcal{D} = \sum_i \mathcal{D}_i$.
- We view $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ as a point of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k(x)})$,
or a scheme $\mathcal{F}_x := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Towards Vojta's conjecture

- k a number field; S a finite set of places containing infinite places.
 - $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ a pair with:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper morphism of schemes;
 - ▶ \mathcal{D} a fiber-wise normal crossings divisor on \mathcal{X} .
- $(X, D) :=$ the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$; $\mathcal{D} = \sum_i \mathcal{D}_i$.
- We view $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ as a point of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k(x)})$,
or a scheme $\mathcal{T}_x := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Towards Vojta's conjecture

- k a number field; S a finite set of places containing infinite places.
 - $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ a pair with:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper morphism of schemes;
 - ▶ \mathcal{D} a fiber-wise normal crossings divisor on \mathcal{X} .
- $(X, D) :=$ the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$; $\mathcal{D} = \sum_i \mathcal{D}_i$.
- We view $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ as a point of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k(x)})$,
or a scheme $\mathcal{T}_x := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Towards Vojta's conjecture

- k a number field; S a finite set of places containing infinite places.
 - $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ a pair with:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper morphism of schemes;
 - ▶ \mathcal{D} a fiber-wise normal crossings divisor on \mathcal{X} .
- $(X, D) :=$ the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$; $\mathcal{D} = \sum_i \mathcal{D}_i$.
- We view $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ as a point of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k(x)})$,
or a scheme $\mathcal{T}_x := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Towards Vojta: counting functions and discriminants

Definition

For $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ with residue field $k(x)$ define the **truncated counting function**

$$N_k^{(1)}(D, x) = \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \sum_{\substack{q \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S} \\ (\mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset}} \log \underbrace{|\kappa(q)|}_{\substack{\text{size of} \\ \text{residue field}}} .$$

and the **relative logarithmic discriminant**

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(k(x)) &= \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \log |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)}| - \log |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}_k| \\ &= \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \deg \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{k(x)}/\mathcal{O}_k} . \end{aligned}$$

Towards Vojta: counting functions and discriminants

Definition

For $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ with residue field $k(x)$ define the **truncated counting function**

$$N_k^{(1)}(D, x) = \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \sum_{\substack{q \in \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S} \\ (\mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset}} \log \underbrace{|\kappa(q)|}_{\substack{\text{size of} \\ \text{residue field}}} .$$

and the **relative logarithmic discriminant**

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(k(x)) &= \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \log |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}_{k(x)}| - \log |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}_k| \\ &= \frac{1}{[k(x) : k]} \deg \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{k(x)}/\mathcal{O}_k} . \end{aligned}$$

Vojta's conjecture

Conjecture (Vojta c. 1984; 1998)

X a smooth projective variety over a number field k .

D a normal crossings divisor on X ; H a big line bundle on X .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(k(x)) \geq h_{K_X+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O_r(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

$d_k(k(x))$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}(k)$

$N_X^{(1)}(D, x)$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_k) = (\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{O}_k)$

Vojta's conjecture

Conjecture (Vojta c. 1984; 1998)

X a smooth projective variety over a number field k .

D a normal crossings divisor on X ; H a big line bundle on X .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(k(x)) \geq h_{K_X+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O_r(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

$d_k(k(x))$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}(k)$

$N_X^{(1)}(D, x)$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_k) = (\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{O}_k)$

Vojta's conjecture

Conjecture (Vojta c. 1984; 1998)

X a smooth projective variety over a number field k .

D a normal crossings divisor on X ; H a big line bundle on X .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(k(x)) \geq h_{K_X+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O_r(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

$d_k(k(x))$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}(k)$

$N_X^{(1)}(D, x)$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_k) = (\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D})(\mathcal{O}_k)$

Vojta's conjecture

Conjecture (Vojta c. 1984; 1998)

X a smooth projective variety over a number field k .

D a normal crossings divisor on X ; H a big line bundle on X .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(k(x)) \geq h_{K_X+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O_r(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

$d_k(k(x))$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}(k)$

$N_X^{(1)}(D, x)$ measure failure of being in $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_k) = (\mathcal{X} \setminus D)(\mathcal{O}_k)$

Vojta's conjecture: special cases

- $D = \emptyset$; $H = K_X$; $r = 1$; X of general type:
Lang's conjecture: $X(k)$ not Zariski dense.
- $H = K_X(D)$; $r = 1$; S a finite set of places ; (X, D) of log general type:
Lang–Vojta conjecture: $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ not Zariski dense.
- $X = \mathbb{P}^1$; $r = 1$; $D = \{0, 1, \infty\}$: Masser–Oesterlé's ABC conjecture.

