## Resolving singularities in families

Dan Abramovich Brown University

Joint work with Michael Temkin and Jarosław Włodarczyk





#### September 30, 2018

# Resolution of singularities

#### Definition

A resolution of singularities  $X' \to X$  is a modification<sup>a</sup> with X' nonsingular inducing an isomorphism over the smooth locus of X.

<sup>a</sup>proper birational map

# Resolution of singularities

#### Definition

A resolution of singularities  $X' \to X$  is a modification<sup>a</sup> with X' nonsingular inducing an isomorphism over the smooth locus of X.

<sup>a</sup>proper birational map

### Theorem (Hironaka 1964)

A variety X over a field of characteristic 0 admits a resolution of singularities  $X' \to X$ , so that the exceptional locus  $E \subset X'$  is a simple normal crossings divisor.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Codimension 1, smooth components meeting transversally



#### Always characteristic 0 . . .

# Resolution of families: dim B = 1

Question

When are the singularities of a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  simple?

3

- ∢ ≣ →

\_\_\_ ▶

# Resolution of families: dim B = 1

#### Question

When are the singularities of a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  simple?

- If dim B = 1 the simplest one can have by modifying X is  $t = \prod x_i^{a_i}$ ,
- and if one also allows base change, can have  $t = \prod x_i$ . [Kempf-Knudsen-Mumford-Saint-Donat 1973]

# Resolution of families: dim B = 1

#### Question

When are the singularities of a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  simple?

- If dim B = 1 the simplest one can have by modifying X is  $t = \prod x_i^{a_i}$ ,
- and if one also allows base change, can have t = ∏ x<sub>i</sub>. [Kempf–Knudsen–Mumford–Saint-Donat 1973]



#### Question

#### What makes these special?

Abramovich

Resolving singularities in families

September 30, 2018 3 / 23

Log smooth schemes and log smooth morphisms

- A toric variety is a normal variety on which  $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts algebraically with a dense free orbit.
- Zariski locally defined by equations between monomials.

Log smooth schemes and log smooth morphisms

- A toric variety is a normal variety on which  $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts algebraically with a dense free orbit.
- Zariski locally defined by equations between monomials.
- A variety X with divisor D is toroidal or log smooth if étale locally it looks like a toric variety X<sub>σ</sub> with its toric divisor X<sub>σ</sub> < T.</li>
- Étale locally it is defined by equations between monomials.

Log smooth schemes and log smooth morphisms

- A toric variety is a normal variety on which  $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts algebraically with a dense free orbit.
- Zariski locally defined by equations between monomials.
- A variety X with divisor D is toroidal or log smooth if étale locally it looks like a toric variety X<sub>σ</sub> with its toric divisor X<sub>σ</sub> < T.</li>
- Étale locally it is defined by equations between monomials.
- A morphism X → Y is toroidal or log smooth if étale locally it looks like a torus equivariant morphism of toric varieties.
- The inverse image of a monomial is a monomial.

# Resolution of families: higher dimensional base

Question

When are the singularities of a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  simple?

3

- E

# Resolution of families: higher dimensional base

### Question

When are the singularities of a morphism  $X \rightarrow B$  simple?

The best one can hope for, after base change, is a semistable morphism, locally a product of stemistable one-parameter families:

### Definition (ℵ-Karu 2000)

A log smooth morphism, with B smooth, is semistable if locally

÷ ÷

$$t_1 = x_1 \cdots x_{h_1}$$

Abramovich

 $t_m = x_{l_{m-1}+1} \cdots x_{l_m}$ 

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

### Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

Let  $X \to B$  be a dominant morphism of varieties.

• (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{\text{main}}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.

### Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

- (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.
- (Tight) If the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  is smooth, such  $X_1 \to B_1$  can be found with  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  unchanged.

### Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

Let  $X \to B$  be a dominant morphism of varieties.

- (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{\text{main}}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.
- (Tight) If the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  is smooth, such  $X_1 \to B_1$  can be found with  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  unchanged.

