On the singular set of a nonlinear degenerate PDE arising in Teichmüller theory

Georgios Daskalopoulos[1]
Brown University
daskal@math.brown.edu

Chikako Mese[2]
Johns Hopkins University
cmese@math.jhu.edu

Abstract

Harmonic maps into a Coxeter complex of Teichmüller spaces are described by a certain degenerate elliptic PDE. We analyze the structure of the singular set near a junction of Teichmüller spaces. In particular, we show that the singular is $n-1$ rectifiable.

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been increasing attention towards variational problems associated with singular spaces as well as asymptotic limits of certain nonlinear elliptic systems and their singular perturbations. Some noteworthy examples among many others include: (i) the fundamental work of Gromov-Schoen on p-adic superrigidity (cf. [GrSc]) and the development of the theory of harmonic maps to metric spaces (cf. [KS1], [KS2], [Jo] and [DM1]); (ii) the work of Eells-Fuglede on harmonic functions or more generally harmonic maps defined on singular domains (cf. [EF] and [DM5]). (iii) the theory of degenerations of character varieties and coupled Yang-Mills equations (cf. [DDW], [T1], [T2], [T3]), as well as the gluing constructions in [Mz]; (iv) the study of certain singularly perturbed systems of elliptic equations and their asymptotic limits and its relation to the optimal partition problem for eigenvalues (cf. [CL1], [CL2] and [CL3] and the references therein); and last but not least (v) the theory of harmonic maps into Teichmüller space related to holomorphic rigidity of Teichmüller space and the rigidity of the mapping class group (cf. [DM3]).

The simplest examples of singular spaces that are not pseudo manifolds are trees and their generalizations. For example, a Euclidean building (which

[1]supported by research grant NSF DMS-1308708
[2]supported by research grant NSF DMS-1406332

can be thought of as the higher dimensional version of a tree) can be characterized by the property that any two points lie in a isometrically and totally geodesically embedded copy of Euclidean space. *A common theme in all the work above, is to consider harmonic (or energy minimizing) maps to trees or buildings and obtain estimates on the size as well as the structure of their singular set.* From this, one then can conclude important geometric and analytic consequences.

The reason why trees and buildings are amongst the simplest types of singular spaces is because they are made out of Euclidean spaces. In [DM2] and [DM3], we study harmonic maps into the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller space. This is a space, as explained below, has significantly worse singularities than buildings. More precisely, let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the Teichmüller space of a genus g Riemann surface with n punctures and $3g-3+n>0$. Endowed with the Weil-Petersson metric, $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth incomplete Kähler manifold of non-positive sectional curvature. Its metric completion $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, called the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichüller space, is no longer a Riemannian manifold, but an *NPC space*; i.e. a complete metric space of non-positive curvature in the sense of Alexandrov (cf. [Ya1]).

Recall that a neighborhood $\mathcal{N} \subset \overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of a point in $\partial\mathcal{T}$ is asymptotically a product $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ (cf. [Ya1], [DW], [Wo1], [Wo2], [LSY1], [LSY2] and [DM4]), where the smooth manifold $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of a lower dimensional Teichmüller space along with the Weil-Petersson metric and $\mathcal{V}$ is an open subset of $\overline{\mathbf{H}} \times \ldots \times \overline{\mathbf{H}}$ where $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ (referred to as the *model space*) is the metric completion of the half-plane

$$\mathbf{H} = \{(\rho, \phi) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \rho > 0\}$$

with respect to the metric $g_{\mathbf{H}} = d\rho^2 + \rho^6 d\phi^2$. The Riemannian manifold $(\mathbf{H}, g_{\mathbf{H}})$ is not complete reflecting also the incompleteness of $\mathcal{T}$ via neck pinching of nodal surfaces. (cf. [Wo3], [Ch]). The metric completion of $(\mathbf{H}, g_{\mathbf{H}})$ is the NPC space

$$(\overline{\mathbf{H}}, d_{\mathbf{H}}) = (\mathbf{H} \cup \{P_0\}, d_{\mathbf{H}}) \tag{1}$$

constructed by identifying the axis $\rho = 0$ to a single point P_0 and extending the induced distance function $d_{\mathbf{H}}$ of $g_{\mathbf{H}}$ to $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ by setting $d_{\mathbf{H}}(Q, P_0) = \rho$ for $Q = (\rho, \phi) \in \mathbf{H}$.