Vojta's conjecture: special cases

- $D = \emptyset$; $H = K_X$; $r = 1$; X of general type:
Lang's conjecture: $X(k)$ not Zariski dense.
- $H = K_X(D)$; $r = 1$; S a finite set of places ; (X, D) of log general type:
Lang–Vojta conjecture: $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ not Zariski dense.
- $X = \mathbb{P}^1$; $r = 1$; $D = \{0, 1, \infty\}$: Masser–Oesterlé's ABC conjecture.

Vojta's conjecture: special cases

- $D = \emptyset$; $H = K_X$; $r = 1$; X of general type:
Lang's conjecture: $X(k)$ not Zariski dense.
- $H = K_X(D)$; $r = 1$; S a finite set of places ; (X, D) of log general type:
Lang–Vojta conjecture: $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ not Zariski dense.
- $X = \mathbb{P}^1$; $r = 1$; $D = \{0, 1, \infty\}$: Masser–Oesterlé's ABC conjecture.

Vojta's conjecture: special cases

- $D = \emptyset$; $H = K_X$; $r = 1$; X of general type:
Lang's conjecture: $X(k)$ not Zariski dense.
- $H = K_X(D)$; $r = 1$; S a finite set of places ; (X, D) of log general type:
Lang–Vojta conjecture: $\mathcal{X}^0(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ not Zariski dense.
- $X = \mathbb{P}^1$; $r = 1$; $D = \{0, 1, \infty\}$: Masser–Oesterlé's ABC conjecture.

Extending Vojta to DM stacks

- Recall: Vojta \Rightarrow Lang.
- Example: $X = \mathbb{P}^2(\sqrt{C})$, where C a smooth curve of degree > 6 .
- Then $K_X \sim \mathcal{O}(d/2 - 3)$ is big, so X of general type, but $X(k)$ is dense.
- The point is that a rational point might still fail to be integral: it may have “potentially good reduction” but not “good reduction”!
- The correct form of Lang’s conjecture is: if X is of general type then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ is not Zariski-dense.

What about a quantitative version? We need to account that even rational points may be ramified.

- Heights and intersection numbers are defined as usual.
- We must define the **discriminant** of a point $x \in X(k)$.

Extending Vojta to DM stacks

- Recall: Vojta \Rightarrow Lang.
- Example: $X = \mathbb{P}^2(\sqrt{C})$, where C a smooth curve of degree > 6 .
- Then $K_X \sim \mathcal{O}(d/2 - 3)$ is big, so X of general type, but $X(k)$ is dense.
- The point is that a rational point might still fail to be integral: it may have “potentially good reduction” but not “good reduction”!
- The correct form of Lang’s conjecture is: if X is of general type then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ is not Zariski-dense.

What about a quantitative version? We need to account that even rational points may be ramified.

- Heights and intersection numbers are defined as usual.
- We must define the **discriminant** of a point $x \in X(k)$.

Extending Vojta to DM stacks

- Recall: Vojta \Rightarrow Lang.
- Example: $X = \mathbb{P}^2(\sqrt{C})$, where C a smooth curve of degree > 6 .
- Then $K_X \sim \mathcal{O}(d/2 - 3)$ is big, so X of general type, but $X(k)$ is dense.
- The point is that a rational point might still fail to be integral: it may have “potentially good reduction” but not “good reduction”!
- The correct form of Lang’s conjecture is: if X is of general type then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ is not Zariski-dense.

What about a quantitative version? We need to account that even rational points may be ramified.

- Heights and intersection numbers are defined as usual.
- We must define the **discriminant** of a point $x \in X(k)$.

Extending Vojta to DM stacks

- Recall: Vojta \Rightarrow Lang.
- Example: $X = \mathbb{P}^2(\sqrt{C})$, where C a smooth curve of degree > 6 .
- Then $K_X \sim \mathcal{O}(d/2 - 3)$ is big, so X of general type, but $X(k)$ is dense.
- The point is that a rational point might still fail to be integral: it may have “potentially good reduction” but not “good reduction”!
- The correct form of Lang’s conjecture is: if X is of general type then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ is not Zariski-dense.

What about a quantitative version? We need to account that even rational points may be ramified.

- Heights and intersection numbers are defined as usual.
- We must define the discriminant of a point $x \in X(k)$.