• One wants the tight version in order to compactify smooth families.

### Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

- (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{\text{main}}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.
- (Tight) If the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  is smooth, such  $X_1 \to B_1$  can be found with  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  unchanged.
- One wants the tight version in order to compactify smooth families.
- I'll describe progress towards that.

### Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

- (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{\text{main}}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.
- (Tight) If the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  is smooth, such  $X_1 \to B_1$  can be found with  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  unchanged.
- One wants the tight version in order to compactify smooth families.
- I'll describe progress towards that.
- Major early results by [KKMS 1973], [de Jong 1997].

## Conjecture [ℵ-Karu]

- (Loose) There is an alteration  $B_1 \to B$  and a modification  $X_1 \to (X \times_B B_1)_{\text{main}}$  such that  $X_1 \to B_1$  is semistable.
- (Tight) If the geometric generic fiber  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  is smooth, such  $X_1 \to B_1$  can be found with  $X_{\bar{\eta}}$  unchanged.
- One wants the tight version in order to compactify smooth families.
- I'll describe progress towards that.
- Major early results by [KKMS 1973], [de Jong 1997].
- Wonderful results in positive and mixed characteristics by de Jong, Gabber, Illusie and Temkin.

Back to characteristic 0

Theorem (Toroidalization, ℵ-Karu 2000, ℵ-K-Denef 2013)

There is a modification  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth and flat.

Theorem (Weak semistable reduction, ℵ-Karu 2000)

There is an alteration  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth, flat, with reduced fibers.

Back to characteristic 0

Theorem (Toroidalization, ℵ-Karu 2000, ℵ-K-Denef 2013)

There is a modification  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth and flat.

Theorem (Weak semistable reduction, ℵ-Karu 2000)

There is an alteration  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth, flat, with reduced fibers.

 Passing from weak semistable reduction to semistable reduction is a purely combinatorial problem [ℵ-Karu 2000],

くほと くほと くほと

Back to characteristic 0

Theorem (Toroidalization, ℵ-Karu 2000, ℵ-K-Denef 2013)

There is a modification  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth and flat.

Theorem (Weak semistable reduction, ℵ-Karu 2000)

There is an alteration  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth, flat, with reduced fibers.

- Passing from weak semistable reduction to semistable reduction is a purely combinatorial problem [ℵ-Karu 2000],
- proven by [Karu 2000] for families of surfaces and threefolds, and

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Back to characteristic 0

Theorem (Toroidalization, ℵ-Karu 2000, ℵ-K-Denef 2013)

There is a modification  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth and flat.

Theorem (Weak semistable reduction, ℵ-Karu 2000)

There is an alteration  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and a modification  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth, flat, with reduced fibers.

- Passing from weak semistable reduction to semistable reduction is a purely combinatorial problem [ℵ-Karu 2000],
- proven by [Karu 2000] for families of surfaces and threefolds, and
- whose restriction to rank-1 valuation rings is proven in a preprint by [Karim Adiprasito Gaku Liu Igor Pak Michael Temkin].

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Application of weak semistable reduction

(with a whole lot of more input)

Theorem (Viehweg-Zuo 2004)

The moduli space of canonically polarized manifolds is Brody hyperbolic.

## Main result

The following result is work-in-progress.

Main result (Functorial toroidalization, ℵ-Temkin-Włodarczyk)

Let  $X \to B$  be a dominant log morphism.

- There are log modifications  $B_1 \rightarrow B$  and  $X_1 \rightarrow (X \times_B B_1)_{main}$  such that  $X_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is log smooth and flat;
- this is compatible with log base change  $B' \rightarrow B$ ;
- this is functorial, up to base change, with log smooth  $X'' \to X$ .

This implies the tight version of the results of semistable reduction type.

# Current application of our main result

### Theorem (Deng 2018)

The moduli space of minimal complex projective manifolds of general type is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

• To resolve log singularities, one embeds X in a log smooth Y...

