

Geometry and Arithmetic of Dominant Rational Self-Maps of Projective Space

Joseph H. Silverman

Brown University

Conference on automorphisms and endomorphisms
of algebraic varieties and compact complex
manifolds from the point of view of complex
dynamics

18–23 December 2011

Shirahama, Wakayama, Japan

Algebraic Dynamics

Morphisms, Rational Maps, and Dominant Maps

Iteration of a **morphism**

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

is well-defined, as is the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\phi(P)$ of a point.

Iteration of a **dominant rational map**

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

is well-defined, but the orbit of P is undefined if some iterate $\phi^n(P)$ is in the indeterminacy locus $I(\phi)$ of ϕ .

We set the notation

$$\mathbb{P}_\phi^N = \{P \in \mathbb{P}^N : \mathcal{O}_\phi(P) \cap I(\phi) = \emptyset\}.$$

The Space of Rational Maps

A degree d rational map

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

is described by degree d homogeneous polynomials

$$\phi = [\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N], \quad \phi_0, \dots, \phi_N \in k[X_0, \dots, X_N],$$

having no common factors.

Replacing ϕ_0, \dots, ϕ_N with $c\phi_0, \dots, c\phi_N$ gives the same map, so ϕ corresponds to a point

$$\phi \in \mathbb{P}^M, \quad \text{where } M = \binom{N+d}{d} (N+1) - 1.$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Rat}_d^N &= \{\text{degree } d \text{ rational maps } \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N\} \subset \mathbb{P}^M, \\ \text{Hom}_d^N &= \{\text{degree } d \text{ morphisms } \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N\} \subset \mathbb{P}^M. \end{aligned}$$

Then Hom_d^N and Rat_d^N are Zariski open subsets of \mathbb{P}^M .

Morphisms and Dominant Rational Maps

Classical Theorem. There is a polynomial $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ in the coefficients of ϕ such that

$$\text{Hom}_d^N = \mathbb{P}^M \setminus \{\mathcal{R}(\phi) = 0\}.$$

$\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ is called the Macaulay resultant of ϕ .

Define

$$\text{Dom}_d^N = \{\text{dominant degree } d \text{ maps } \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N\} \subset \mathbb{P}^M.$$

Clearly

$$\text{Hom}_d^N \subset \text{Dom}_d^N \subset \text{Rat}_d^N \subset \mathbb{P}^M.$$

Classical(?) Theorem. The set Dom_d^N of dominant rational maps is a Zariski open subset of \mathbb{P}^M .

Arithmetic Dynamics

Height Functions

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field. The **height** of a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(K)$ is

$$h(P) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \log \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \|x_i(P)\|_v,$$

where the absolute values v on K are appropriately normalized.

Intuition The height $h(P)$ satisfies

$h(P) \asymp$ number of bits to store P on a computer.

Example For $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{Q})$, write

$$P = [\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N] \text{ with } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \gcd(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N) = 1.$$

Then

$$h(P) = \log \max\{|\alpha_0|, \dots, |\alpha_N|\}.$$

Basic Properties of Height Functions

Height functions are a fundamental tool in arithmetic geometry and arithmetic dynamics. Two important properties:

Finiteness. For all A and B , the set

$$\{P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : h(P) \leq A \text{ and } [\mathbb{Q}(P) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq B\}$$

is finite.

Functoriality. Let

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

be a *morphism* defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$h(\phi(P)) = (\deg \phi)h(P) + O(1) \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

An Application to Periodic Points

Let

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

be a *morphism* defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of degree $d \geq 2$. It's an exercise using functoriality to prove that

$$\{P \in \mathbb{P}^N : P \text{ is preperiodic for } \phi\}$$

is a set of bounded height.

In particular, for any number field K ,

$$\text{PrePer}(\phi) \cap \mathbb{P}^N(K) \text{ is finite.}$$

These results are due to Northcott (1950).

Functoriality for Rational Maps?

If $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is a rational map of degree d , we always have an upper bound

$$h(\phi(P)) \leq dh(P) + O(1).$$

The proof is elementary, using the triangle inequality and a lot of algebra.

There is no corresponding lower bound.

Example For the degree 2 map $\phi([x, y, z]) = [x^2, y^2, xz]$, there is a Zariski dense set of points P such that

$$h(\phi(P)) \approx h(P).$$

However, for this map we do have

$$h(\phi(P)) \geq h(P) \quad \text{for all } P \text{ with } x(P) \neq 0.$$

A Lower Bound for Dominant Rational Maps

Theorem. Let

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

be a dominant rational map of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. There are constants $c_1 > 0$ and c_2 and a nonempty Zariski open set $U_\phi \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ such that

$$h(\phi(P)) \geq c_1 h(P) - c_2 \quad \text{for all } P \in U_\phi(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

So for dominant maps there is a nontrivial lower bound for the height of $\phi(P)$ if we omit a closed subset. The optimal value of $c_1 = c_1(\phi)$ is naturally of interest.