Since each boundary stratum of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold, the singular behavior of the Weil-Petersson geometry is completely captured by the model space $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$. A harmonic maps map into $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ can be locally expressed as

$$(V, v^1, \ldots, v^m)$$

where V maps into a lower dimensional Teichmüller space and v^l (for $l = 1, \ldots, m$) maps into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$. As $\mathcal{T}$ is only asymptotically and not exactly a product space near the boundary, the component map v^l is not harmonic. On the other hand, as explained in detail in [DM3], v^l is approximately harmonic and the crucial step in understanding the behavior of harmonic maps into $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is understanding the behavior of harmonic maps into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$.

Since the sectional curvature of $\mathbf{H}$ blows up near P_0, the harmonic map equations become very degenerate. For a map $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}$, we can write in a neighborhood of a regular point $u = (u_\rho, u_\phi)$ in terms of the coordinates (ρ, ϕ) and write down the harmonic map equations

$$u_\rho \triangle u_\rho = 3u_\rho^6 |\nabla u_\phi|^2 \text{ and } u_\rho^4 \triangle u_\phi = -6\nabla u_\rho \cdot u_\rho^3 \nabla u_\phi. \tag{2}$$

Although the right hand side of the above equations is localy bounded by the Lipschitz regularity of harmonic maps (cf. [KS1] Theorem 2.4.6), the left hand side of the equations is degenarate since $u_\rho(x)$ is the distance of the image $u(x)$ to P_0 which tends to zero. Thus, from this point of view, it is hard to see why the map should be uniformly regular near a singular point.

An important observation is that, because of the non-local compactness of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ near P_0, the Alexandrov tangent space $T_{P_0}\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ at P_0 (which is isometric to the interval $[0, \infty)$) does not properly reflect the geometry of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ in a neighborhood of P_0. Thus, a tangent map of a harmonic map $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}$ at a singular point (i.e. point in $u^{-1}(P_0)$) does not map into $T_{P_0}\overline{\mathbf{H}}$. Instead, (cf. [W] or [DM2]), a tangent map of harmonic map u into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ at a singular point is a harmonic map u_* whose image is not contained in $T_{P_0}\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ but is contained in the the space

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} \cup \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)} \ldots \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(N)} / \sim \tag{3}$$

defined by taking N copies $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(N)}$ of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ and $\sim$ indicates that the point P_0 from each copy is identified as a single point. The space $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(N)}$ should be thought of as a *tree-like N-pod where all the 2-dimensional simplices, in this case copies of* $\mathbf{H}$*, meet at the single vertex* P_0.

In [DM2], we study how harmonic maps into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2$ approximate harmonic maps into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ near a point of order 1. The goal of this paper is to investigate the singular set of a harmonic map $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$. The main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1 *If $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is a harmonic map from an n-dimensional smooth Riemannian domain, then the singular set $u^{-1}(P_0)$ is $(n-1)$-rectifiable.*

In [Ya2], Yamada constructs a geodesic completion X of the Teichmüller space through the formalism of Coxeter complex with the Teichmller space as its non-linear non-homogeneous fundamental domain. His main result is that this space X, called the *Teichmüller-Coxeter complex*, is of finite rank (in the sense of [KS2]) which in turn implies an existence theorem of equivariant harmonic maps (cf. [Ya2] Theorem 2). Given a harmonic map $u : \Omega \to X$ from a n-dimensional Riemannian domain into a Teichmüller-Coxeter complex, we can define a regular point as a point of Ω that maps to the interior of any fundamental domain of X (i.e. an isometric copy of $\mathcal{T}$ in X), the regular set $\mathcal{R}(u)$ as the set of regular points and the singular set $\mathcal{S}(u)$ as the complement of $\mathcal{R}(u)$. Using a similar proof as for Theorem 1, we obtain the following regularity result.

Theorem 2 *If $u : \Omega \to X$ is a harmonic map from a n-dimensional Riemannian domain into a Teichmüller-Coxeter complex, then $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is $(n-1)$-rectifiable.*

