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## How to resolve a curve

To resolve a singular curve $C$
(1) find a singular point $x \in C$,
(2) blow it up.

Fact
$p_{a}$ gets smaller.

## How to resolve a surface

To resolve a singular surface $S$ one wants to
(1) find the worst singular locus $C \in S$,
(2) $C$ is smooth - blow it up.

## Fact

This in general does not get better.

## Example: Whitney's umbrella

Consider $S=V\left(x^{2}-y^{2} z\right) \quad$ (image by Eleonore Faber).

(1) The worst singularity is the origin.
(2) In the $z$ chart we get
$x=x_{3} z, y=y_{3} z$, giving
$x_{3}^{2} z^{2}-y_{3}^{2} z^{3}=0, \quad$ or $\quad z^{2}\left(x_{3}^{2}-y_{3}^{2} z\right)=0$.
The first term is exceptional, the second is the same as $S$.
Classical solution:
(a) Remember exceptional divisors (this is OK)
(b) Remember their history (this is a pain)

## Main result

Nevertheless:
Theorem ( $\aleph-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{MM}, ~ " w e i g h t e d ~ H i r o n a k a ", ~ c h a r a c t e r i s t i c ~ 0) ~$
There is a procedure $F$ associating to a singular subvariety $X \subset Y$ embedded with pure codimension $c$ in a smooth variety $Y$, a center $\bar{J}$ with blowing up $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ and proper transform $\left(X^{\prime} \subset Y^{\prime}\right)=F(X \subset Y)$ such that maxinv $\left(X^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{maxinv}(X)$. In particular, for some $n$ the iterate $\left(X_{n} \subset Y_{n}\right):=F^{\circ n}(X \subset Y)$ of $F$ has $X_{n}$ smooth.

Here

## procedure

means
a functor for smooth surjective morphisms:
if $f: Y_{1} \rightarrow Y$ smooth then $J_{1}=f^{-1} J$ and $Y_{1}^{\prime}=Y_{1} \times_{Y} Y^{\prime}$.

## Preview on invariants

For $p \in X$ we define

$$
\operatorname{inv}_{p}(X) \in \Gamma \subset \quad \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}^{\leq n},
$$

with 「 well-ordered, and show

## Proposition

- it is lexicographically upper-semi-continuous, and
- $p \in X$ is smooth $\Leftrightarrow \operatorname{inv}_{p}(X)=\min \Gamma$.

We define $\operatorname{maxinv}(X)=\max _{p} \operatorname{inv}_{p}(X)$.

> Example $\operatorname{inv}_{p}\left(V\left(x^{2}-y^{2} z\right)\right)=(2,3,3)$

## Remark

These invariants have been in our arsenal for ages.

## Preview of centers

If $\operatorname{inv}_{p}(X)=\operatorname{maxinv}(X)=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ then, locally at $p$

$$
J=\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{k}^{a_{k}}\right)
$$

Write $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)=\ell\left(1 / w_{1}, \ldots, 1 / w_{k}\right)$ with $w_{i}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)=1$. We set

$$
\bar{J}=\left(x_{1}^{1 / w_{1}}, \ldots, x_{k}^{1 / w_{k}}\right)
$$

## Example

For $X=V\left(x^{2}-y^{2} z\right)$ we have $J=\left(x^{2}, y^{3}, z^{3}\right) ; \bar{J}=\left(x^{1 / 3}, y^{1 / 2}, z^{1 / 2}\right)$.

## Remark

$J$ has been staring in our face for a while.

## Example: blowing up Whitney's umbrella $x^{2}=y^{2} z$

The blowing up $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ makes $\bar{J}=\left(x^{1 / 3}, y^{1 / 2}, z^{1 / 2}\right)$ principal. Explicitly:

- The $z$ chart has $x=w^{3} x_{3}, y=w^{2} y_{3}, z=w^{2}$ with chart

$$
Y^{\prime}=\left[\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{3}, y_{3}, w\right] /( \pm 1)\right]
$$

with action of $( \pm 1)$ given by $\left(x_{3}, y_{3}, w\right) \mapsto\left(-x_{3}, y_{3},-w\right)$.
The transformed equation is

$$
w^{6}\left(x_{3}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

and the invariant of the proper transform $\left(x_{3}^{2}-y_{3}^{2}\right)$ is
$(2,2)<(2,3,3)$.
In fact, $X$ has begged to be blown up like this all along.