The Height Expansion Coefficient

Definition The **height expansion coefficient** of ϕ is the quantity

$$\mu(\phi) = \sup_{\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathbb{P}^N} \liminf_{\substack{P \in U(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ h(P) \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{h(\phi(P))}{h(P)}.$$

The theorem on the previous slide says that

$$\mu(\phi) > 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

and that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\emptyset \neq U_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ such that

$$h(\phi(P)) \geq (\mu(\phi) - \epsilon)h(P) \quad \text{for all } P \in U_\epsilon(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Of course, if ϕ is a morphism, i.e., if $\phi \in \text{Hom}_d^N$, then we have $\mu(\phi) = d$.

Examples of Height Expansion Ratios

Example 1 The map

$$\phi([x_0, \dots, x_N]) = [x_0^{-1}, \dots, x_N^{-1}]$$

has height expansion ratio

$$\mu(\phi) = \frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{\deg \phi}.$$

Example 2 Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a regular affine automorphism of degree d with $\dim I(\phi) = 0$. Then

$$\mu(\phi) = \frac{1}{d^{N-1}}.$$

(This example follows from results of Kawaguchi.)

The Universal Height Expansion Ratio

The theorem says that $\mu(\phi) > 0$ for every $\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Definition The **universal height expansion ratio** for degree d dominant maps of \mathbb{P}^N is

$$\bar{\mu}_d(\mathbb{P}^N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})} \mu(\phi).$$

Theorem. For all $N \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$,

$$\bar{\mu}_d(\mathbb{P}^N) > 0.$$

The proof is a double induction on dimension using a general height result for dominant rational maps of varieties, applied to the universal family of degree d dominant rational maps of \mathbb{P}^N .

We have $\bar{\mu}_d(\mathbb{P}^1) = d$, while Example 2 shows that

$$\bar{\mu}_d(\mathbb{P}^N) \leq d^{-(N-1)} \quad \text{for all } N \geq 2.$$

Dynamical Degree

Dynamical Degree

The (first) **dynamical degree** of a dominant rational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is

$$\text{DynDeg}(\phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\deg \phi^n)^{1/n}.$$

(An elementary argument shows that the limit exists)

The **algebraic entropy of ϕ** is $\log \text{DynDeg}(\phi)$.

Conjecture A. (Bellon–Viallet) The dynamical degree is always an algebraic integer.

Conjecture B. The quantity

$$\ell_\phi = \inf \left\{ \ell \geq 0 : \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\deg(\phi^n)}{n^\ell \text{DynDeg}(\phi)^n} < \infty \right\}.$$

is an integer satisfying $0 \leq \ell_\phi \leq N$.

Monomial Maps

A **monomial map** $\phi_A : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is an endomorphism of the torus \mathbb{G}_m^N defined by a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with integer coefficients and $\det(A) \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_A(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\ = (x_1^{a_{11}} x_2^{a_{12}} \cdots x_N^{a_{1N}}, \dots, x_1^{a_{N1}} x_2^{a_{N2}} \cdots x_N^{a_{NN}}). \end{aligned}$$

The map ϕ_A is **semisimple** if A is diagonalizable.

Theorem. Let $\phi_A : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a monomial map.

(a) (Hasselblatt–Propp)

$$\text{DynDeg}(\phi_A) = \text{spectral radius of } A.$$

(b) (Lin, Jonsson–Wulcan)

$$\deg(\phi_A^n) \asymp n^\ell \text{DynDeg}(\phi_A)^n$$

for an integer $0 \leq \ell < N$.

Thus Conjectures A and B are true for monomial maps.

Arithmetic Degree
and
Arithmetic Entropy

The Arithmetic Degree of a Rational Map at a Point

If ϕ is a *morphism* of degree d and P is not preperiodic, then $h(\phi^n(P)) \asymp d^n$, so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^n(P))^{1/n} = d.$$

For $\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}_\phi^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, the **arithmetic degree of ϕ at P** is

$$\text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\phi^n(P))^{1/n}.$$

We call $\log(\text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P))$ the **arithmetic entropy** of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\phi(P)$.