- To resolve log singularities, one embeds X in a log smooth Y...
- ... which can be done locally.

3

A B A A B A

- To resolve log singularities, one embeds X in a log smooth Y...
- ... which can be done locally.
- One reduces to principalization of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  (Hironaka, Villamayor, Bierstone–Milman).

### Theorem (Principalization ... ℵ-T-W)

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on a log smooth Y. There is a functorial logarithmic morphism  $Y' \to Y$ , with Y' logarithmically smooth, and  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'}$  an invertible monomial ideal.

- To resolve log singularities, one embeds X in a log smooth Y...
- ... which can be done locally.
- One reduces to principalization of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  (Hironaka, Villamayor, Bierstone–Milman).

### Theorem (Principalization ... ℵ-T-W)

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on a log smooth Y. There is a functorial logarithmic morphism  $Y' \to Y$ , with Y' logarithmically smooth, and  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'}$  an invertible monomial ideal.



Figure: The ideal  $(u^2, x^2)$ Here *u* is a monomial but *x* is not.

Abramovich

- To resolve log singularities, one embeds X in a log smooth Y...
- ... which can be done locally.
- One reduces to principalization of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  (Hironaka, Villamayor, Bierstone–Milman).

### Theorem (Principalization ... ℵ-T-W)

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal on a log smooth Y. There is a functorial logarithmic morphism  $Y' \to Y$ , with Y' logarithmically smooth, and  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'}$  an invertible monomial ideal.



Figure: The ideal  $(u^2, x^2)$  and the result of blowing up the origin,  $\mathcal{I}_E^2$ . Here u is a monomial but x is not.

Abramovich

Resolving singularities in families

September 30, 2018 11 / 23

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ .

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin. logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x) =$ 

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin. logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x) = 1$  (since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x = 1$ )

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin. logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x) = 1$  (since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x = 1$ ) logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x^2) =$ 

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin. logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x) = 1$  (since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x = 1$ ) logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x^2) = 2$  logord<sub>p</sub> $(v, x^2) =$
# Logarithmic order

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin. logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x) = 1$  (since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x = 1$ ) logord<sub>p</sub> $(u^2, x^2) = 2$  logord<sub>p</sub> $(v, x^2) = 2$ logord<sub>p</sub>(v + u) =

# Logarithmic order

Principalization is done by order reduction, using logarithmic derivatives.

- for a monomial u we use  $u\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ .
- for other variables x use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ .

### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum *a* such that  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

Take u, v monomials, x free variable, p the origin.  $\operatorname{logord}_p(u^2, x) = 1$  (since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}x = 1$ )  $\operatorname{logord}_p(u^2, x^2) = 2$   $\operatorname{logord}_p(v, x^2) = 2$  $\operatorname{logord}_p(v + u) = \infty$  since  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}\mathcal{I} = \cdots = (u, v)$ .

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Definition

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$  is the minimal monomial ideal containing  $\mathcal{I}.$ 

3

- ∢ ≣ →

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$  is the minimal monomial ideal containing  $\mathcal{I}$ .

### Proposition (Kollár, ℵ-T-W)

(1) In cahracteristic 0,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ . In particular  $\max_{p} \operatorname{logord}_{p}(\mathcal{I}) = \infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) \neq 1$ .

A B M A B M

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$  is the minimal monomial ideal containing  $\mathcal{I}$ .

### Proposition (Kollár, ℵ-T-W)

- (1) In cahracteristic 0,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ . In particular  $\max_{p} \operatorname{logord}_{p}(\mathcal{I}) = \infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) \neq 1$ .
- (2) Let  $Y_0 \to Y$  be the normalized blowup of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$ .

A B F A B F

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$  is the minimal monomial ideal containing  $\mathcal{I}$ .