2 Preliminaries

Let $(\mathbf{H}, g_{\mathbf{H}})$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{H}}, d_{\mathbf{H}})$ be as above. The *homogeneous cordinates* (ρ, Φ) of $\mathbf{H}$ are defined by setting

$$\Phi = \rho^3 \phi.$$

It can be easily seen that the metric $g_{\mathbf{H}}$ is invariant under the scaling

$$\rho \to \lambda\rho, \;\; \Phi \to \lambda\Phi.$$

For $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we define the map $P \mapsto \lambda P$ using homogeneous coordinates by setting

$$\lambda P = \begin{cases} (\lambda\rho, \lambda\Phi) & \text{for } P = (\rho, \Phi) \in \mathbf{H} \\ P_0 & \text{for } P = P_0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The distance function is homogeneous degree 1 in the sense that

$$d_{\mathbf{H}}(\lambda P, \lambda Q) = \lambda d_{\mathbf{H}}(P, Q).$$

We now let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ as in (3). The distance function $d_{\mathbf{H}_N}$ on $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is defined by setting $d_{\mathbf{H}_N}(P_1, P_2) = d_{\mathbf{H}}(P_1, P_2)$ if $P_1, P_2 \in \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(j)}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ and $d_{\mathbf{H}_2}(P_1, P_2) = \rho_1 + \rho_2$ if $P_1 = (\rho_1, \phi_1) \in \mathbf{H}^{(j)} = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(j)} \backslash \{P_0\}$ and $P_2 = (\rho_2, \phi_2) \in \mathbf{H}^{(k)} = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)} \backslash \{P_0\}$ for $j \neq k$. The metric space $(\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, d_{\mathbf{H}_N})$ is an NPC space (cf. [BH]).

Convention 3 For $N = 2$, we write

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2 = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^+ \sqcup \overline{\mathbf{H}}^- / \sim \tag{5}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^+ = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^- = \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$. We will consider $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2$ as a totally geodesic subset of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ by the obvious inclusion. Furthermore, we define coordinates on

$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2\backslash\{P_0\}$ by first applying the change of variables $(\rho,\phi)\mapsto(-\rho,\phi)$ to obtain new coordinates for $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^-$. Thus, we then have coordinates

$$(\rho,\phi)\in\mathbf{R}\backslash\{0\}\times\mathbf{R} \tag{6}$$

for $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2\backslash\{P_0\}$ with the property that $\rho>0$ implies $(\rho,\phi)\in\mathbf{H}^+$ and $\rho<0$ implies $(\rho,\phi)\in\mathbf{H}^-$. The metric $g_{\mathbf{H}_2}$ at (ρ,ϕ) with $\rho\neq 0$ is given by

$$g_{\mathbf{H}_2}(\rho,\phi)=d\rho^2+\rho^6 d\phi^2. \tag{7}$$

We also define the homogeneous coordinates (ρ,Φ) on $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2\backslash\{P_0\}$.

Convention 4 *Given $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ and any two copies $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(j)}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)}$ there is a totally geodesic isometry $\sigma:\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2\to\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ with image $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(j)}\sqcup\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(k)}/\sim$. In particular (6) and (7) induce coordinates and a metric on the image of σ inside $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$.*

For a map $v:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ from a bounded Riemannian domain, let the function $|\nabla v|^2$ be the energy density as defined in [KS1]. The *energy* of v is

$$E^v=\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2 d\mu.$$

Definition 5 The map $u:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is said to be *harmonic* if for every $x\in\Omega$, there exists $r>0$ such that $u|_{B_r(x)}$ is energy minimizing with respect to all finite energy maps $v:B_r(x)\to\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ with the same trace (cf. [KS1]).

For a harmonic map $u:\Omega\to\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$, we have the following important monotonicity formula. Given $x_0\in\Omega$ and $\sigma>0$ such that $B_\sigma(x_0)\subset\Omega$, let

$$E^u(\sigma):=\int_{B_\sigma(x_0)}|\nabla u|^2 d\mu \quad\text{and}\quad I^u(\sigma):=\int_{\partial B_\sigma(x_0)}d^2(u,u(x))d\Sigma.$$

There exists a constant $c>0$ depending only on the C^2 norm of the metric on g (with $c=0$ when g is the standard Euclidean metric) such that

$$\sigma\mapsto e^{c\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma\ E^u(\sigma)}{I^u(\sigma)}$$

is non-decreasing. As a non-increasing limit of continuous functions,

$$Ord^u(x_0):=\lim_{\sigma\to 0}e^{c\sigma^2}\frac{\sigma\ E^u(\sigma)}{I^u(\sigma)}$$

is an upper semicontinuous function and $Ord^u(x_0)\geq 1$. (See Section 1.2 of [GS] with [KS1] and [KS2] justify various technical steps.)

Definition 6 The value $Ord^u(x_0)$ is called the *order of u at x_0.*

The singular set of a harmonic map $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = P_0\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is partitioned into the following two sets

$$\mathcal{S}_0(u) = \{x \in \mathcal{S}(u) : Ord^u(x) > 1\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_1(u) = \{x \in \mathcal{S}(u) : Ord^u(x) = 1\}.$$

The following result follows from [DM2].

