

HW 6.

1. Let $E = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$, define $I_n = (x_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}, x_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}})$, where $x_n \in E$,

$$\therefore E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$

$$\therefore m^*(E) \leq m^*\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} = \varepsilon$$

since ε arbitrary, we have $m^*(E) = 0$.

Now need to consider the measurability issue:

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\text{we have } m^*(A) \leq m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Since $m^*(E) = 0$, $A \cap E \subseteq E$, we have $m^*(A \cap E) = 0$

$$\text{Similarly, } m^*(A \cap E^c) \leq m^*(A)$$

$$\therefore m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c) \leq m^*(A)$$

$$\text{Therefore, } m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap E^c)$$

$\therefore E$ is measurable, and $m(E) = 0$

#

2. (a) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ closed.

$$d(x, E) = \inf \{ \|x - y\| : y \in E \}$$

We know $\{x : d(x, E) = 0\} = \bar{E} = E$ (since E closed)

$$\text{consider } U_n = \{x : d(x, E) < \frac{1}{n}\}$$

easy to show that U_n is open and

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \{x : d(x, E) = 0\} = E \quad \therefore E \text{ is } G_\delta.$$

4b) consider $E = \mathbb{Q}$ in \mathbb{R} .

$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{q_n\}$. $\{q_n\}$ closed. $\therefore \mathbb{Q}$ is an F_G set

Suppose E is also a G_G set, i.e. $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ for U_n open.

Since $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ dense, can show that U_n is dense, $\forall n$.

$\therefore \cancel{U_n} \cup \{q_n\}$ also a dense set.

Notice that $U_n \setminus \{q_n\} = U_n \cap \{\text{pt} \notin q_n\}^c$ open.

By Baire Category theorem, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \setminus \{q_n\}$ dense.

i.e. exist $s \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \setminus \{q_n\}$, but $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \setminus \{q_n\} \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = E$.

$\therefore s \in \mathbb{Q}$ contradiction since $U_n \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \setminus \{q_k\} = \emptyset$, $\forall n$

$\therefore \mathbb{Q}$ is not a G_G .

3. ~~Let~~ Let D be an ^{open} disk, if $\#$
 $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$ where R_n ^{disjoint} open rectangles.

~~D~~ D is connected, however, RHS not connected

contradiction

(X)

*

4. (1) if E is measurable under usual def.

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(C_n) \mid U \subset \bigcup C_n \right\}$$

$\forall \epsilon > 0$, \exists open U containing E , s.t. $\mu^*(U) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$

Hence, since E measurable, E^c measurable,

$$\therefore \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists U \subseteq E^c, \text{ s.t. } \mu^*(U) < \mu^*(E^c) + \epsilon$$

$$\text{i.e. } \mu^*(U) - \mu^*(E^c) < \epsilon.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \mu^*(U \setminus E^c) &= \mu^*(U) - \mu^*(U \cap E^c) \\ &= \mu^*(U) - \mu^*(E^c) < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(U \setminus E^c) < \epsilon$$

$$U \setminus E^c = U \cap (E^c)^c = U \cap E = E \cap (U)^c = E \setminus U^c$$

$$\therefore \mu^*(E \setminus U^c) < \epsilon \text{ where } U^c \subset E \text{ 'closed'}$$

$$\therefore \exists F \text{ closed in } E, \text{ s.t. } \mu^*(E \setminus F) < \epsilon$$

(2) if $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists F_k$, s.t. $F_k \subset E, \mu^*(E \setminus F_k) < \frac{1}{k}$

$$\text{Let } B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \Rightarrow B \text{ measurable.}$$

$$\text{and } E \setminus B \subset E \setminus F_k \quad \forall k.$$

$$\therefore \mu^*(E \setminus B) \leq \mu^*(E \setminus F_k) < \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k$$

$$\therefore \mu^*(E \setminus B) = 0. \quad \therefore E \setminus B \text{ measurable.}$$

$$\Rightarrow B, E \setminus B \text{ measurable}$$

$$\therefore E = B \cup (E \setminus B) \text{ also measurable.}$$

#

5. $A \subset E \subset B$. $m(A) = m(B)$

$$\therefore m^*(A) \leq m^*(E) \leq m^*(B) \Rightarrow m^*(E) = m^*(A) = m^*(B)$$

~~$$\therefore m^*(E) = m^*(A) + m^*(E \setminus A) \quad \text{since } E \setminus A, A \text{ disjoint}$$~~

$$\therefore m^*(E \setminus A) \leq m^*(B \setminus A) = 0 \Rightarrow m^*(E \setminus A) = 0$$

$\therefore E \setminus A$ measurable

$\therefore E = A \cup (E \setminus A)$ also measurable \square

6(a) Let $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ measurable and let $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$

let $x \oplus y$ denote addition mod 1, now consider

$$\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E \oplus I_n.$$

since $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = [0, 1]$, we have that $\bigvee E \oplus I_n \subseteq [0, 1]$.

