

Description of admissibility

Definition

J is \mathcal{I} -admissible if $J \leq v(\mathcal{I})$.

Lemma

This is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'} = E^\ell \mathcal{I}'$, with $J = \bar{J}^\ell$ and \mathcal{I}' an ideal.

- Indeed, on Y' the center J becomes E^ℓ , in particular principal.

Description of admissibility

Definition

J is \mathcal{I} -admissible if $J \leq v(\mathcal{I})$.

Lemma

This is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'} = E^\ell \mathcal{I}'$, with $J = \bar{J}^\ell$ and \mathcal{I}' an ideal.

- Indeed, on Y' the center J becomes E^ℓ , in particular principal.
- So on Y' , we have $J \leq v(\mathcal{I}) \Leftrightarrow E^\ell \supseteq \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$.♠
- This is more subtle in Quek's theorem!

Description of admissibility

Definition

J is \mathcal{I} -admissible if $J \leq v(\mathcal{I})$.

Lemma

This is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'} = E^\ell \mathcal{I}'$, with $J = \bar{J}^\ell$ and \mathcal{I}' an ideal.

- Indeed, on Y' the center J becomes E^ℓ , in particular principal.
- So on Y' , we have $J \leq v(\mathcal{I}) \Leftrightarrow E^\ell \supseteq \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. ♠
- This is more subtle in Quek's theorem!
- Write $J = (x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$ and $\mathcal{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.
- Expand $f_i = \sum c_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.
- $J < v(\mathcal{I}) \Leftrightarrow v_J(f_i) \geq 1$ for all i

Description of admissibility

Definition

J is \mathcal{I} -admissible if $J \leq v(\mathcal{I})$.

Lemma

This is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'} = E^\ell \mathcal{I}'$, with $J = \bar{J}^\ell$ and \mathcal{I}' an ideal.

- Indeed, on Y' the center J becomes E^ℓ , in particular principal.
- So on Y' , we have $J \leq v(\mathcal{I}) \Leftrightarrow E^\ell \supseteq \mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y'}$. ♠
- This is more subtle in Quek's theorem!
- Write $J = (x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_k^{a_k})$ and $\mathcal{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.
- Expand $f_i = \sum c_\alpha x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.
- $J < v(\mathcal{I}) \Leftrightarrow v_J(f_i) \geq 1$ for all i
- $\Leftrightarrow \sum \frac{\alpha_j}{a_j} \geq 1$ for all i and α such that $c_\alpha \neq 0$.

Consequences

- J is $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible $\Rightarrow J$ is $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^a$ is \mathcal{I}^a -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^{1-\frac{1}{a_1}}$ is $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ -admissible.

Consequences

- J is $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible $\Rightarrow J$ is $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^a$ is \mathcal{I}^a -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^{1-\frac{1}{a_1}}$ is $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ -admissible.

Proof.

$$v_J \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x_j} \right) = \sum \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{a_j} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a_1}.$$

Consequences

- J is $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible $\Rightarrow J$ is $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2$ -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^a$ is \mathcal{I}^a -admissible.
- J is \mathcal{I} -admissible $\Rightarrow J^{1-\frac{1}{a_1}}$ is $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ -admissible.

Proof.

$$v_J \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x_j} \right) = \sum \frac{\alpha_i}{a_i} - \frac{1}{a_j} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{a_1}.$$



Combining:

Proposition

A center J is \mathcal{I} -admissible if and only if $J^{(a_1-1)!}$ is $C(\mathcal{I}, a_1)$ -admissible.