Lemma 7 *If $u : B_1(0) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is a harmonic map, then the set of higher order points of u is of Hausdorff codimension at least 2, i.e.*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(S_0(u)) \leq n - 2.$$

Lemma 7 implies that we need only consider $S_1(u)$ in order to prove Theorem 1.

We now define the notion of blow up maps of u at $x \in \Omega$. To do this, we need that the domain metric is expressed with respect to normal coordinates so we make the following definition.

Definition 8 A smooth Riemannian metric g on $B_R(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is said to be *normalized* if the standard Euclidean coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ are normal coordinates of g. The metric g_s for $s \in (0, R]$ on $B_1(0)$ is defined by

$$g_s(x) = g(sx).$$

Given a normalized metric g on $B_R(0)$ and a harmonic map $u : (B_R(0), g) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$, the homogeneous coordinates can be used to define blow up maps of u at 0. More precisely, write

$$u = (u_\rho, u_\Phi)$$

in homogeneous coordinates. For $\sigma \in (0, R]$, define a harmonic map (which will be referred to as a *blow-up map*)

$$u_\sigma = (u_{\sigma\rho}, u_{\sigma\Phi}) : (B_1(0), g_\sigma) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N \tag{8}$$

by setting

$$u_{\sigma\rho}(x) = \mu^{-1}(\sigma) u_\rho(\sigma x) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{\sigma\Phi}(x) = \mu^{-1}(\sigma) u_\Phi(\sigma x)$$

where

$$\mu(\sigma) = \sqrt{\frac{I^u(\sigma)}{\sigma^{n-1}}}. \tag{9}$$

The choice of the scaling constant $\mu(\sigma)$ implies that

$$I^{u_\sigma}(1) = \int_{\partial B_1(0)} d^2(u_\sigma, P_0) d\Sigma = 1. \tag{10}$$

By the monotonicity property stated above, $E^{u_\sigma}(1) \leq 2Ord^u(0)$ for $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently small. Thus, by [KS1] Theorem 2.4.6, $\{u_\sigma\}$ has a uniform modulus of continuity. In turn, this implies that given a sequence u_{σ_i} with $\sigma_i \to 0$, there exists a subsequence converging locally uniformly in the pullback sense to a map $u_* : B_1(0) \to (Y_*, d_*)$ into an NPC space (cf. [KS1] Proposition 3.7). In particular,

$$d(u_{\sigma_i}(\cdot), u_{\sigma_i}(\cdot)) \to d_*(u_*(\cdot), u_*(\cdot)) \text{ uniformly on compact sets.}$$

Following [GrSc], we have that u_* is a homogeneous map of degree $\alpha = Ord^u(0)$, i.e. $d(u_*(x), u_*(0)) = |x|^\alpha d(u_*(\frac{x}{|x|}, u(0))$ and the curve $t \mapsto u_*(tx)$ is a geodesic in Y_* for each $x \in \partial B_1(0)$.

The qualitative behavior harmonic maps at order one points are given by Lemma 9 below. The proof follows immediately from in [DM2] Lemma 33.

Lemma 9 *Let g be a normalized metric on $B_1(0)$ and $u : (B_1(0), g) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ a harmonic map with $Ord^u(0) = 1$ and $u(0) = P_0$. Then given a sequence $\sigma_i \to 0$, there exists a subsequence (denoted again by σ_i) a rotation $\mathcal{R} : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$, a sequence of homogeneous degree 1 maps $l_{\sigma_i} : B_1(0) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_2 \subset \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ defined by (after renumbering the copies of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ in $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ if necessary and using Convention 4)*

$$l_{\sigma_i}(x) = \begin{cases} (Ax^1, \phi^+_{\sigma_i}) & x^1 > 0 \\ P_0 & x^1 = 0 \\ (Ax^1, \phi^-_{\sigma_i}) & x^1 < 0 \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

for a constant $A > 0$ and sequences $\{\phi^+_{\sigma_i}\}$, $\{\phi^-_{\sigma_i}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup_{B_r(0)} d(u_{\sigma_i} \circ \mathcal{R}, l_{\sigma_i}) = 0, \ \forall r \in (0,1)$$

where u_{σ_i} are the blow up maps u at 0.

