

A Very Elementary Proof of a Conjecture of B. and M. Shapiro for Cubic Rational Functions

Xander Faber and Bianca Thompson*

Smith College

January 2016

- K = field with characteristic 0
- \overline{K} = algebraic closure of K
- $f, g \in \overline{K}(z)$ are *equivalent* if there exists a linear fractional transformation $\sigma \in \overline{K}$ such that $f = \sigma \circ g$.

A Case of the B. and M. Shapiro Conjecture

Theorem (Eremenko-Gabrielov)

If $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a rational function with real coefficients.

- **Goldberg:** There are at most

$$\rho(d) := \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1}$$

equivalence classes of degree d rational functions with a given set of critical points.

- **Goldberg:** There are at most

$$\rho(d) := \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1}$$

equivalence classes of degree d rational functions with a given set of critical points.

- **Eremenko and Gabrielov:** Using topological, combinatorial, and complex analytic techniques construct exactly $\rho(d)$ real rational functions with a given set of real critical points.

- **Goldberg:** There are at most

$$\rho(d) := \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1}$$

equivalence classes of degree d rational functions with a given set of critical points.

- **Eremenko and Gabrielov:** Using topological, combinatorial, and complex analytic techniques construct exactly $\rho(d)$ real rational functions with a given set of real critical points.
- **But!** The relationship between a rational function and its critical points is purely algebraic, via the roots of the derivative.

- **Goldberg:** There are at most

$$\rho(d) := \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1}$$

equivalence classes of degree d rational functions with a given set of critical points.

- **Eremenko and Gabrielov:** Using topological, combinatorial, and complex analytic techniques construct exactly $\rho(d)$ real rational functions with a given set of real critical points.
- **But!** The relationship between a rational function and its critical points is purely algebraic, via the roots of the derivative.
- This leads to the following question:

Question:

Is there a truly elementary proof of the Eremenko and Gabrielov's result?

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques we can show, if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a degree 3 rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a rational function with real coefficients.

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques we can show, if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a degree 3 rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a rational function with real coefficients.

Note:

- The quadratic case is trivial over any field.

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques we can show, if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a degree 3 rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a rational function with real coefficients.

Note:

- The quadratic case is trivial over any field.
- If $f \in \overline{K}(z)$ has critical points $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, $c_1 \neq \infty$, then either $f = \left(\frac{z-c_1}{z-c_2}\right)^2$ or $f = (z - c_1)^2$.

- Riemann Hurwitz $\Rightarrow f$ has at most $2d - 2 = 4$ critical points.

- Riemann Hurwitz $\Rightarrow f$ has at most $2d - 2 = 4$ critical points.
- f can not be unicritical (Faber).

- Riemann Hurwitz $\Rightarrow f$ has at most $2d - 2 = 4$ critical points.
- f can not be unicritical (Faber).
- f has 2 or 3 distinct critical points \Rightarrow at least one critical point is totally ramified.

- Riemann Hurwitz $\Rightarrow f$ has at most $2d - 2 = 4$ critical points.
- f can not be unicritical (Faber).
- f has 2 or 3 distinct critical points \Rightarrow at least one critical point is totally ramified.
- f has 4 distinct critical points.

Normal form for cubic

- We begin with a normal form for cubic functions. For $u \in \overline{K} \setminus \{-1, -2\}$, define

$$f_u(z) = \frac{z^2(z+u)}{(2u+3)z - (u+2)}. \quad (1)$$

- This function has the property that it fixes 0, 1, and ∞ , and each of these three points is critical.

Normal form for cubic

- We begin with a normal form for cubic functions. For $u \in \overline{K} \setminus \{-1, -2\}$, define

$$f_u(z) = \frac{z^2(z + u)}{(2u + 3)z - (u + 2)}. \quad (1)$$

- This function has the property that it fixes 0, 1, and ∞ , and each of these three points is critical.

Lemma

A cubic rational function that is critical at 0, 1, and ∞ is equivalent to a unique f_u , and the fourth critical point is $\phi(u) = -u \frac{u+2}{2u+3}$.

Proposition

If $f_u \in \overline{K}(z)$ is equivalent to a rational function with K -coefficients, then $u \in K$.

Algebraic Condition

Definition

*For a field K and rational function $\phi \in K(z)$, we say K is ϕ -**perfect** if the map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ is surjective.*

Theorem (Faber, T.)

