

The Isomorphism Theorem

Rich Schwartz

October 1, 2021

The purpose of these notes is to sketch the theorem that I will prove in class on Tuesday. One reason I want to give you these notes now is because it may help with the homework. The other reason is that these notes are sort of a high-level description of the main ideas in the big theorem. If you read them in advance of class, the lecture will probably make more sense.

1 The Universal Cover

Let X be a topological space. Let \widetilde{X} be a covering space. This means that there is a surjective continuous map $\phi : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that each point in x has an open neighborhood U such that $\phi^{-1}(U)$ consists of a disjoint union of sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ where $\phi : U_\alpha \rightarrow U$ is a homeomorphism for each U_α .

The space \widetilde{X} is called a *universal covering space* if $\pi_1(X)$ is the trivial group. This is often expressed by saying that \widetilde{X} is *simply connected*. Most of the examples I have shown in class are universal covers. When \widetilde{X} is a covering space, the *deck group* is the group of homeomorphisms $h : \widetilde{X} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ such that $\phi \circ h = \phi$. In other words, these homeomorphisms commute with the covering map.

Why is this called the deck group. I think that this name comes from the idea that \widetilde{X} is a deck of cards and X is just a single card. The map ϕ in this case is just projection. The deck group is just the group that effects shuffles of the cards. I don't really like this example because \widetilde{X} is pretty boring: It is a disconnected space. The connected covering spaces are more fun for our purposes.

Here are some examples.

1. $\widetilde{X} = \mathbf{R}$ and $X = S^1$ and $\phi(x) = \exp(ix)$. In this case the deck group is \mathbf{Z} . The homeos all have the form $h(x) = x + n$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. So, the deck group is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . Coincidentally, $\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbf{Z}$ as well.
2. \widetilde{X} is the infinite 4-valent tree and X is the figure-8, The map ϕ a bit hard to explain with a formula, but basically it is a map that maps labelled edges in \widetilde{X} to one or the other loop in X , depending on the label. The deck group is the group of all label-preserving automorphisms of the tree. This is the free group on 2 generators. Coincidentally, $\pi_1(X)$ is also the free group on 2 generators.
3. $\widetilde{X} = S^2$ and X is the projective plane, \mathbf{P}^2 . The map ϕ is the quotient by the antipodal map. The deck group is $\mathbf{Z}/2$. The generator is the antipodal map $A(x) = -x$. The other element is the identity map. Coincidentally, $\pi_1(\mathbf{P}^2) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ as well.

1.1 The Main Result

You might have noticed a coincidence going on: $\pi_1(X)$ is isomorphic to the deck group in these examples. This turns out to always be the case.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that \widetilde{X} is a universal covering space of a path connected space X . Then the deck group of (\widetilde{X}, X, p) is isomorphic to the fundamental group of X .*

The proof is essentially the same as what we did in class for S^1 . Here is a sketch of the proof. We choose a basepoint $p \in X$ and also a point $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{X}$ such that $\phi(\tilde{p}) = p$. We represent each element of $\pi_1(X, p)$ by a map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$. We then give essentially the same argument as in class to show that there exists a unique lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \tilde{p}$. Our homomorphism sends $[\gamma]$ to the deck transformation that maps $\tilde{p} = \tilde{\gamma}(0)$ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$. That's the construction. Call the map Ψ . Here are the main details:

1. Why is there is always a deck transformation that takes \tilde{p} to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$? In other words, why does Ψ even have a target to map into? The proof that this works is going to be a variant of the path lifting property. We can *start* building a homeo in the following way. Near \tilde{p} we compose ϕ with the correct branch of ϕ^{-1} . This defines our homeo in a neighborhood of

\tilde{p} . We then use a kind of “continuation” property related to the path lifting to define the homeo everywhere.

2. Why is Ψ well defined? This is going to work about the same way as it did for the circle: Homotopies lift as well.
3. Why is Ψ a homomorphism? This is because concatenation interacts the right way with the deck group. Suppose that we have a path $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2$. Then the lifted concatenation is the concatenation of the lifts: The lift $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ starts at \tilde{p} and ends at $\Psi(\gamma_1)(\tilde{p})$. The continuation of the lift is the image of $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ under the map $\Psi(\gamma_1)$. So, it starts at $\Psi(\gamma_1)(\tilde{p})$ and ends at $\Psi(\gamma_1)(\Psi(\gamma_2)(\tilde{p}))$. The whole concatenation connects \tilde{p} to $\Psi(\gamma_1) \circ \Psi(\gamma_2)(\tilde{p})$. It turns out that an element of the deck group is determined by where it sends \tilde{p} . Hence $\Psi(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = \Psi(\gamma_1)\Psi(\gamma_2)$.
4. Why is Ψ surjective? Because you can draw a path from \tilde{p} to any other point in the orbit of the deck group and then project to X . This gives you the loop you want to lift to get to the point of interest to you.
5. Why is Ψ injective? Well, if $\Psi(\gamma)$ is the identity map then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a closed loop in \tilde{X} that starts and stops at \tilde{p} . But since $\pi_1(\tilde{X})$ is trivial, there is a homotopy \tilde{H} from $\tilde{\gamma}$ to the trivial loop. We push down the homotopy and use it to show that γ is the trivial element as well.

The main point of Tuesday’s lecture will be to prove this theorem and work out the above details.