

$$\text{Cl}(A \cup B) = \underbrace{\text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(B)}_{\text{closed, contains } A \cup B.}$$

Why minimal?

Let K closed, $A \cup B \subset K$

Then $A \subset K \Rightarrow \text{Cl}(A) \subset K$ because $\text{Cl}(A)$ is minimal closed set containing A

Then $B \subset K \Rightarrow \text{Cl}(B) \subset K$ for same reason

$\Rightarrow \text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(B) \subset K \Rightarrow \text{Cl}(A) \cup \text{Cl}(B)$ is minimal

TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Def Let X, Y metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$

f is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in X, d_X(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow d_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$

Possible to give definition of continuous without ϵ, δ

Def An open neighborhood of $x_0 \in X$ is an open set $U \ni x_0$

Def A neighborhood of x_0 is any set containing an open neighborhood of x_0

\rightarrow Equivalently, any set A s.t. $x_0 \in \text{Int}(A)$.

New definition of continuity

f is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if \forall neighborhood $V \ni f(x_0)$,

\exists neighborhood $U \ni x_0$ s.t. $f(U) \subset V$

Notation: $f^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in V\}$

called inverse image of V . Not necessary that f is bijective.

Restatement

f continuous if \forall neighborhood $V \ni f(x_0)$,
 $x_0 \in \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$

U neighborhood of $x_0 \Rightarrow x_0 \in \text{Int}(U)$
 and $U \subset f^{-1}(V)$ (same as $f(U) \subset V$)
 $\Rightarrow x_0 \in \text{Int}(f^{-1}(V))$

Remark: "neighborhood" can be replaced by "open neighborhood"

$\epsilon-\delta \Rightarrow$ New definition.

Take arbitrary neighborhood $V \ni f(x_0)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $B_{f(x_0), \epsilon} \subset V$.

By $\epsilon-\delta$ def., $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $f(B_{x_0, \delta}) \subset B_{f(x_0), \epsilon} \subset V$.
 (This is just a restatement of $\epsilon-\delta$)

Take $U = B_{x_0, \delta}$. $\Rightarrow f(U) \subset V$.

New def. $\Rightarrow \epsilon-\delta$.

Take arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Let $V = B_{f(x_0), \epsilon}$

By new def., \exists neighborhood $U \ni x_0$ s.t. $f(U) \subset V$

U neighborhood of x_0 means $x_0 \in \text{Int}(U)$

$\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $B_{x_0, \delta} \subset U$.

Then $f(B_{x_0, \delta}) \subset V = B_{f(x_0), \epsilon}$

$\Rightarrow \forall x, p(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow p(f(x), f(x_0)) < \epsilon$.

Def A topology on a set X is a collection

$\mathcal{T} \subset 2^X$ of subsets s.t.

(1) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}, X \in \mathcal{T}$

(2) $\forall U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}, \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ (arbitrary union)

(3) $\forall U_1, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{T}, \bigcap_{k=1}^n U_k \in \mathcal{T}$ (finite union)

\mathcal{T} -topology, i.e. collection of open sets.

Example: open sets in a metric space form a topology

Def $\text{Int } A = \text{largest open set } U \subset A$

Take all open sets $U \subset A$, and take union
 \Rightarrow union is open by property #2.

Def A is neighborhood of x_0 if $x_0 \in \text{Int } A$.

Def K is closed if K^c is open

(1) \emptyset, X closed

(2) K_α closed $\Rightarrow \bigcap_\alpha K_\alpha$ closed

(3) K_1, \dots, K_n -closed $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^n K_k$ closed

Follows from DeMorgan's laws:

$(\bigcap_\alpha K_\alpha)^c = \bigcup_\alpha (K_\alpha)^c$ and K_α^c open \Rightarrow union is open.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_\alpha K_\alpha$ is closed

similarly for (3)

\emptyset, X are both closed and open.

Def $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{smallest closed set } K \supset A$

Why exists: Take all closed $K \supset A$ and take ~~union~~
intersection of all K 's \Rightarrow intersection is closed.

Def $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if f is continuous at all $x \in X$

Prop f continuous iff \forall open set $V \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(V)$ is open

"inverse image of open set is open"

Proof in textbook

Cor f continuous iff \forall closed set $K \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(K)$ is closed