Let K be a field of characteristic zero with algebraic closure \overline{K} . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. Any cubic rational function $f \in \overline{K}(z)$ with K -rational critical points is equivalent to a rational function in $K(z)$.*
- 2. K is ϕ -perfect, where $\phi(z) = -z^{\frac{z+2}{2z+3}}$.*

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2).

- Take $y \in K$. Solve the equation $\phi(u) = y$ with $u \in K$.
If $y = \infty$, then we may take $u = -3/2$

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2).

- Take $y \in K$. Solve the equation $\phi(u) = y$ with $u \in K$.
If $y = \infty$, then we may take $u = -3/2$
- Otherwise, choose $u \in \bar{K}$ such that $\phi(u) = y$.

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2).

- Take $y \in K$. Solve the equation $\phi(u) = y$ with $u \in K$.
If $y = \infty$, then we may take $u = -3/2$
- Otherwise, choose $u \in \bar{K}$ such that $\phi(u) = y$.
- Then the function f_u has K -rational critical points $\{0, 1, \infty, y\}$.

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

(1) \Rightarrow (2).

- Take $y \in K$. Solve the equation $\phi(u) = y$ with $u \in K$.
If $y = \infty$, then we may take $u = -3/2$
- Otherwise, choose $u \in \bar{K}$ such that $\phi(u) = y$.
- Then the function f_u has K -rational critical points $\{0, 1, \infty, y\}$.
- Since f_u is equivalent to a rational function with K -coefficients, the proposition implies that $u \in K$.



(2) \Rightarrow (1).

(2) \Rightarrow (1).

- Suppose that f has at least three critical points.
WLOG, assume that 0, 1, and ∞ are among them.

(2) \Rightarrow (1).

- Suppose that f has at least three critical points.
WLOG, assume that 0 , 1 , and ∞ are among them.
- By the lemma, f is equivalent to f_u for some $u \in \bar{K}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1).

- Suppose that f has at least three critical points. WLOG, assume that 0 , 1 , and ∞ are among them.
- By the lemma, f is equivalent to f_u for some $u \in \bar{K}$.
- The remaining critical point is $\phi(u)$. By assumption, both solutions of $\phi(z) = \phi(u)$ lie in $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$, so that $u \in K$. That is, f is equivalent to a rational function with K -coefficients.



$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques, we can show if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a cubic rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a real rational function.

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques, we can show if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a cubic rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a real rational function.

Proof.

\mathbb{R} is ϕ -perfect for ϕ as in the theorem.

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques, we can show if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a cubic rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a real rational function.

Proof.

\mathbb{R} is ϕ -perfect for ϕ as in the theorem.

- $\phi(-3/2) = \infty$, and if $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then the equation $\phi(z) = y$ is equivalent to a quadratic equation with discriminant $4(y^2 - y + 1) = (2y - 1)^2 + 3 > 0$.

$$\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$$

Corollary (Faber, T.)

Using only algebraic techniques, we can show if $f \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ is a cubic rational function with only real critical points, then f is equivalent to a real rational function.

Proof.

\mathbb{R} is ϕ -perfect for ϕ as in the theorem.

- $\phi(-3/2) = \infty$, and if $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then the equation $\phi(z) = y$ is equivalent to a quadratic equation with discriminant $4(y^2 - y + 1) = (2y - 1)^2 + 3 > 0$.
- Hence $\phi(z) = y$ has a real solution.



Question:

Are there other fields K for which our corollary will hold?

Question:

Are there other fields K for which our corollary will hold?
That is can we show there are other fields K which are ϕ -perfect, where $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$?

- Number fields are not ϕ -perfect for any ϕ with $\deg(\phi) \geq 2$. We can show this using a canonical height argument.
- If $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$, the field \mathbb{Q}_p is ϕ -perfect iff $p = 3$.

Proof for $p > 3$.

- The resultant of $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$ is 3 \Rightarrow reduced modulo p to yield a quadratic function $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$.

Proof for $p > 3$.

- The resultant of $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$ is 3 \Rightarrow reduced modulo p to yield a quadratic function $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$.
- Note that $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \tilde{\phi}(-2)$, so that $\tilde{\phi}$ is not injective on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof for $p > 3$.

- The resultant of $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$ is 3 \Rightarrow reduced modulo p to yield a quadratic function $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$.
- Note that $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \tilde{\phi}(-2)$, so that $\tilde{\phi}$ is not injective on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- Since this is a finite set, $\tilde{\phi}$ also fails to be surjective. Choose $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(z) = \tilde{y}$ has no solution in \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof for $p > 3$.