## Definition of $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$

Let $\bar{J}=\left(x_{1}^{1 / w_{1}}, \ldots, x_{k}^{1 / w_{k}}\right)$. Define the graded algebra

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\bar{J}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y}[T]
$$

as the integral closure of the image of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left[Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right] & \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}[T] \\
Y_{i} & \longmapsto x_{i} T^{w_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
S_{0} \subset \operatorname{Spec}_{Y} \mathcal{A}_{J}, \quad S_{0}=V\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{J}\right)>0\right)
$$

Then

$$
B I_{\bar{J}}(Y):=\operatorname{Proj}_{Y} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{J}}:=\left[\left(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{J}} \backslash S_{0}\right) / \mathbb{G}_{m}\right]
$$

## Description of $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$

- Charts: The $x_{1}$-chart is

$$
\left[\operatorname{Spec} k\left[u, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right] / \mu_{w_{1}}\right]
$$

with $x_{1}=u^{w_{1}}$ and $x_{i}=u^{w_{i}} x_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$, and induced action:

$$
\left(u, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(\zeta u, \zeta^{-w_{2}} x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \zeta^{-w_{k}} x_{k}^{\prime}, x_{k+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

- Toric stack: $Y^{\prime}$ corresponds to the star subdivision $\Sigma:=v_{\bar{J}} \star \sigma$ along

$$
v_{\bar{J}}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

with a natural toric stack structure.

## Examples: Defining J

(1) Consider $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{8}\right)$ at $p=(0,0)$; write $\mathcal{I}:=\mathcal{I}_{X}$.

- Define $a_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathcal{I}=5$,
- and $x_{1}=$ any variable appearing in a degree- $a_{1}$ term $=x$.
- So $J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{5}, y^{\star}\right)$.
- To balance $x^{5}$ with $x^{3} y^{3}$ we need $x^{2}$ and $y^{3}$ to have the same weight, so $x^{5}$ and $y^{15 / 2}$ have the same weight.
- Since $15 / 2<8$ we use

$$
J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{5}, y^{15 / 2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{J_{\mathcal{I}}}=\left(x^{1 / 3}, y^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

(2) If instead we took $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{7}\right)$, then since $7<15 / 2$ we would use

$$
J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{5}, y^{7}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{J}_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{1 / 7}, y^{1 / 5}\right)
$$

## Examples: describing the blowing up

(1) Considering $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{8}\right)$ at $p=(0,0)$,

- the $x$-chart has $x=u^{3}, y=u^{2} y_{1}$ with $\mu_{3}$-action, and equation

$$
u^{15}\left(1+y_{1}^{3}+u y_{1}^{8}\right)
$$

with smooth proper transform.

- The $y$-chart has $y=v^{2}, x=v^{3} x_{1}$ with $\mu_{2}$-action, and equation

$$
v^{15}\left(x_{1}^{5}+x_{1}^{3}+u\right)
$$

with smooth proper transform.
(2) Considering $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{7}\right)$ at $p=(0,0)$,

- the $x$-chart has $x=u^{7}, y=u^{5} y_{1}$ with $\mu_{7}$-action, and equation

$$
u^{35}\left(1+u y_{1}^{3}+y_{1}^{7}\right)
$$

with smooth proper transform.

- The $y$-chart has $y=v^{5}, x=v^{7} x_{1}$ with $\mu_{5}$-action, and equation

$$
v^{35}\left(x_{1}^{5}+u x_{1}^{3}+1\right)
$$

with smooth proper transform.

## Coefficient ideals

We must restrict to $x_{1}=0$ the data of all

$$
\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D} \mathcal{I}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}^{a_{1}-1} \mathcal{I}
$$

with corresponding weights

$$
a_{1}, a_{1}-1, \ldots, 1
$$

We combine these in

$$
C\left(\mathcal{I}, a_{1}\right):=\sum f\left(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D} \mathcal{I}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}^{a_{1}-1} \mathcal{I}\right)
$$

where $f$ runs over monomials $f=t_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots t_{a_{1}-1}^{b_{a_{1}-1}}$ with weights

$$
\sum b_{1}\left(a_{1}-i\right) \geq a_{1}!
$$

Define $\mathcal{I}[2]=\left.C\left(\mathcal{I}, a_{1}\right)\right|_{x_{1}=0}$.