After rotating the domain if necessary, we may assume in Lemma 9 that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} d(u_{\sigma_i}, l_{\sigma_i}) = 0.$$

For each i, define an isometry $\iota_{\sigma_i} : \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ by first defining

$$\iota_{\sigma_i}(P) = \begin{cases} (\rho, \phi - \phi^+_{\sigma_i}) & \text{if } P = (\rho, \phi) \text{ with } \rho > 0 \\ P_0 & \text{if } P = P_0 \\ (\rho, \phi - \phi^-_{\sigma_i}) & \text{if } P = (\rho, \phi) \text{ with } \rho < 0 \end{cases}$$

on $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2$ and extending it to $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ as an identity map outside of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_2$. In particular, we then have $l(x) := \iota_{\sigma_i} \circ l_{\sigma_i}(x) = (Ax^1, 0)$ and

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} d(\iota_{\sigma_i} \circ u_{\sigma_i}, l) = 0. \qquad (12)$$

3 Order 1 singular points

We start with the following.

Theorem 10 *Let $E_0 > 0$, $A > 0$ and a normalized metric g on $B_1(0)$ be given. There exist $\sigma_0 > 0$, $D_0^* > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that if $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0]$, $D_0 \in (0, D_0^*]$ and $u : (B_1(0), g_\sigma) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is a harmonic map that satisfies*

$$u(0) = P_0, \quad Lip(u|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)}) \leq E_0,$$

and

$$\sup_{B_1(0)} d(u, l) < D_0 \quad \text{where } l(x) = (Ax^1, 0), \qquad (13)$$

then

$$\sup_{B_s(0)} d(u, l) < CD_0 s, \quad \forall s \in (0, \sigma_0].$$

PROOF. First notice, that the proof of [DM3] Inductive Lemma 24 goes through without any changes when we replace the target space $\mathbf{H}^{k-j}$ by $\mathbf{H}_N$, $m = 1$ and $v = u$ is a harmonic map. For $c_0 > 0$, E_0, A and δ_0 given in the statement of the theorem, let $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{24})$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $D_0^* > 0$ be as in the [DM3] Inductive Lemma 24. Let $D_0 \in (0, D_0^*]$. By letting ${}_0l = l$ and ${}_0\delta = D_0$, the assumption implies

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{B_1(0)} d(u, {}_0l) < D_0 \\ \sup_{B_1(0)} |u_\rho - Ax^1| < {}_0\delta < 2D_0. \end{cases}$$

Apply the [DM3] Inductive Lemma 24 repeatedly to conclude that for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$\sup_{B_{\theta^i}(0)} d(v, l) < \theta^{i-1} \left(\frac{2^3 (A + 9D_0)^3}{\epsilon_0^3} + 10 \right) D_0.$$

For $s \in (0,1]$, let i be a nonnegative integer such that $s \in (\theta^{i+1}, \theta^i]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{B_s(0)} d(u,l) &\leq \sup_{B_{\theta^i}(0)} d(u,l) \\
&< \theta^{i-1} \left(\frac{2^3 \left(A + 9D_0\right)^3}{\epsilon_0^3} + 10 \right) D_0 \\
&\leq s\theta^{-2} \left(\frac{2^3 \left(A + 9D_0\right)^3}{\epsilon_0^3} + 10 \right) D_0 \\
&< CD_0 s
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \theta^{-2} \left(\frac{2^3 \left(A + 9D_0^*\right)^3}{\epsilon_0^3} + 10 \right).$$

Since D_0^* and ϵ_0 depend only on the given constants, we have proved the assertion. Q.E.D.

Lemma 11 *Let g be a normalized metric defined on $B_R(0)$ (cf. Definitio 8) and $u : (B_R(0), g) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, d)$ be a harmonic map with $Ord^u(0) = 1$ and $u(0) = P_0$. Furthermore, let σ_i, $\mathcal{R}$ and $A > 0$ be as in Lemma 9. Given $\delta_0 > 0$, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that*

$$s^{-1} \sup_{B_s(0)} d(u_\sigma, l_\sigma \circ \mathcal{R}) < \delta_0, \quad \forall s \in (0,1)$$

where u_σ is a blow up map of u at 0 as defined in (8) and $l_\sigma : B_1(0) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{H}}_2 \subset \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ defined by

$$l_\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} (Ax^1, \phi_\sigma^+) & x^1 > 0 \\ P_0 & x^1 = 0 \\ (Ax^1, \phi_\sigma^-) & x^1 < 0 \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

for some fixed constants $\phi_\sigma^+, \phi_\sigma^- \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. By the normalization (10) and the fact that $Ord^u(x_0) = 1$, we have that