Extending Vojta to DM stacks

- Recall: Vojta \Rightarrow Lang.
- Example: $X = \mathbb{P}^2(\sqrt{C})$, where C a smooth curve of degree > 6 .
- Then $K_X \sim \mathcal{O}(d/2 - 3)$ is big, so X of general type, but $X(k)$ is dense.
- The point is that a rational point might still fail to be integral: it may have “potentially good reduction” but not “good reduction”!
- The correct form of Lang’s conjecture is: if X is of general type then $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_{k,S})$ is not Zariski-dense.

What about a quantitative version? We need to account that even rational points may be ramified.

- Heights and intersection numbers are defined as usual.
- We must define the **discriminant** of a point $x \in X(k)$.

Discriminant of a rational point

- $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ smooth proper, \mathcal{X} a DM stack.
- For $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ with residue field $k(x)$, take Zariski closure and normalization of its image.
- Get a morphism $\mathcal{T}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, with \mathcal{T}_x a normal stack with coarse moduli scheme $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k(x),S}$.
- The **relative logarithmic discriminant** is

$$d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{deg} \mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k} \mathrm{deg} \Omega_{\mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k}.$$

Discriminant of a rational point

- $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ smooth proper, \mathcal{X} a DM stack.
- For $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ with residue field $k(x)$, take Zariski closure and normalization of its image.
- Get a morphism $\mathcal{T}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, with \mathcal{T}_x a normal stack with coarse moduli scheme $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k(x),S}$.
- The relative logarithmic discriminant is

$$d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) = \frac{1}{\deg \mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k} \deg \Omega_{\mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k}.$$

Discriminant of a rational point

- $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ smooth proper, \mathcal{X} a DM stack.
- For $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$ with residue field $k(x)$, take Zariski closure and normalization of its image.
- Get a morphism $\mathcal{T}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, with \mathcal{T}_x a normal stack with coarse moduli scheme $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k(x),S}$.
- The **relative logarithmic discriminant** is

$$d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{deg} \mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k} \mathrm{deg} \Omega_{\mathcal{T}_x / \mathcal{O}_k}.$$

Vojta's conjecture for stacks

Conjecture

k number field; S a finite set of places (including infinite ones).

$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper DM stack.

$X = \mathcal{X}_k$ generic fiber (assume irreducible)

\underline{X} coarse moduli of X ; assume projective with big line bundle H .

$\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ NC divisor with generic fiber D .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \geq h_{K_X+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

Vojta's conjecture for stacks

Conjecture

k number field; S a finite set of places (including infinite ones).

$\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ a smooth proper DM stack.

$X = \mathcal{X}_k$ generic fiber (assume irreducible)

\underline{X} coarse moduli of X ; assume projective with big line bundle H .

$\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ NC divisor with generic fiber D .

Fix a positive integer r and $\delta > 0$.

There is a proper Zariski closed $Z \subset X$ containing D such that

$$N_{\mathcal{X}}^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \geq h_{K_{\mathcal{X}+D}}(x) - \delta h_H(x) - O(1)$$

for all $x \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z(\bar{k})$ with $[k(x) : k] \leq r$.

Vojta is flexible

Proposition (K, V.-A. 2017)

Vojta for DM stacks follows from Vojta for schemes.

Key: Vojta showed that Vojta's conjecture is compatible with taking branched covers.

Proposition (Kresch–Vistoli)

- *There is a finite flat surjective morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$*
- *with Y a smooth projective irreducible scheme*
- *and $D_Y := \pi^* \mathcal{D}$ a NC divisor.*

Vojta for $Y \implies$ Vojta for X .

Vojta is flexible

Proposition (X, V.-A. 2017)

Vojta for DM stacks follows from Vojta for schemes.

Key: Vojta showed that Vojta's conjecture is compatible with taking branched covers.

Proposition (Kresch–Vistoli)

- *There is a finite flat surjective morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$*
- *with Y a smooth projective irreducible scheme*
- *and $D_Y := \pi^* \mathcal{D}$ a NC divisor.*

Vojta for $Y \implies$ Vojta for X .

