

# Punctured logarithmic maps and punctured invariants

Dan Abramovich, Brown University

Work with Qile Chen, Mark Gross and Bernd Siebert

3CinG - London, Warwick, Cambridge

Other work by Parker, Tehrani, Dhruv, Fan-Tseng-Wu-You

September 18, 2020

# Tension

- Virtual fundamental classes in Gromov–Witten theory require **working with smooth targets**.
- Making full use of deformation invariance in Gromov–Witten theory requires **degenerating the target**
- Such as  $xyz = t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .
- At the very least, étale locally like toric varieties and fibers of toric morphisms
- **We need a fairytale world in which these are smooth.**

# Log geometry

- Observation (Siebert, 2001): Such fairytale world already exists - **logarithmic geometry**.
- **schemes** are glued from closed subsets of **affine spaces** - the standard-issue smooth spaces.
- **log schemes** are étale glued from closed subsets of **affine toric varieties** - the standard-issue log smooth spaces.
- (keep this in mind when we go one step further)

## Log structures (K. Kato, Fontaine–Illusie)

- a **log structure** is a monoid homomorphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$
- such that  $\alpha^* \mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$  is an isomorphism.
- Morphisms are given by natural commutative diagrams. . .
- A key example is the log structure associated to an open  $U \subset X$ ,
- where  $M = \mathcal{O}_X \cap \mathcal{O}_U^\times$ .

## Toric and log smooth Log structures (K. Kato)

- When  $X$  is a toric variety and  $U$  the torus this is a prototypical example of a log smooth structure.
- In this case the monoid is associated to the regular monomials, with  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  thrown in.
- In general  $X$  is log smooth if it is étale locally toric.
- A morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  is log smooth if it is étale locally a base change of a dominant morphism of toric varieties.

# Log curves

- A **log curve** is a reduced 1-dimensional fiber of a flat **log smooth morphism**.
- F. Kato showed that these are the same as nodal marked curves, with “the natural” log structure.

## Log curves under the microscope

- Say  $C \rightarrow S$  a log curve,  $S = \text{Spec}(M_S \rightarrow k)$ .
- A general point of  $C$  looks like  $\text{Spec}(M_S \rightarrow k[x])$ .
- A node looks like  $\text{Spec}(M \rightarrow k[x, y]/(xy))$ , where

$$M = M_S \langle \log x, \log y \rangle / (\log x + \log y = \log t), t \in M_S.$$

- A marked point looks like  $\text{Spec}(M \rightarrow k[x])$  where

$$M = M_S \oplus \mathbb{N} \log x.$$

# Stable log maps

- Fix  $X$  a nice log smooth scheme.
- A **stable log map**  $C \rightarrow X$  is a log morphism with stable underlying morphism of schemes.
- Marked points record **contact orders** with divisors of  $X$ .
- These are recorded by integer points  $u \in \Sigma(X)(\mathbb{N})$ .
- Stable log maps have “standard issue” log structure, called **minimal**.

## Theorem ([GS,C,ACMW])

$\mathcal{M}(X, \tau)$ , the stack of minimal stable log maps of **type**  $\tau$ , is a Deligne–Mumford stack which is finite and representable over  $\mathcal{M}(\underline{X}, \underline{\tau})$ .

## Tropical picture

- $X$  has a cone complex  $\Sigma(X)$  with integer lattice.
- $C \rightarrow S$  has cone complex  $\Sigma(C) \rightarrow \Sigma(S)$ . The fiber over  $u \in \Sigma(S)$  is a **tropical curve**:
- Components give vertices, nodes give edges, and marked points give infinite legs.
- A stable log map gives  $\Sigma(C) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$ , a family of tropical curves in  $\Sigma(X)$ .
- Minimality is beautifully encoded in this picture. . .

# Logarithmic invariants

- Recall that  $\mathcal{M}(\underline{X}, \underline{\tau})$  has a perfect obstruction theory over  $\mathfrak{M}_{g,n} \times \underline{X}^n$ . This affords invariants by virtual pullback.
- $\mathcal{M}(X, \tau)$  has a POT over  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is the **artin fan**, a stack-theoretic version of  $\Sigma(X)$ .
- Here  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$  is approximately  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau) \times_{\mathcal{A}_X^n} X^n$ .