### Proposition (Kollár, ℵ-T-W)

- (1) In cahracteristic 0,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ . In particular  $\max_{p} \operatorname{logord}_{p}(\mathcal{I}) = \infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) \neq 1$ .
- (2) Let  $Y_0 \to Y$  be the normalized blowup of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{Y_0} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_0})$ , and it is an invertible monomial ideal,

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$  is the minimal monomial ideal containing  $\mathcal{I}$ .

### Proposition (Kollár, ℵ-T-W)

- (1) In cahracteristic 0,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{D}^{\infty}(\mathcal{I})$ . In particular  $\max_{p} \operatorname{logord}_{p}(\mathcal{I}) = \infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}) \neq 1$ .
- (2) Let  $Y_0 \to Y$  be the normalized blowup of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I})\mathcal{O}_{Y_0} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_0})$ , and it is an invertible monomial ideal, and so  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{Y_0} = \mathcal{I}_0 \cdot \mathcal{M}$  with  $\max_p \operatorname{logord}_p(\mathcal{I}_0) < \infty$ .

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

### Proof of (1), basic affine case.

• Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .

A 1			
	hra	mon	/ICh
	ula	THO	

3

< 177 ▶

### Proof of (1), basic affine case.

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- The operators

$$1, u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \ldots, u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}$$

commute and have distinct systems of eigenvalues on the eigenspaces  $u \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , for distinct monomials u.

### Proof of (1), basic affine case.

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- The operators

$$1, u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}$$

commute and have distinct systems of eigenvalues on the eigenspaces  $u \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , for distinct monomials u.

Therefore *M* = ⊕*uM<sub>u</sub>* with ideals *M<sub>u</sub>* ⊂ ℂ[*x*<sub>1</sub>,...,*x<sub>n</sub>*] stable under derivatives,

- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト

### Proof of (1), basic affine case.

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- The operators

$$1, u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}$$

commute and have distinct systems of eigenvalues on the eigenspaces  $u \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , for distinct monomials u.

- Therefore *M* = ⊕*uM<sub>u</sub>* with ideals *M<sub>u</sub>* ⊂ ℂ[*x*<sub>1</sub>,...,*x<sub>n</sub>*] stable under derivatives,
- so each  $\mathcal{M}_u$  is either (0) or (1).

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Proof of (1), basic affine case.

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- The operators

$$1, u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}$$

commute and have distinct systems of eigenvalues on the eigenspaces  $u \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , for distinct monomials u.

- Therefore *M* = ⊕*uM<sub>u</sub>* with ideals *M<sub>u</sub>* ⊂ ℂ[*x*<sub>1</sub>,...,*x<sub>n</sub>*] stable under derivatives,
- so each  $\mathcal{M}_u$  is either (0) or (1).
- In other words,  $\mathcal{M}$  is monomial.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

## Proof of (1), basic affine case.

- Let  $\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  and assume  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$ .
- The operators

$$1, u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_l \frac{\partial}{\partial u_l}$$

commute and have distinct systems of eigenvalues on the eigenspaces  $u \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , for distinct monomials u.

- Therefore  $\mathcal{M} = \oplus u \mathcal{M}_u$  with ideals  $\mathcal{M}_u \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  stable under derivatives,
- so each  $\mathcal{M}_u$  is either (0) or (1).
- In other words,  $\mathcal{M}$  is monomial.

The general case requires more commutative algebra.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

# Arbitrary B

(Work in progress)

### Main result (ℵ-T-W)

Let  $Y \to B$  a logarithmically smooth morphism of logarithmically smooth schemes,  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  an ideal. There is a log morphism  $B' \to B$  and functorial log morphism  $Y' \to Y$ , with  $Y' \to B'$  logarithmically smooth, and  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'}$  an invertible monomial ideal.

This is done by relative order reduction, using relative logarithmic derivatives.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

# Arbitrary B

(Work in progress)

### Main result (ℵ-T-W)

Let  $Y \to B$  a logarithmically smooth morphism of logarithmically smooth schemes,  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  an ideal. There is a log morphism  $B' \to B$  and functorial log morphism  $Y' \to Y$ , with  $Y' \to B'$  logarithmically smooth, and  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'}$  an invertible monomial ideal.

