Math 52 0 - Linear algebra, Spring Semester 2012-2013 Dan Abramovich

Fields.

We learned to work with fields of numbers in school:

 \mathbb{Q} = fractions of integers

←hardest in school

 \mathbb{R} = all real numbers, represented by infinite decimal expansions.

 $\mathbb{C} = \text{complex numbers, written as}$ $\{a + b\sqrt{-1}, \text{ where } a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

These satisfy the usual commutativity and associativity of addition and multiplication, they have 0 and 1, oppositives -x and inverses of nonzero elements 1/x, and satisfy distributivity.

 $why \rightarrow$

Note: \mathbb{Z} = all integers is **not** a field \mathbb{N} = positive integers even more so

math 153 $0 \rightarrow$

Also note that $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ are **subfields**, since they are closed under all operations, including negatives and inverses.

You can't be a **computer scien- tist** without working also with the field

$$\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\},\$$

with usual multiplication and **boolean** addition defined by 1 + 1 = 0.

Is \mathbb{F}_2 a subfield of \mathbb{Q} ?

YOU MUST NOW BECOME

a bit of a

A MATHEMATICIAN CONTROLL AND A MATHEMATICIAN

Fix a field \mathbb{F} - almost always we'll work with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Elements of the field will be called **scalars**.

Definition. A vector space is a set V with two operations: addition

$$V \times V \longrightarrow V$$

$$(v,w) \longrightarrow v + w$$

and multiplication by scalars

$$\mathbb{F} \times V \longrightarrow V$$

$$(c,v) \longrightarrow cv$$

satisfying axioms:

←big breath

satisfying axioms: for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$

- $(1) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v};$
- $(2) (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w});$
- (3) there is $\mathbf{0} \in V$ such that $\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$;
- (4) there is $-\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $-\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$;
- $(5) c(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v};$
- $(6) (c+d)(\mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{v};$
- $(7) (cd)(\mathbf{v}) = c(d\mathbf{v}); \quad \text{and} \quad$
- $(8) 1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}.$

examples

 \mathbb{R}^n is an \mathbb{R} -vector space.

 $\longleftarrow\!\!\text{of dimension }n$

examples

 \mathbb{R}^n is an \mathbb{R} -vector space.

 \mathbb{Q}^n is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. of dimension $n \rightarrow$

 \mathbb{C}^n is a \mathbb{C} -vector space.

 \mathbb{F}_2^n is a \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space.

of dimension $n \rightarrow$

of dimension $n \rightarrow$

examples

 \mathbb{R}^n is an \mathbb{R} -vector space.

 \leftarrow of dimension n

 \mathbb{Q}^n is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space. \mathbb{C}^n is a \mathbb{C} -vector space. \mathbb{F}_2^n is a \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space.

 $\longleftarrow\!\!\text{of dimension }n$

 \leftarrow of dimension n

 $\longleftarrow\!\!\text{of dimension }n$

 \mathbb{C} is an \mathbb{R} -vector space \mathbb{R} is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space

←what?

 $\leftarrow_{\mathrm{huh?}}$

The collection of all real valued functions on a set S, say S = [0, 1], is a real vector space.

Notation: \mathbb{R}^S .

The collection of all **continuous** real valued functions on, say $S = \mathbb{R}$, is a real vector space.

Notation: $C(\mathbb{R})$.

The collection of all **differentiable** real valued functions on, say $S = \mathbb{R}$, is a real vector space.

Notation: $C^1(\mathbb{R})$.

The collection of all **polynomials** in variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a real vector space.

Notation: $\mathbb{R}[t]$.

Book's notation: \mathbb{P} .

←yuck

The collection of all **polynomials** in variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most n, is a real vector space.

Book's notation: \mathbb{P}_n .

←ouch

Definition. A subset $W \subset V$ is a subspace of V if it is closed under addition and multiplication by scalars.

examples: W = V is a subspace; $W = \{0\}$ is a subspace.

Many examples above!

When is a line in \mathbb{R}^3 a subspace?

We use the word **vector** for an element in a vector space.

Can we talk about a **linear combination** of vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V$$
?