## Theorem ([GS,C,AC])

*$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$  is log smooth, and has a fundamental class. This affords invariants by virtual pullback.*

## An Analogy: Orbifold vs. Logarithmic cohomology

- If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an orbifold, **Chen-Ruan** defined orbifold and quantum cohomology based on  $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\infty(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Q})$ .
- $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\infty(\mathcal{X})$ , the **rigidified inertia stack** is the moduli space of orbifold points in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,
- whose components, **twisted sectors**, correspond to  $(x, \phi)$  where  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(x)$ .
- Chen Ruan cohomology pairs  $\phi$  with  $\phi^{-1}$ .
- If  $X$  is a log scheme, **Gross-Hacking-Keel-Siebert...** define the ring of theta functions,
- based on the moduli space  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of **log points** in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,
- whose components correspond to  $(x, u)$  where  $x \in X$  and  $u$  a contact order at  $x$ , namely  $u \in \Sigma(X)(\mathbb{N})$ .
- **what about  $-u$ ?**

# Splitting?

- Consider  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  the total space of  $xy = t$ , and
- $C \rightarrow S$  given by  $\{y = 0\} \rightarrow \{t = 0\}$ .
- At the origin  $M_S + \mathbb{N} \log x \subsetneq M \subsetneq M_S + \mathbb{Z} \log x$ .
- It is not a log curve, but rather a **punctured curve**.
- Its tropicaliation is a **finite leg**.

## Punctured curves and maps

- A **puncturing** of a marked curve is a log structure  $M$  at a marked point with

$$M_S + \mathbb{N} \log x \subseteq M \subsetneq M_S + \mathbb{Z} \log x.$$

- It is an instance of an **idealized log smooth** scheme.
- A morphism  $f : C \rightarrow X$  is **prestable** if  $M$  is generated by  $M_S + \mathbb{N} \log x$  and  $f^b M_X$ .

## Another example

- Now consider  $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  with log structure given by  $D =$  one ruling.
- Let a conic  $C$  degenerate to the union of the two rulings  $C_0 = D + F$ .
- Then  $D$  has one marked point and one puncture,
- and (after pre-stabilizing)  $F$  has one **marked point**.

## Punctured log maps

- A **punctured stable log map**  $C \rightarrow X$  is a prestable log morphism with stable underlying morphism of schemes.
- Punctured points record contact orders with divisors of  $X$ .
- These are recorded by integer **tangents** of the cone complex of  $X$ .
- Punctured log maps have “standard issue” minimal log structures.

### Theorem ([ACGS])

$\mathcal{M}(X, \tau)$ , the stack of minimal **punctured** stable log maps of **type**  $\tau$ , is a Deligne–Mumford stack which is finite and representable over  $\mathcal{M}(\underline{X}, \underline{\tau})$ .

## Punctured invariants

- $\mathcal{M}(X, \tau)$  has a POT over  $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_X$  is the artin fan.
- $\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$  is **not log smooth, and doesn't have** a fundamental class.

### Theorem ([ACGS])

$\mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau)$  is **idealized log smooth**. This affords invariants by super-careful virtual pullback.

- The case of  $g = 0, n = 3, u_1, u_2, -u_3 \in \Sigma(X)(\mathbb{N})$  is, fortunately, manageable.

# Gluing

- There is a natural finite and representable **splitting morphism**  
 $\mathcal{M}(X, \tau) \xrightarrow{\delta} \prod \mathcal{M}(X, \tau_i).$

## Theorem (ACGS)

*There is a virtual-pullback cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(X, \tau) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{M}(X, \tau_i) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{M}^{\text{ev}}(\mathcal{A}_X, \tau_i) \end{array}$$

*with horizontal arrows the splitting maps, and the vertical arrows the canonical strict morphisms.*

- One needs even more care to relate this to a diagonal map.

The end

Thank you for your attention