This is done by relative order reduction, using relative logarithmic derivatives.

#### Definition

Write  $\mathcal{D}_{Y/B}^{\leq a}$  for the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential operators of order  $\leq a$ . The relative logarithmic order of an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  is the minimum a such that  $\mathcal{D}_{Y/B}^{\leq a}\mathcal{I} = (1)$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## The new step

## • $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}^{\infty}_{Y/B} \mathcal{I}$ is an ideal which is monomial along the fibers.

- 一司

-

### The new step

•  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}^{\infty}_{Y/B} \mathcal{I}$  is an ideal which is monomial along the fibers. • relord<sub>p</sub>( $\mathcal{I}$ ) =  $\infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}^{\infty}_{Y/B}\mathcal{I}$  is a nonunit ideal.

## The new step

- $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}^{\infty}_{Y/B} \mathcal{I}$  is an ideal which is monomial along the fibers.
- relord<sub>p</sub>( $\mathcal{I}$ ) =  $\infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D}^{\infty}_{Y/B}\mathcal{I}$  is a nonunit ideal.

#### Monomialization Theorem [ℵ-T-W]

Let  $Y \to B$  a logarithmically smooth morphism of logarithmically smooth schemes,  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}_Y$  an ideal with  $\mathcal{D}_{Y/B}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ . There is a log morphism  $B' \to B$  with saturated pullback  $Y' \to B'$ , such that  $\mathcal{MO}_{Y'}$  a monomial ideal.

After this one can proceed as in the case "dim B = 0".

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

- 3

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

Proof in this special case.

• Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

#### Proof in this special case.

- Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .
- Once again the operators  $1, u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  commute and have different eigenvalues on  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}$ .

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

#### Proof in this special case.

- Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .
- Once again the operators  $1, u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  commute and have different eigenvalues on  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}$ .
- Expanding  $f = \sum u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} + \sum v^{\beta} f_{\beta}$ , the condition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{Y/B} \mathcal{M}$  gives that only one term survives,

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

#### Proof in this special case.

- Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .
- Once again the operators  $1, u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  commute and have different eigenvalues on  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}$ .
- Expanding  $f = \sum u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} + \sum v^{\beta} f_{\beta}$ , the condition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{Y/B} \mathcal{M}$  gives that only one term survives,

• say 
$$f = u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$$
, with  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[w]$ .

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

#### Proof in this special case.

- Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .
- Once again the operators  $1, u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  commute and have different eigenvalues on  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}$ .
- Expanding  $f = \sum u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} + \sum v^{\beta} f_{\beta}$ , the condition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{Y/B} \mathcal{M}$  gives that only one term survives,

• say 
$$f = u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$$
, with  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[w]$ .

Blowing up (f<sub>α</sub>) on B has the effect of making it monomial, so f becomes monomial.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Let  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, v] \to B = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w]$  with w = uv, and  $\mathcal{M} = (f)$ .

#### Proof in this special case.

- Every monomial is either  $u^{\alpha}w^{k}$  or  $v^{\alpha}w^{k}$ .
- Once again the operators  $1, u\frac{\partial}{\partial u} v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$  commute and have different eigenvalues on  $u^{\alpha}, v^{\alpha}$ .
- Expanding  $f = \sum u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha} + \sum v^{\beta} f_{\beta}$ , the condition  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{Y/B} \mathcal{M}$  gives that only one term survives,

• say 
$$f = u^{\alpha} f_{\alpha}$$
, with  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}[w]$ .

• Blowing up  $(f_{\alpha})$  on B has the effect of making it monomial, so f becomes monomial.

The general case is surprisingly subtle.