$$\lim_{\sigma_i \to 0} E^{u_{\sigma_i}}(1) = 1.$$

For $\sigma_i > 0$ sufficiently small such that $E^{u_{\sigma_i}} \leq 2$ there exists $E_0 > 0$ such that $Lip(u_{\sigma_i}|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)}) < \frac{E_0}{2}$. For this choice of $E_0 > 0$, $A > 0$ and g given in the statement of the lemma, let $\sigma_0 > 0$, $D_0^* > 0$ and $C > 0$ be as in Theorem 10. Given $\delta_0 > 0$, choose $D_0 \in (0, D_0^*]$ such that $CD_0 < \delta_0$. Fix $\sigma_i \in (0, \sigma_0]$

sufficiently small such that (after applying a rotation in the domain and an isometry in the target)

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} d(u_{\sigma_i}, l) < D_0.$$

Set $\sigma = \sigma_i > 0$, $u(x) = u_{\sigma_i}(2x)$ and note that $u(0) = P_0, Lip(u) < E_0$ and $\sup_{B_1(0)} d(u, l) < D_0$. Theorem 10 implies the assertion immediately. Q.E.D.

Lemma 12 *If g is a normalized metric defined on $B_R(0)$ and $u : (B_R(0), g) \to (\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, d)$ is a harmonic map with $Ord^u(0) = 1$ and $u(0) = P_0$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}^u_* := \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{I^u(r)}{r^{n+1}} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. The fact that the limit as $r \to 0$ of the ratio $\frac{I^u(r)}{r^{n+1}}$ exists follows from [GrSc] (also see [DM1]). Let A be as in Lemma 11. By choosing $\delta_0 \in (0, \frac{A}{2})$ in Lemma 11, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{B_s(0)} |u_{\sigma\rho} - l_{\sigma\rho} \circ \mathcal{R}| \leq \sup_{B_s(0)} d(u_\sigma, l_\sigma \circ \mathcal{R}) < \delta_0 s$$

Applying the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{As}{2} \leq \sup_{B_s(0)} l_{\sigma\rho} \circ \mathcal{R} - \sup_{B_s(0)} |u_{\sigma\rho} - l_{\sigma\rho} \circ \mathcal{R}| \leq \sup_{B_s(0)} u_{\sigma\rho}.$$

Therefore,

$$0 \neq \frac{A}{2} \leq \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s} \sup_{B_s(0)} u_{\sigma\rho}.$$

The assertion now follows from the fact that

$$\frac{I^u(r)}{r^{n+1}} = \frac{I^u(\sigma)}{\sigma^{n-1}} \sigma^{-2} \frac{I^{u_\sigma}(\sigma r)}{(\sigma r)^{n+1}}.$$

Q.E.D.

Remark 13 As shown in [DM6], Lemma 12 implies a strong uniqueness statement of tangent maps of u.

Let g be a normalized metric defined on $B_R(0)$ and $u : (B_R(0), g) \to (\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, d)$ be a harmonic map with $u(0) = P_0$ and $Ord^u(0) = 1$. By virtue of Lemma 12, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\lambda s \leq \mu(s) \leq \lambda^{-1} s$$

where μ is defined in (9). Thus, we will consider blowup maps of u at x_0 normalized by $\frac{1}{t}$ instead of $\mu^{-1}(t)$.

Definition 14 The map

$$u_t : B_1(0) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, \quad u^t(x) := \frac{1}{t} u(tx) \tag{15}$$

will be referred to as the *renormalized blow up map.*

We now prove uniqueness of the tangent map.

Theorem 15 *If g is a normalized metric defined on $B_R(0)$ (cf. Definition 8) and $u : (B_R(0), g) \to (\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, d)$ is a harmonic map with $Ord^u(0) = 1$ and $u(0) = P_0$. Then there exists a rotation $\mathcal{R}_0 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ and constants $A_0, \phi^+, \phi^- \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup_{B_1(0)} d(u^t, l \circ \mathcal{R}_0) = 0$$

where $l : B_1(0) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_2 \subset \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is defined by

$$l(x) = \begin{cases} (A_0 x^1, \phi^+) & x^1 > 0 \\ P_0 & x^1 = 0 \\ (A_0 x^1, \phi^-) & x^1 < 0. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Proof. By Lemma 11, given $\delta_0 > 0$, we can choose $\sigma > 0$ and a homogeneous degree 1 map $l_\sigma : B_1(0) \to \mathbf{H}_N$ given by (14) such that

$$\sup_{B_s(0)} d(u_\sigma, l_\sigma \circ \mathcal{R}_0) < \delta_0 s, \quad \forall s \in (0, 1).$$

The lemma now follows immediately since σ is fixed. Q.E.D.