Completing the proof of the Main Theorem

Recall:



$$W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$$

- and $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- Want to show: $\dim W_n \leq 0$. Proceed by contradiction.
- Let X is an irreducible positive dimensional component of W_n .
- $X' \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities.
- $X' \subseteq \overline{X'}$ smooth compactification with $D := \overline{X'} \setminus X'$ NC divisor.
- Pick model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of $(\overline{X'}, D)$ over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (Olsson)

Completing the proof of the Main Theorem

Recall:



$$W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$$

- and $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- Want to show: $\dim W_n \leq 0$. Proceed by contradiction.
- Let X is an irreducible positive dimensional component of W_n .
- $X' \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities.
- $X' \subseteq \bar{X}'$ smooth compactification with $D := \bar{X}' \setminus X'$ NC divisor.
- Pick model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of (\bar{X}', D) over $\text{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (Olsson)

Completing the proof of the Main Theorem

Recall:



$$W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$$

- and $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- Want to show: $\dim W_n \leq 0$. Proceed by contradiction.
- Let X is an irreducible positive dimensional component of W_n .
- $X' \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities.
- $X' \subseteq \overline{X'}$ smooth compactification with $D := \overline{X'} \setminus X'$ NC divisor.
- Pick model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of $(\overline{X'}, D)$ over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (Olsson)

Completing the proof of the Main Theorem

Recall:



$$W_i := \overline{\bigcup_{p \geq i} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_g(k)_{[p]}}$$

- and $W_n = W_{n+1} = \dots$ for some $n > 0$.
- Want to show: $\dim W_n \leq 0$. Proceed by contradiction.
- Let X is an irreducible positive dimensional component of W_n .
- $X' \rightarrow X$ a resolution of singularities.
- $X' \subseteq \overline{X}'$ smooth compactification with $D := \overline{X}' \setminus X'$ NC divisor.
- Pick model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of (\overline{X}', D) over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ (Olsson)

Birational geometry

- [Zuo 2000] $K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ is big.
- Remark [Brunenbarbe 2017]:
As soon as $m > 12g$, **every** subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]}$ is of general type.
Uses the fact that $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along the boundary.
Implies a Manin-type result for full $[p^r]$ -levels.
- Can one prove a result for torsion rather than full level?
- Taking $H = K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ get by Northcott an observation on the right hand side of Vojta's conjecture

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \geq \underbrace{h_{K_{\overline{X}'}+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x)}_{\text{large for small } \delta \text{ away from some } Z} - O(1)$$

Birational geometry

- [Zuo 2000] $K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ is big.
- Remark [Brunenbarbe 2017]:
As soon as $m > 12g$, **every** subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]}$ is of general type.
Uses the fact that $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along the boundary.
Implies a Manin-type result for full $[p^r]$ -levels.
- Can one prove a result for torsion rather than full level?
- Taking $H = K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ get by Northcott an observation on the right hand side of Vojta's conjecture

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \geq \underbrace{h_{K_{\overline{X}'}+D}(x) - \delta h_H(x)}_{\text{large for small } \delta \text{ away from some } Z} - O(1)$$

Birational geometry

- [Zuo 2000] $K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ is big.
- Remark [Brunenbarbe 2017]:
As soon as $m > 12g$, every subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]}$ is of general type.
Uses the fact that $\mathcal{A}_g^{[m]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along the boundary.
Implies a Manin-type result for full $[p^r]$ -levels.
- Can one prove a result for torsion rather than full level?
- Taking $H = K_{\overline{X}'} + D$ get by Northcott an observation on the right hand side of Vojta's conjecture

$$N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \geq \underbrace{h_{K_{\overline{X}'} + D}(x) - \delta h_H(x)}_{\text{large for small } \delta \text{ away from some } Z} - O(1)$$

Key Lemma

$X(k)_{[p]}$ = k -rational points of X corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level p structure.

Lemma

Fix $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. For all $p \gg 0$ and $x \in X(k)_{[p]}$, we have

$$(1) \quad N_X^{(1)}(D, x) \leq \epsilon_1 h_D(x) + O(1)$$

and

$$(1) \quad d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x) + O(1).$$

Note: $h_D \ll h_H$ outside some Z .

Vojta gives, outside some Z ,

$$h_H(x) \ll N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \ll \epsilon h_H(x),$$

giving finiteness outside this Z by Northcott.

Key Lemma

$X(k)_{[p]}$ = k -rational points of X corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level p structure.

Lemma

Fix $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. For all $p \gg 0$ and $x \in X(k)_{[p]}$, we have

$$(1) \quad N_X^{(1)}(D, x) \leq \epsilon_1 h_D(x) + O(1)$$

and

$$(1) \quad d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x) + O(1).$$

Note: $h_D \ll h_H$ outside some Z .

Vojta gives, outside some Z ,

$$h_H(x) \ll N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \ll \epsilon h_H(x),$$

giving finiteness outside this Z by Northcott.