- The resultant of $\phi(z) = -z \frac{z+2}{2z+3}$ is 3 \Rightarrow reduced modulo p to yield a quadratic function $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathbb{F}_p(z)$.
- Note that $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \tilde{\phi}(-2)$, so that $\tilde{\phi}$ is not injective on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- Since this is a finite set, $\tilde{\phi}$ also fails to be surjective. Choose $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(z) = \tilde{y}$ has no solution in \mathbb{F}_p .
- By Hensel's lemma, it follows that $\phi(z) = y$ has no solution in \mathbb{Z}_p for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $y \equiv \tilde{y} \pmod{p}$.



Proof continued.

- It remains to show that $\phi(z) = y$ has no solution in $\mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \mathbb{Z}_p$.
- If $\phi(x) = y$ with $|x|_p > 1$, then

$$|\phi(x)|_p = |x|_p \cdot \left| \frac{1 + 2/x}{2 + 3/x} \right|_p = |x|_p > 1,$$

which contradicts $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence $\phi(z) = y$ has no solution in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and we have proved that \mathbb{Q}_p is not ϕ -perfect.



Further Thoughts

- A general rational function of degree d has $2d + 1$ free parameters (coefficients) and $2d - 2$ critical points.

Further Thoughts

- A general rational function of degree d has $2d + 1$ free parameters (coefficients) and $2d - 2$ critical points.
- Imposing the condition that $0, 1, \infty$ are fixed and critical reduces to $2d - 5$ free parameters.

Further Thoughts

- A general rational function of degree d has $2d + 1$ free parameters (coefficients) and $2d - 2$ critical points.
- Imposing the condition that $0, 1, \infty$ are fixed and critical reduces to $2d - 5$ free parameters.
- Fix a set of critical points. The Wronskian gives $2d - 5$ free coefficients for the function satisfying $2d - 5$ quadratic equations in $2d - 5$ variables over K .

Further Thoughts

- A general rational function of degree d has $2d + 1$ free parameters (coefficients) and $2d - 2$ critical points.
- Imposing the condition that $0, 1, \infty$ are fixed and critical reduces to $2d - 5$ free parameters.
- Fix a set of critical points. The Wronskian gives $2d - 5$ free coefficients for the function satisfying $2d - 5$ quadratic equations in $2d - 5$ variables over K .
- $d = 3$: $2d - 5 = 1$. Express the remaining critical point as a function of the free parameter.

Further Thoughts

- A general rational function of degree d has $2d + 1$ free parameters (coefficients) and $2d - 2$ critical points.
- Imposing the condition that $0, 1, \infty$ are fixed and critical reduces to $2d - 5$ free parameters.
- Fix a set of critical points. The Wronskian gives $2d - 5$ free coefficients for the function satisfying $2d - 5$ quadratic equations in $2d - 5$ variables over K .
- $d = 3$: $2d - 5 = 1$. Express the remaining critical point as a function of the free parameter.
- Is it possible to solve for the critical points as explicit functions of parameters for $d > 3$?

Further Thoughts continued

- Bézout's Theorem gives an upper bound of 2^{2d-5} solutions for a general system of $2d - 5$ conics, while Goldberg bounds the number of distinct solutions by the smaller quantity

$$\frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1} \approx \frac{8}{\sqrt{\pi} d^{3/2}} 2^{2d-5}.$$

Further Thoughts continued

- Bézout's Theorem gives an upper bound of 2^{2d-5} solutions for a general system of $2d - 5$ conics, while Goldberg bounds the number of distinct solutions by the smaller quantity

$$\frac{1}{d} \binom{2d-2}{d-1} \approx \frac{8}{\sqrt{\pi} d^{3/2}} 2^{2d-5}.$$

- This suggests a substantial amount of extra structure in our system of equations, which may make progress possible.

Quartic

Example

$$f(z) = \frac{(z^4 + az^3 + bz^2)}{cz^2 + dz + 1 + a + b - c - d}$$

where $d = \frac{(3a^2 + 5ab + 2b^2 - 2ac - 2bc + 7a + 6b - 2c + 4)}{a + b + 1}$.

The critical points are:

$$t_1 = -(ac + 9a + 6b - 4c + 12)/(2c)$$

$$t_2 = (6a^2 + 4ab - 3ac + 9a + 2b)/(2c)$$

$$t_3 = -b(2a + b - c + 3)/c$$

THANK YOU!