Can we talk about the **span** of vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V$$
?

Let's tie these together:

Theorem. The span of a set of vectors in V is always a subspace of V.

Theorem. A subset $W \subset V$ is a subspace if and only if it is closed under taking linear combinations.

The fundamental subspaces.

Say A is $m \times n$ real matrix. So it gives a linear transformation from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^m .

Definition. The null space of A, denoted

Null
$$A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$
,

is the set of solutions of

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
.

Theorem.

Null A is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof.

Example: line in \mathbb{R}^3 through **0**:

←stress advantages

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 & - x_3 &= 0 \\
 x_2 - x_3 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Parametric, or explicit, equation:

$$\mathbf{x} = t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example: plane in \mathbb{R}^3 through **0**:

$$x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = 0$$

Parametric, or explicit, equation:

$$\mathbf{x} = s \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition The column space **Col** $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of

$$A = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n]$$

is the span of the columns $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n$.

So we do not need a new theorem: $\operatorname{Col} A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a subspace.

Interpretation: Col A is the set of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for which the equation

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

has a solution.

So: the set of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for which the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution is a subspace!

Definition. Suppose V, W are \mathbb{R} -vector spaces.

A linear transformation

$$T:V\to W$$

is a function such that

- $T(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = T(\mathbf{u}) + T(\mathbf{v})$ and
- $\bullet T(c\mathbf{u}) = cT(\mathbf{u}).$

Examples:

- matrices
- derivatives
- integrals

The corresponding notions:

Ker
$$T = {\mathbf{x} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}} \subset V$$
 corresponds to **Null** A ,

Range
$$T = \{T(\mathbf{v}) : \mathbf{v} \in V\} \subset W$$
 corresponds to $\operatorname{\mathbf{Col}} A$

Linear independence

A set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ in a vector space V is **linearly dependent** if there are scalars c_1, \ldots, c_n , **not all 0,** such that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = 0.$$

Otherwise it is **linearly independent.**

This means: the only solution of $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = 0$ is the trivial solution $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$.

Theorem. $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is linearly **dependent** if and only if some \mathbf{v}_j is a linear combination of

$$\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{j-1}.$$

Proof

two ways-

A **Basis** of a vector space is what gives it coordinates:

Definition $B \subset V$ is a **basis** if B is **linearly independent** and **spans** V.

This is the same as saying: every $\mathbf{u} \in V$ is written in a **unique way** as

$$\mathbf{u} = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n$$
with $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in B$.

 \leftarrow prove

General examples:

 $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The columns of an invertible matrix form a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Special examples:

give some \rightarrow

Theorem.

Every finite subset $S \subset V$ spanning V contains a basis $B \subset S$ of V.

Lemma. If $\mathbf{v}_k \in S$ is a linear combination of the others, then

$$S \setminus \mathbf{v}_k$$

spans V.

Proof.

Apply this to fundamental spaces:

For **Null** A, row reduction produces an independent set of vectors spanning it. So it is a basis!

For **Col** A we have:

Theorem The pivot columns of A span **Col** A.

Dimension

Theorem. Suppose $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ is a basis of V. Then any set $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ of more than m vectors is **linearly dependent**.

Proof. Since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ is a basis, every \mathbf{w}_j is in their span. Write this out this way:

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = a_{11}\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_{m1}\mathbf{v}_m$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\mathbf{w}_n = a_{1n}\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}\mathbf{v}_m$$

We seek a solution of

$$x_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{w}_n = 0.$$

This means

$$(a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n)\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n)\mathbf{v}_m = 0$$

... This means

$$(a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n)\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n)\mathbf{v}_m = 0$$

Since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$ is independent this must be trivial:

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$$

 \vdots
 $a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n = 0$

or

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since n > m, there are more variables than equations, so there is a non-trivial solution!

 \leftarrow many examples

Theorem All bases of a vector space have the same size.

Definition. The **dimension** of a vector space V is the size of any of its bases.

Proof of theorem.

Theorem. If dim V is finite, $H \subset V$ a subspace, and $S \subset H$ is linearly independent, then there is a basis B for H containing S.

discussion-

Proof

Theorem. Suppose dim V = n.