- Consider  $Y_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, x]$  and  $D = \{u = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (u^2, x^2)$ .
- If one blows up (u, x) the ideal is principalized:



- Consider  $Y_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, x]$  and  $D = \{u = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (u^2, x^2)$ .
- If one blows up (u, x) the ideal is principalized:



- Consider  $Y_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, x]$  and  $D = \{u = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (u^2, x^2)$ .
- If one blows up (u, x) the ideal is principalized:



- ▶ on the *u*-chart Spec  $\mathbb{C}[u, x']$  with x = x'u we have  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'_1} = (u^2)$ ,
- on the x-chart Spec  $\mathbb{C}[u', x]$  with u' = xu' we have  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'} = (x^2)$ ,
- which is exceptional hence monomial.

- Consider  $Y_1 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[u, x]$  and  $D = \{u = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (u^2, x^2)$ .
- If one blows up (u, x) the ideal is principalized:



- on the *u*-chart Spec  $\mathbb{C}[u, x']$  with x = x'u we have  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'_1} = (u^2)$ ,
- on the x-chart Spec  $\mathbb{C}[u', x]$  with u' = xu' we have  $\mathcal{IO}_{Y'} = (x^2)$ ,
- which is exceptional hence monomial.
- This is in fact the only functorial admissible blowing up.

글 > - + 글 >

- Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (v, x^2)$ .
- Example 1 is the pullback of this via the log smooth  $v = u^2$ .
- Functoriality says: we need to blow up an ideal whose pullback is (u, x).

- Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (v, x^2)$ .
- Example 1 is the pullback of this via the log smooth  $v = u^2$ .
- Functoriality says: we need to blow up an ideal whose pullback is (u, x).
- This means we need to blow up  $(v^{1/2}, x)$ .

- Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .
- Let  $I = (v, x^2)$ .
- Example 1 is the pullback of this via the log smooth  $v = u^2$ .
- Functoriality says: we need to blow up an ideal whose pullback is (u, x).
- This means we need to blow up  $(v^{1/2}, x)$ .
- What is this? What is its blowup?

## Kummer ideals

### Definition

### A Kummer monomial is a monomial in the Kummer-étale topology of Y (like v<sup>1/2</sup>).

# Kummer ideals

#### Definition

- A Kummer monomial is a monomial in the Kummer-étale topology of Y (like v<sup>1/2</sup>).
- A Kummer monomial ideal is a monomial ideal in the Kummer-étale topology of *Y*.

# Kummer ideals

#### Definition

- A Kummer monomial is a monomial in the Kummer-étale topology of Y (like v<sup>1/2</sup>).
- A Kummer monomial ideal is a monomial ideal in the Kummer-étale topology of *Y*.
- A Kummer center is the sum of a Kummer monomial ideal and the ideal of a log smooth subscheme.
- Locally  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k, u_1^{1/d}, \ldots u_\ell^{1/d})$ .
#### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Kummer center on a logarithmically smooth Y. There is a universal proper birational  $Y' \to Y$  such that Y' is logarithmically smooth and  $\mathcal{JO}_{Y'}$  is an invertible ideal.

A B F A B F

### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Kummer center on a logarithmically smooth Y. There is a universal proper birational  $Y' \to Y$  such that Y' is logarithmically smooth and  $\mathcal{JO}_{Y'}$  is an invertible ideal.

### Example 0

 $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v]$ , with toroidal structure associated to  $D = \{v = 0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2})$ .

### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Kummer center on a logarithmically smooth Y. There is a universal proper birational  $Y' \to Y$  such that Y' is logarithmically smooth and  $\mathcal{JO}_{Y'}$  is an invertible ideal.

### Example 0

 $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v]$ , with toroidal structure associated to  $D = \{v = 0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2})$ .

• There is no log scheme Y' satisfying the proposition.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

### Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Kummer center on a logarithmically smooth Y. There is a universal proper birational  $Y' \to Y$  such that Y' is logarithmically smooth and  $\mathcal{JO}_{Y'}$  is an invertible ideal.

### Example 0

 $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v]$ , with toroidal structure associated to  $D = \{v = 0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2})$ .