Key Lemma

$X(k)_{[p]}$ = k -rational points of X corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level p structure.

Lemma

Fix $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. For all $p \gg 0$ and $x \in X(k)_{[p]}$, we have

$$(1) \quad N_X^{(1)}(D, x) \leq \epsilon_1 h_D(x) + O(1)$$

and

$$(1) \quad d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x) + O(1).$$

Note: $h_D \ll h_H$ outside some Z .

Vojta gives, outside some Z ,

$$h_H(x) \ll N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \ll \epsilon h_H(x),$$

giving finiteness outside this Z by Northcott.

Key Lemma

$X(k)_{[p]}$ = k -rational points of X corresponding to ppav's A/k admitting a full-level p structure.

Lemma

Fix $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$. For all $p \gg 0$ and $x \in X(k)_{[p]}$, we have

$$(1) \quad N_X^{(1)}(D, x) \leq \epsilon_1 h_D(x) + O(1)$$

and

$$(1) \quad d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x) + O(1).$$

Note: $h_D \ll h_H$ outside some Z .

Vojta gives, outside some Z ,

$$h_H(x) \ll N_X^{(1)}(D, x) + d_k(\mathcal{F}_x) \ll \epsilon h_H(x),$$

giving finiteness outside this Z by Northcott.

$$(1) N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll \epsilon_1 h_D(x)$$

- x is the image of a rational point on $\mathcal{A}_g^{[p]}$
- $\pi_p : \mathcal{A}_g^{[p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along D (Mumford / Madapusi Pera).
- So whenever $(D|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset$ its multiplicity is $\gg p$.
- so $N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{1}{p}}_{\sim \epsilon_1}$.

$$(1) \quad N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll \epsilon_1 h_D(x)$$

- x is the image of a rational point on $\mathcal{A}_g^{[p]}$
- $\pi_p : \mathcal{A}_g^{[p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along D (Mumford / Madapusi Pera).
- So whenever $(D|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset$ its multiplicity is $\gg p$.
- so $N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{1}{p}}_{\sim \epsilon_1}$.

$$(1) \quad N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll \epsilon_1 h_D(x)$$

- x is the image of a rational point on $\mathcal{A}_g^{[p]}$
- $\pi_p : \mathcal{A}_g^{[p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along D (Mumford / Madapusi Pera).
- So whenever $(D|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset$ its multiplicity is $\gg p$.
- so $N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{1}{p}}_{\sim \epsilon_1}$.

$$(1) \quad N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll \epsilon_1 h_D(x)$$

- x is the image of a rational point on $\mathcal{A}_g^{[p]}$
- $\pi_p : \mathcal{A}_g^{[p]} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$ is highly ramified along D (Mumford / Madapusi Pera).
- So whenever $(D|_{\mathcal{F}_x})_q \neq \emptyset$ its multiplicity is $\gg p$.
- so $N^{(1)}(D, x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{1}{p}}_{\sim \epsilon_1}$.

$$(2) \quad d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x)$$

- x corresponds to an abelian variety with many p -torsion points.
- Flexor–Oesterlé at any small prime $\Rightarrow h_D(x) \gg p^s$.
- x has semistable reduction outside $p \Rightarrow d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll \log p$
- so $d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{\log p}{p^s}}_{\sim \epsilon_2}$.

$$(2) \quad d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x)$$

- x corresponds to an abelian variety with many p -torsion points.
- Flexor–Oesterlé at any small prime $\Rightarrow h_D(x) \gg p^s$.
- x has semistable reduction outside $p \Rightarrow d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll \log p$
- so $d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{\log p}{p^s}}_{\sim \epsilon_2}$.

$$(2) \quad d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x)$$

- x corresponds to an abelian variety with many p -torsion points.
- Flexor–Oesterlé at any small prime $\Rightarrow h_D(x) \gg p^s$.
- x has semistable reduction outside $p \Rightarrow d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll \log p$
- so $d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{\log p}{p^s}}_{\sim \epsilon_2}$.

$$(2) \quad d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq \epsilon_2 h_D(x)$$

- x corresponds to an abelian variety with many p -torsion points.
- Flexor–Oesterlé at any small prime $\Rightarrow h_D(x) \gg p^s$.
- x has semistable reduction outside $p \Rightarrow d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll \log p$
- so $d_k(\mathcal{T}_x) \ll h_D(x) \underbrace{\frac{\log p}{p^s}}_{\sim \epsilon_2}$.