## Defining $J_{\mathcal{I}}$

## Definition

Let $a_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathcal{I}$, with $x_{1}$ a regular element in $\mathcal{D}^{a_{1}-1} \mathcal{I}$ - a maximal contact. Suppose $\mathcal{I}$ [2] has invariant $\operatorname{inv}_{p}(\mathcal{I}[2])$ defined with parameters $\bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{k}$, with lifts $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Set

$$
\operatorname{inv}_{p}(\mathcal{I})=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right):=\left(a_{1}, \frac{\operatorname{inv}_{p}(\mathcal{I}[2])}{\left(a_{1}-1\right)!}\right)
$$

and

$$
J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{k}^{a_{k}}\right)
$$

## Example

(1) for $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{8}\right)$ we have $\mathcal{I}[2]=(y)^{180}$, so

$$
J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{5}, y^{180 / 24}\right)=\left(x^{5}, y^{15 / 2}\right)
$$

(2) for $X=V\left(x^{5}+x^{3} y^{3}+y^{7}\right)$ we have $\mathcal{I}[2]=(y)^{7 \cdot 24}$, so $J_{\mathcal{I}}=\left(x^{5}, y^{7}\right)$.

## What is $J$ ?

## Definition

Consider the Zariski-Riemann space $\mathbf{Z R}(X)$ with its sheaf of ordered groups $\Gamma$, and associated sheaf of rational ordered group $\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

- A valuative $\mathbb{Q}$-ideal is

$$
\left.\gamma \in H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Z R}(X),(\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{Q})_{\geq 0}\right)\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}: v(f) \geq \gamma_{v} \forall v\right\}$.
- $v(\mathcal{I}):=(\min v(f): f \in \mathcal{I})_{v}$.

A center is in particular a valuative $\mathbb{Q}$-ideal.

## Admissibility and coefficient ideals

## Definition <br> $J$ is $\mathcal{I}$-admissible if $v(J) \leq v(\mathcal{I})$.

## Lemma

This is equivalent to $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}=E^{l} \mathcal{I}^{\prime}$, with $J=\bar{J}^{\ell}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ an ideal.
Indeed, on $Y^{\prime}$ the center $J$ becomes $E^{\ell}$, in particular principal.

## Proposition

$J$ is $\mathcal{I}$-admissible if and only if $J^{\left(a_{1}-1\right)!}$ is $C\left(\mathcal{I}, a_{1}\right)$ - admissible.
This is a consequence of the following technical, but known, lemma.

## Structure of coefficient ideals

## Lemma

If $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\mathcal{I})=a_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ a corresponding maximal contact, then in $\mathbb{C} \llbracket x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \rrbracket$ we have

$$
C(\mathcal{I}, a)=\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}!}\right)+\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}!-1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(x_{1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a_{1}!-1}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a_{1}!}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{C}_{a_{1}!} \subset\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{a!} \subset k \llbracket x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \rrbracket,
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{j-1}:=\mathcal{D} \leq 1\left(\mathcal{C}_{j}\right)$ satisfy $\mathcal{C}_{k} \mathcal{C}_{l} \subset \mathcal{C}_{k+1}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{j}=\mathcal{C}_{j} k \llbracket x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \rrbracket$.
The lemma and proposition are proven by looking at monomials.

## The key theorems

## Theorem <br> The invariant is well-defined, USC, functorial.

Theorem
The center is well-defined.

## Theorem <br> $J_{\mathcal{I}}$ is $\mathcal{I}$-admissible.

Theorem
$C\left(\mathcal{I}, a_{1}\right) \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}=E^{\ell^{\prime}} C^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{inv}_{p^{\prime}} C^{\prime}<\operatorname{inv}_{p}\left(C\left(\mathcal{I}, a_{1}\right)\right)$.

Theorem
$\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\prime}}=E^{\ell} \mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{inv}_{p^{\prime}} \mathcal{I}^{\prime}<\operatorname{inv}_{p}(\mathcal{I})$.

## The end

## Thank you for your attention