Proposition 16 *If $u : \Omega \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$ is a harmonic map, then the set*

$$\mathcal{S}_1(u) = u^{-1}(P_0) \cap \{x \in \Omega : Ord^u(x) = 1\}$$

is locally a graph of a Lipschitz function over an $(n-1)$-dimensional affine subspace.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we will assume in this proof that Ω is a Euclidean domain. Slight modification of the argument below will prove the case when Ω is equipped with an arbitrary Riemannian metric. By [Si] Section 3.8 Corollary 1, it is enough to show that given $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $y_0 \in \mathcal{S}_1(u)$, there exist $\rho_0 > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and an $(n-1)$-dimensional affine subset $L_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that for any $y \in B_{\epsilon_0}(y_0) \cap \mathcal{S}_1(u)$,

$$\mathcal{S}_1(u) \cap B_\rho(y) \subset \{x : \operatorname{dist}(x, L_0) \leq \delta\rho\}, \qquad \forall \rho < \rho_0. \tag{17}$$

Let $T_0 > 0$ be such that $\overline{B_{2T_0}(y_0)} \subset \Omega$. Theorem 15 implies that (after rotating the domain if necessary) there exists l as in (16) such that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} d(u^t_{y_0}, l) = 0$$

where

$$u^t_{y_0} : B_1(0) \to \overline{\mathbf{H}}_N, \quad u^t_{y_0}(x) = \frac{1}{t} u(y_0 + tx),$$

Without the loss of generality, we can assume $\phi^+ = \phi^- = 0$ in (16). By the local Lipschitz continuity ([KS1] Theorem 2.4.6), there exists $E_0 > 0$ such that the Lipschitz constant of u^t_y for $t \in (0, T_0)$ and $y \in B_{T_0}(0)$ is bounded by E_0. For E_0, $A = A_0$ and $\delta_0 = 1$, let $\sigma_0 > 0$, $D^*_0 > 0$ $C > 0$ be as in Theorem 10. Choose $D_0 \in (0, D^*_0]$ such that

$$2CD_0 < A\delta \tag{18}$$

and $t_0 \in (0, T_0]$ such that

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} d(u^{t_0}_{y_0}, l) < \frac{D_0}{2}.$$

By the continuity of u, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} d(u^{t_0}_{y_0}, u^{t_0}_y) < \frac{D_0}{2}, \qquad \forall y \in B_{\epsilon_0}(y_0).$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality,

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} d(u^{t_0}_y, l) < D_0, \qquad \forall y \in B_{\epsilon_0}(y_0).$$

In other words, assumption (13) of Theorem 10 is satisfied with $u(x) = u^{t_0}(\frac{x}{2})$, and thus by (18) we can conclude

$$\frac{1}{t_0} \sup_{B_{st_0}(y)} d(u, l) = \sup_{B_s(0)} d(u^{t_0}_y, l) < 2CD_0 s < A\delta s, \quad \forall s \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{2}].$$

By letting $\rho_0 = \frac{\sigma_0 t_0}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y \in B_{\epsilon_0}(y_0) \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \sup_{B_{st_0}(y)} d(u, l) < A\delta s t_0, \quad \forall s \in (0, \frac{\sigma_0}{2}] \\ &\Leftrightarrow \quad \sup_{B_\rho(y)} d(u, l) < A\delta\rho, \quad \forall \rho \in (0, \rho_0] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, assuming $y \in B_{\epsilon_0}(y_0)$ and $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$, we have

$$x \in \mathcal{S}_1(u) \cap B_\rho(y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x^1 = \frac{1}{A} d(P_0, l(x)) = \frac{1}{A} d(u(x), l(x)) < \delta\rho.$$

By setting L_0 equal to the hyperplane $\{x_1 = 0\}$, this imediately implies (17). Q.E.D.

4 Proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

We are now ready to prove our main theorems.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Combine Lemma 7 and Proposition 16 Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let P be a point in the boundary of a Teichmüller-Coxeter complex. The metric estimates of [DM4] imply that the Weil-Petersson metric is asymptotically a product of a lower dimensional Teichmüller space and copies of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$'s. This is analogous to the situation in [DM1] where we studied harmonic maps to the Weil-Petersson completion $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ of Teichmüller space. In this case, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ is near a point in the boundary, asymptotically a product space of a lower dimensional Teichmüller space and copies of $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$'s. In particular, we showed that the singular component maps (the component maps which map into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$) have blow up maps and tangent maps at singular points. Similarly, we can show the same for component maps into $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_N$. Thus, applying an argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, the theorem follows. Q.E.D.