- (1) suppose $S \subset V$ is linearly independent and of size n. Then S is a basis of V.
- (2) suppose $S \subset V$ spans V and of size n. Then S is a basis of V.

Back to fundamental spaces: $\dim \mathbf{Null} \ A = \text{number of free columns.}$ $\dim \mathbf{Col} \ A = \text{number of pivot columns.}$

We give dim $Col\ A$ a name: $Rank\ A$.

Theorem. for an $m \times n$ matrix A we have

 $|\mathbf{Rank}| A + \dim \mathbf{Null}| A = n$

2.3

When is a matrix invertible?

Theorem. T.F.A.E. for $n \times n$ matrix A:

- (1) A is invertible
- (2) A is row equivalent to I_n
- (3) A has n pivot positions
- (4) The only solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ is $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- (5) $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ are linearly independent
- (6) The transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ is 1-to-1.
- (7) $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is consistent for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (8) $Span(\mathbf{a}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{a}_n) = \mathbb{R}^n$
- (9) The transformation $\mathbf{x} \mapsto A\mathbf{x}$ is onto.
- (10) There is C such that $CA = I_n$
- (11) There is D such that $AD = I_n$
- (12) A^T is invertible

A basis gives coordinates to the space it spans:

Theorem if $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ is a basis of V, then every $\mathbf{x} \in V$ has a unique expression

$$\mathbf{x} = c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{b}_n.$$

Again $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ is a basis of V, and $\mathbf{x} \in V$, so

$$\mathbf{x} = c_1 \mathbf{b}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{b}_n.$$

Then c_1, \ldots, c_n are the **coordinates** of **x** in the basis B,

$$[\mathbf{x}]_B = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the coordinate vector, and $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{x}]_B$ is the coordinate mapping.

←examples

What if $V = \mathbb{R}^n$?

Write
$$P = P_B = [\mathbf{b}_1 \cdots \mathbf{b}_n]$$
.

Proposition

- $(1) \mathbf{x} = P_B[\mathbf{x}]_B.$
- (2) P_B is invertible
- (3) $[\mathbf{x}]_B = (P_B)^{-1}\mathbf{x}$.

In general:

Theorem if $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n\}$ is a basis of V, then the coordinate mapping

$$V \to \mathbb{R}^n$$

 $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [\mathbf{x}]_B$

is an invertible linear transformation.

What if I have two bases B and C? In case $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ then we can deduce from above:

$$\bullet \mathbf{x} = P_B[\mathbf{x}]_B,$$

$$\bullet [\mathbf{x}]_C = (P_C)^{-1}\mathbf{x}$$

SO

$$[\mathbf{x}]_C = (P_C)^{-1} P_B [\mathbf{x}]_B.$$

 $examples {\rightarrow}$

We get a hint by understanding what $(P_C)^{-1}P_B$ does to \mathbf{e}_i :

$$P_B \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{b}_i$$

$$(P_C)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_i = [\mathbf{b}_i]_C$$

SO

$$(P_C)^{-1}P_B\mathbf{e}_i = [\mathbf{b}_i]_C$$

Summarizing:

$$P_{C \leftarrow B} := (P_C)^{-1} P_B = \left[[\mathbf{b}_1]_C \dots [\mathbf{b}_n]_C \right]$$

Direct calculation:

$$[P_C P_B] \sim [I_n P_{C \leftarrow B}]$$

Theorem if B and C are two bases for V define

$$P_{C \leftarrow B} = [[\mathbf{b}_1]_C \dots [\mathbf{b}_n]_C]$$

then for any $\mathbf{x} \in V$

$$[\mathbf{x}]_C = P_{C \leftarrow B}[\mathbf{x}]_B$$

Row space.

←should have done earlier

the **row space** of a matrix A is the space spanned by the row vectors.

Theorem. if $A \sim B$ then the row spaces are the same.

If B is in echelon form, the nonzero rows form a basis. Its size is the number of pivots.

