

William D. Gillam
 Department of Mathematics
 Brown University
 Providence, RI 02912

May 20, 2010

Dan Abramovich
 Brown University

Dan,

Yes, I agree that the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{LogSch}^{\text{op}} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Sets} \\ X^\dagger & \mapsto & \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LogSch}}(X^\dagger \times k^\dagger, k^\dagger) \end{array}$$

(here $k^\dagger := \text{Spec}(0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k)$ and the product is the one in log schemes over k) is represented by

$$\text{Spec } k \coprod_{d>0} \text{Spec}(x : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow k[x]).$$

Indeed, if $X^\dagger = (X, \mathcal{M}_X)$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LogSch}}(X^\dagger \times k^\dagger, k^\dagger)$ is just the set of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_X(X) \oplus \mathbb{N}(X) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha, 0)} & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \uparrow h & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{0} & k \end{array}$$

and this certainly splits as a disjoint union over the possible $d := \pi_2 h(1) \in \mathbb{N}(X)$. When $d = 0$, commutativity forces $m := \pi_1 h(1) \in \mathcal{M}_X(X)$ to be such that $\alpha(m) = 0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and the map is the pullback of $\text{Id} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LogSch}}(k \times k^\dagger, k^\dagger)$. After passing to a component of X where $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is constant, the map in question fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N} \oplus \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{(x, 0)} & k[x] \\ \downarrow m \oplus 1 & & \downarrow x \mapsto \alpha(m) \\ \mathcal{M}_X(X) \oplus \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{(\alpha, 0)} & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \uparrow h = (m, d) & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{0} & k \end{array}$$

(1, d) ⤷ ⤵

so is pulled back from the universal map given by $(\text{Spec}(_ \rightarrow _))$ of the “big” square.

–Danny