References

[BH] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol 319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1999.

[CL1] L. Caffarelli and F. Lin. *Singularly perturbed elliptic systems and multi-valued harmonic functions with free boundaries.* JAMS (2008) 847-862.

[CL2] L. Caffarelli and F. Lin. *Analysis on the junctions of domain walls.* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 28, No. 3, (2010) 915-929.

[CL3] L. Caffarelli and F. Lin. *An optimal partition problem for eigenvalues* J. Sci. Comput., 31 (2007), pp. 5?18.

[Ch] T. Chu. Thesis, Columbia University, 1976.

[DM1] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *On the singular set of harmonic Maps into DM-Complexes.* To appear Mem. of the AMS.

[DM2] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *Essential regularity of the model space for the Weil-Petersson metric*. Preprint.

[DM3] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *Rigidity of Teichmüller space.* Preprint.

[DM4] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *C^1-Estimates for the Weil-Petersson Metric.* Submitted for publication.

[DM5] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *Harmonic maps between singular spaces I.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 8 (2010) 257-337.

[DM6] G. Daskalopoulos and C. Mese. *Monotonicity properties of harmonic maps into NPC spaces.* J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 11 (2012) 225-243.

[DW] G. Daskalopoulos and R. Wentworth. *Classification of Weil-Petersson isometries*. Amer. J. Math., 125 (4): 941-975, 2003.

[DDW] G. Daskalopoulos S. Dostoglou and R. Wentworth. *Character varieties and harmonic maps to R-trees.* Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998), no. 4, 523–53.

[EF] J. Eells and B. Fuglede. Harmonic maps between Riemannian polyhedra. Cambridge Tracts Maths., 142, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001).

[GrSc] M. Gromov and R. Schoen. *Harmonic maps into singular spaces and p-adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one.* IHES Publ. Math. 76 (1992) 165-246

[Jo] J. Jost. *Nonpositive curvature: geometric and analytic aspects.* Lectures in Mathematics. ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag 1997.

[KS1] N. Korevaar and R. Schoen. *Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps for metric space targets.* Communications in Analysis and Geometry 1 (1993), 561-659

[KS2] N. Korevaar and R. Schoen. *Global existence theorem for harmonic maps to non-locally compact spaces.* Communications in Analysis and Geometry 5 (1997), 333-387.

[Mz] R. Mazzeo, J. Swoboda, H. Weiss and F. Witt. *Ends of the moduli space of Higgs bundles.* Preprint.

[Si] L. Simon. *Theorems on Regularity and Singularity of Energy Minimizing Maps.* Lectures in Mathematics, ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.

[LSY1] K. Liu, X. Sun and S-T. Yau. *Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. I.*. J. Differential Geom., 68(3), 571-637, 2004.

[LSY2] K. Liu, X. Sun and S-T. Yau. *Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. II.* J. Differential Geom., 69(1), 163-216, 2005.

[T1] C. Taubes. *PSL(2;C) connections on 3-manifolds with L2 bounds on curvature.*

[T2] C. Taubes. *Compactness theorems for SL(2;C) generalizations of the 4-dimensional anti-self dual equations.*

[T3] C. Taubes. *The zero loci of Z/2 harmonic spinors in dimension 2, 3 and 4.*

[W] R. Wentworth. Unpublished Manuscript.

[Wo1] S. Wolpert. *Geometry of the Weil-Petersson completion of Teichmüller space.* Surveys in Differential Geometry, VIII: Papers in Honor of Calabi, Lawson, Siu and Uhlenbeck, editor S. T. Yau, International Press, 2003.

[Wo2] S. Wolpert. *Extension of the Weil-Petersson connection.* Duke Math. J., 146 (2009) 281-303.

[Wo3] S. Wolpert. *Noncompleteness of the Weil-Petersson metric for Teichmüller space*, Pacific J. of Math. 61 (1975), 513-576.

[Ya1] S. Yamada. *Weil-Petersson Completion of Teichmüller spaces.* Math. Res. Let. 11 (2004) 327-344.

[Ya2] S. Yamada, *Weil-Petersson geometry of Teichmller-Coxeter complex and its finite rank property.* Geom. Dedicata 145 (2010) 4363.