Theorem.

$$\dim \mathbf{Row}(A) = \dim \mathbf{Col}(A)$$

The Fibonacci sequence is defined by a recursive formula:

$$F_k = F_{k-1} + F_{k-2}$$

for all $k \ge 2$, starting from

$$F_0 = 0; F_1 = 1.$$

It looks like this:

$$0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \dots$$

Of course you can also go backwards, since $F_{k-2} = F_k - F_{k-1}$

so it looks like

$$\dots, -8, 5-3, 2, -1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, \dots$$

We want to relate this to linear algebra:

- Think about the space as a vector space
- Think about the recursion as a linear transformation
- Think about the solutions as null space
- Think about the dimension of the solution space
- Find the solution in terms of a basis
- Think about matrices

The space: all sequences, infinite on both sides.

This is just the space of real valued functions on the integers:

 $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

We know it is a vector space. It is infinite dimensional.

The book called it **the space of signals.**

The recursion, also known as **dif-ference equation**:

$$F_{k+2} - F_{k+1} - F_k = 0$$
 If we write $G_k = F_{k+2} - F_{k+1} - F_k$ we get a mapping

$$T: \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$(\dots F_k \dots) \mapsto (\dots G_k \dots)$$

What are the sequences satisfying the recursion?

These are precisely the subspace

$$\operatorname{Ker} T \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Given a linear recursion of **order** (length) $n \geq 1$, write it this way:

←difference equation

(1)
$$F_{k+n} + a_1 F_{k+(n-1)} + \cdots + a_n F_k$$
, with $a_n \neq 0$.

Theorem. For any choice of "free variables"

$$F_0, \ldots, F_{k-1}$$

the recursion (1) has a unique solution.

←intuit

Corollary. The space of solutions Ker T has dimension n = the order of the recursion.

Basis: $F^{(i)}$ starts just like \mathbf{e}_i : $0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, F_n^{(i)}, F_{n+1}^{(i)}, \dots$

But how about a closed formula? Examples:

$$(1) F_{k+1} - F_k = 0$$

$$(2) F_{k+1} + F_k = 0$$

(3)
$$F_{k+1} - 2F_k = 0$$

$$(4) F_{k+2} - 3F_{k+1} + 2F_k = 0$$

Theorem. if r is a solution of the polynomial equation

$$r^n + a_1 r^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} r + a_n = 0$$

Then the sequence

$$F_k = r^k$$

is a solution of the recursion

$$F_{k+n} + a_1 F_{k+(n-1)} + \dots + a_n F_k,$$

If the polynomial has n distinct roots r_i then the sequences

$$F_k = r_i^k$$

form a basis.

$$F_{k+2} - F_{k+1} - F_k = 0$$

write the associated polynomial equation

$$r^2 - r - 1 = 0$$

the solutions are
$$r_{12} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

So if
$$\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$
 and $\beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$

then

$$G_k = \alpha^k$$
 and $H_k = \beta^k$ are a basis for solutions.

Write
$$F_k = x_1 G_k + x_2 H_k$$
.

Since
$$F_0 = 0$$
, $F_1 = 1$ get $x_1 + x_2 = 0$, $\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 = 1$

$$F_k = \frac{\alpha^k - \beta^k}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

We know that

$$B = \{G, H\}$$

is a basis and

$$C = \{F^{(1,0)}, F^{(0,1)}\}$$

is a basis.

$$G_n = F^{(1,0)} + \alpha F^{(0,1)}$$

$$H_n = F^{(1,0)} + \beta F^{(0,1)}$$

So

$$P_{C \leftarrow B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$\underset{B \leftarrow C}{P} = (\underset{C \leftarrow B}{P})^{-1} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} \beta & -1 \\ -\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F^{(0,1)} \end{bmatrix}_C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
\text{so} \left[F^{(0,1)} \right]_C = \underset{B \leftarrow C}{P} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So the Fibonacci sequence, which is $F^{(0,1)}$, is just

$$F_k = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

More on Fibonacci:

If
$$\mathbf{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} F_k \\ F_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} \\ F_{k+2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} \\ F_k + F_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$

which is
$$A\mathbf{x}_k$$
 with $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

So
$$\mathbf{x}_k = A^k \mathbf{x}_0 = A^k \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We "just" need a simple formula for A^k ...