- There is no log scheme Y' satisfying the proposition.
- There is a stack  $Y' = Y(\sqrt{D})$ , the Cadman–Vistoli root stack, satisfying the proposition!

(本間) (本語) (本語) (語)

### Example 2 concluded

- Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{I} = (v, x^2)$  and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2}, x)$ .

- 御下 - 西下 - 西下 - 西

### Example 2 concluded

• Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .

• Let 
$$\mathcal{I} = (v, x^2)$$
 and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2}, x)$ .

- associated blowing up  $Y' \rightarrow Y_2$  with charts:
  - Y'<sub>x</sub> := Spec ℂ[v, x, v']/(v'x<sup>2</sup> = v), where v' = v/x<sup>2</sup> (nonsingular scheme).
    - **★** Exceptional x = 0, now monomial.
    - \*  $\mathcal{I} = (v, x^2)$  transformed into  $(x^2)$ , invertible monomial ideal.
    - \* Kummer ideal  $(v^{1/2}, x)$  transformed into monomial ideal (x).

### Example 2 concluded

• Consider  $Y_2 = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[v, x]$  and  $D = \{v = 0\}$ .

• Let 
$$\mathcal{I} = (v, x^2)$$
 and  $\mathcal{J} = (v^{1/2}, x)$ .

- associated blowing up  $Y' \rightarrow Y_2$  with charts:
  - Y'<sub>x</sub> := Spec ℂ[v, x, v']/(v'x<sup>2</sup> = v), where v' = v/x<sup>2</sup> (nonsingular scheme).
    - **★** Exceptional x = 0, now monomial.
    - \*  $\mathcal{I} = (v, x^2)$  transformed into  $(x^2)$ , invertible monomial ideal.
    - \* Kummer ideal  $(v^{1/2}, x)$  transformed into monomial ideal (x).
  - ► The v<sup>1/2</sup>-chart:
    - \* stack quotient  $X'_{v^{1/2}} := [\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[w, y]/\mu_2],$
    - \* where y = x/w and  $\mu_2 = \{\pm 1\}$  acts via  $(w, y) \mapsto (-w, -y)$ .
    - ★ Exceptional w = 0 (monomial).
    - \*  $(v, x^2)$  transformed into invertible monomial ideal  $(v) = (w^2)$ .
    - \*  $(v^{1/2}, x)$  transformed into invertible monomial ideal (w).

Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a Kummer center on a logarithmically smooth Y. There is a universal proper birational  $Y' \to Y$  such that Y' is a logarithmically smooth stack and  $\mathcal{JO}_{Y'}$  is an invertible ideal.

• Choose a stack  $\tilde{Y}$  with coarse moduli space Y such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{JO}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is an ideal.

- Choose a stack  $\tilde{Y}$  with coarse moduli space Y such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{JO}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is an ideal.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to \tilde{Y}$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , with exceptional E.

- Choose a stack  $\tilde{Y}$  with coarse moduli space Y such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{JO}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is an ideal.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to \tilde{Y}$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , with exceptional E.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to B\mathbb{G}_m$  be the classifying morphism of  $\mathcal{I}_E$ .

- Choose a stack  $\tilde{Y}$  with coarse moduli space Y such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{JO}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is an ideal.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to \tilde{Y}$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , with exceptional E.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to B\mathbb{G}_m$  be the classifying morphism of  $\mathcal{I}_E$ .
- Y' is the relative coarse moduli space of  $\tilde{Y}' \to Y \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ .

- Choose a stack  $\tilde{Y}$  with coarse moduli space Y such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{JO}_{\tilde{Y}}$  is an ideal.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to \tilde{Y}$  be the blowup of  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ , with exceptional E.
- Let  $\tilde{Y}' \to B\mathbb{G}_m$  be the classifying morphism of  $\mathcal{I}_E$ .
- Y' is the relative coarse moduli space of  $\tilde{Y}' \to Y \times B\mathbb{G}_m$ .
- One shows this is independent of choices.  $\blacklozenge$