Since $h(\phi^n(P)) \ll d^n$, we have

$$1 \leq \text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) \leq \deg(\phi).$$

Dynamical Degree and Arithmetic Degree

Elementary Theorem.

$$\text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) \leq \text{DynDeg}(\phi).$$

Conjecture C. Let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a dominant rational map defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(a) The set

$$\{\text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}_\phi^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})\}$$

is a finite set of algebraic integers.

(b) If $\mathcal{O}_\phi(P)$ is Zariski dense in \mathbb{P}^N , then

$$\text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) = \text{DynDeg}(\phi).$$

Theorem. (JS) Conjecture C is true for (semisimple) monomial maps.

Canonical Heights

Canonical Height for Dominant Rational Maps

Let $\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and assume (Conjecture B) that

$$\deg(\phi^n) \asymp n^\ell \cdot \text{DynDeg}(\phi)^n.$$

The **ϕ -canonical height of $P \in \mathbb{P}_\phi^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$** is

$$\hat{h}_\phi(P) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\phi^n(P))}{n^\ell \cdot \text{DynDeg}(\phi)^n}.$$

This definition generalizes Kawaguchi's canonical heights for regular affine automorphisms.

The height is “canonical” in the sense that

$$\hat{h}_\phi(\phi(P)) = \text{DynDeg}(\phi) \cdot \hat{h}_\phi(P).$$

Conjecture D. $\text{DynDeg}(\phi) > 1 \implies \hat{h}_\phi(P) < \infty.$

Conjecture D is true for monomial maps.

Two Conjectures Relating Degrees and Heights

Conjecture E. For $\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_\phi$,

$$\hat{h}_\phi(P) > 0 \iff \text{ArithDeg}(\phi, P) = \text{DynDeg}(\phi).$$

(The implication \implies is easy.)

Conjecture F. Let $\phi \in \text{Dom}_d^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ be a map with $\text{DynDeg}(\phi) > 1$, and let $P \in \mathbb{P}^N(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})_\phi$ be a point whose orbit $\mathcal{O}_\phi(P)$ is Zariski dense. Then

$$\hat{h}_\phi(P) > 0.$$

Conjectures C, D, E and F are true for (semisimple) monomial maps. The proof uses:

- A local non-limit description of $\hat{h}_\phi(P) = 0$.
- A lemma describing when $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linear relations among transcendental numbers descend to \mathbb{Q} -linear relations.
- Baker's theorem on linear-forms-in-logarithms.

Rational Automorphisms

Rational Automorphisms

For a birational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, we look at

$$\mathcal{O}^\pm(P) = \{\phi^n(P) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The **total height expansion coefficient** is

$$\mu^\pm(\phi) = \sup_{\emptyset \neq U \subset \mathbb{P}^N} \liminf_{\substack{P \in U(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ h(P) \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{h(P)} \left(\frac{h(\phi(P))}{\deg(\phi)} + \frac{h(\phi^{-1}(P))}{\deg(\phi^{-1})} \right).$$

It is easy to see that $0 \leq \mu^\pm(\phi) \leq 2$.

Theorem. (Kawaguchi, Lee) For regular affine automorphisms,

$$\mu^\pm(\phi) = 1 + \frac{1}{\deg(\phi) \deg(\phi^{-1})}.$$

Question. What are the possible values of $\mu^\pm(\phi)$? For algebraically stable maps? For affine automorphisms?

Rational Automorphisms

A birational map $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ has two dynamical degrees and two canonical heights:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_+ &= \text{DynDeg}(\phi) & \text{and} & & \delta_- &= \text{DynDeg}(\phi^{-1}), \\ \hat{h}^+ &= \hat{h}_\phi & \text{and} & & \hat{h}^- &= \hat{h}_{\phi^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Following Kawaguchi, we define the total canonical height

$$\hat{h} = \hat{h}^+ + \hat{h}^-.$$

The canonical property of \hat{h}^+ and \hat{h}^- give

$$\frac{1}{\delta_+} \hat{h}(\phi(P)) + \frac{1}{\delta_-} \hat{h}(\phi^{-1}(P)) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_+ \delta_-}\right) \hat{h}(P).$$

Question. For which ϕ is it true that $\hat{h} \asymp h$?

I want to thank you for your attention and to thank the organizers, Shu Kawaguchi, Shigeyuki Kondo, Takehiko Morita, Keiji Oguiso for inviting me to speak.

Geometry and Arithmetic of Dominant Rational Self-Maps of Projective Space

Joseph H. Silverman

Brown University

Conference on automorphisms and endomorphisms
of algebraic varieties and compact complex
manifolds from the point of view of complex
dynamics

18–23 December 2011

Shirahama, Wakayama, Japan