Therefore, we see that

$$m\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E \oplus I_n\right) \leq m([0, 1]) = 1$$

However, E is measurable and the Lebesgue measure is translation invariant, so $E \oplus I_n$ must also be Lebesgue measurable, with $m(E \oplus I_n) = m(E)$.

This gives
$$1 \geq m\left(\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E \oplus I_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E \oplus I_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(E)$$

If $m(E) > 0$, the $\sum m(E) = \infty$ a contradiction

$$\therefore m(E) = 0$$

(b) mimic the construction of \mathcal{M} .

\square

7.1. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open.

g continuous. $\therefore g^{-1}(U)$ also open.

and f measurable, $\therefore f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$ also measurable

$$\text{and } (f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))) = \text{dom } (g \circ f)^{-1}(U)$$

$\therefore g \circ f$ measurable function.

7.2. $\forall x \in [0, 1]$, it has binary representation

$$(a) \therefore f\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^{2n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n} \text{ where } \frac{a_n}{2} \in \{0, 1\}$$

\therefore easy to see about f is surjective.

(b) define f by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_0(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ \text{later.} & \text{if } x \notin C \end{cases}$$

Now, for $x \in [0, 1] \setminus C$, there exist $y_1, y_2 \in C$ s.t. y_1 is the largest elt. in C less than x and y_2 is the smallest elt. in C greater than x , then let

$$x = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} x_n 3^{-n} \text{ for some } k, x_k = 1$$

$$y_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{x_n}{3^n} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n}$$

$$y_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{x_n}{3^n} + \frac{2}{3^k}$$

(you could check y_1, y_2 satisfies above statement)

also, can check $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$

$$\text{then } f(x) = \begin{cases} f_0(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ f_0(y_1) = f_0(y_2) & \text{if } x \notin C \end{cases}$$

†

3. let B be non-measurable set in $[0,1]$

consider $f^{-1}(B) \cap C = D$ (C : cantor set)

(1) D is measurable

$$f^{-1}(B) \cap C \subset C$$

$$\therefore m^*(f^{-1}(B) \cap C) \leq m^*(C) = 0$$

$$\therefore m^*(f^{-1}(B) \cap C) = 0$$

$\therefore D$ is measurable

(2) $f(D)$ is non-measurable

since f is surjective, non-decreasing.

$f(D) = f^{-1}(B) \cap C$, non-decreasing

□

□

$$4. (a) F(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + f(x))$$

with $x, f(x)$ non-decreasing \nearrow ^{continuous} $\Rightarrow F(x)$ non-decreasing, continuous

since for $x < y$,

$$F(x) < F(y) \text{ strictly}$$

$\therefore F$ is continuous and $(-1, 1)$.

$\therefore F^{-1}$ also continuous.

$\therefore G$ is continuous.

$$(b) B = G^{-1}(C) = (F^{-1})^{-1}(C) = F(C)$$

since F continuous, \leftarrow closed C Borel set

$\therefore B = F(C)$ also Borel set

$$\begin{aligned} m(F([0,1] \setminus C)) &= m\left(F\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(F(a_n, b_n)) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} m(a_n, b_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m([0,1] \setminus C) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$F(C)$ and $F([0,1] \setminus C)$ disjoint, $\therefore m(F(C)) + m(F([0,1] \setminus C)) = m(F([0,1]))$

$$\therefore m(F(C)) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\therefore m(B) = \frac{1}{2}$$

(c) since $m(B) > 0$, B contains non-measurable set N

$$\Rightarrow N \subset B = F(O)$$

here, F is 1-1, so $F^{-1}(N)$ exists and $F^{-1}(N) \subset C$

$$\Rightarrow m^*(F^{-1}(N)) \leq m^*(C) = 0$$

$$\therefore m^*(F^{-1}(N)) = 0 \Rightarrow F^{-1}(N) \text{ measurable}$$

So $E = F^{-1}(N) \rightarrow G^{-1}(E) = F(E) = N$ is not measurable
even if E is measurable

But when E is an example of measurable, but not Borel set,
if E is Borel set, $F(E) = N$ is Borel set, But N is not
Borel set (Borel set is measurable).

Now, let $f = \frac{1}{x}$, f is measurable since E is measurable

But $f \circ g$ is not measurable, since

$$\{x \in (0,1] \mid f \circ g(x) > \frac{1}{2}\}$$

$$= \{x \in (0,1] \mid g(x) \in E\} = G^{-1}(E) = F(E) = N \text{ not measurable}$$

$\therefore f \circ g$ not measurable

#