

Uniform Bounds for Periods of Endomorphisms of Varieties

Keping Huang

University of Rochester

The Joint Mathematics Meetings 2020

- 1 *Historical Remark*
- 2 *Our Result*
- 3 *Some Ingredients of the Proof*

Background and History

Morton and Silverman proposed the following

Conjecture (The Dynamical Uniform Boundedness Conjecture, [MS94])

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a number field of degree D ,
let $\phi : \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a morphism of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over K ,
and let $\text{Prep}(\phi, K)$ be the set of K -rational points preperiodic under ϕ .
There is a constant $C(D, N, d)$ such that

$$\#\text{Prep}(\phi, K) \leq C(D, N, d).$$

A Variant

If we replace \mathbb{P}^N by elliptic curves, then the above conjecture becomes the Mazur-Merel Theorem.

Theorem (Mazur-Merel)

For all $D \in \mathbb{Z}$, $D \geq 1$ there exists a constant $B(D) \geq 0$ such that for all elliptic curves E over a number field K with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = D$ we have $|E(K)_{\text{tors}}| \leq B(D)$.

Previous Results on Period

- Pezda and Zieve proved bounds for the length of integral cycles of certain polynomial endomorphisms of affine spaces.
- Fakhurddin proved a boundedness result for endomorphisms of certain proper schemes.
- Hutz proved a bound for endomorphisms of smooth projective varieties with good reduction.
- Bell, Ghioca, and Tucker proved a bound for étale morphisms of smooth models of varieties.

Hutz's Result

Theorem ([Hut09])

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension d and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a morphism defined over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction at a prime p and denote by \tilde{X} its reduction. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a periodic point with primitive period n . Then we have

$$n \leq |\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \cdot p(p^d - 1), \text{ for } p \neq 2$$

Hutz's Result

Theorem ([Hut09])

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a smooth irreducible projective variety of dimension d and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a morphism defined over \mathbb{Q} with good reduction at a prime p and denote by \tilde{X} its reduction. Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a periodic point with primitive period n . Then we have

$$n \leq |\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \cdot p(p^d - 1), \text{ for } p \neq 2$$

In the case when K is a number field, there is another factor depending only on K and the prime.

Outline

- 1 *Historical Remark*
- 2 *Our Result*
- 3 *Some Ingredients of the Proof*

Our Main Result

Theorem (H)

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a variety.

Suppose f admits a weak notion of good reduction at a prime p and denote by \tilde{X} its reduction at p .

Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a periodic point under f .

Our Main Result

Theorem (H)

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a variety.

Suppose f admits a weak notion of good reduction at a prime p and denote by \tilde{X} its reduction at p .

Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a periodic point under f .

Then the primitive period n of P satisfies that

$$n \leq |\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \cdot p \left(p^{d'} - 1 \right)$$

for $p \neq 2$, where d' is the maximum dimension of the cotangent spaces at points in $\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Our Main Result

Theorem (H)

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a variety.

Suppose f admits a weak notion of good reduction at a prime p and denote by \tilde{X} its reduction at p .

Let $P \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ be a periodic point under f .

Then the primitive period n of P satisfies that

$$n \leq |\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)| \cdot p \left(p^{d'} - 1 \right)$$

for $p \neq 2$, where d' is the maximum dimension of the cotangent spaces at points in $\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

In the case when K is a number field and the reduction is good, our result is weaker than the result of Hutz.

About the Weak Notion of Reduction

- There is a model \mathcal{X} of X over \mathbb{Z}_p .
- The variety X does not have to be nonsingular.
- The special fiber \tilde{X} does not have to be nonsingular.
- The special fiber \tilde{X} does not even have to be irreducible.

The Setup of the Proof

We follow the proof in [Fak01] and other papers.

Replacing f by an iterate

we may assume that the reduction \tilde{P} of P is fixed under f .

The Setup of the Proof

We follow the proof in [Fak01] and other papers.

Replacing f by an iterate

we may assume that the reduction \tilde{P} of P is fixed under f .

Let $\text{Spec}(A)$ be the reduced subscheme of the model \mathcal{X} determined by the orbit of P .

Then f induces an \mathbb{Z}_p -automorphism σ of A .

It can be shown that A is a local ring and

let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of A .

An Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $p = 3$ and $X = \mathbb{P}^1$.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by $f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 3$ and $P = 0$.

Then P is of primitive period 2 with orbit $O_f(P) = \{0, 3\}$.

An Example

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $p = 3$ and $X = \mathbb{P}^1$.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow X$ is given by $f(x) = x^2 - 4x + 3$ and $P = 0$.

Then P is of primitive period 2 with orbit $O_f(P) = \{0, 3\}$.

In this case the ring $A = \mathbb{Z}_3[x]/x(x-3)$.

It's a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (x, 3)$.

Outline

- 1 *Historical Remark*
- 2 *Our Result*
- 3 *Some Ingredients of the Proof*

The Framework

We first recall Proposition 1 of [Fak01]. We will use the notations there.

Proposition

With the notations as before, we have $n \leq n_0 r p^t$ where n_0 is the primitive period of the reduction \tilde{P} of P , r is the order of the induced map on the cotangent space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$, and t depends only on K and the prime.

Clearly $n_0 \leq |\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(k)|$.

Notations

Recall that r is the order of the induced map on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$.

First we bound r .

We can show that $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \leq d'$.

By a result of Darafsheh, we have $r \leq p^{d'} - 1$.

The Setup

Replacing f by an iterate we may assume that the reduction \tilde{P} of P is fixed under f .

Let $\text{Spec}(A)$ be the reduced subscheme of \mathcal{X} determined by the orbit of P . Then f induces an R -morphism σ of A .

Also let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of A .

Suppose the induced map $\tilde{\sigma}$ on $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is the identity.

The Setup

As in [Fak01], we look at the induced map $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$.

Write $\sigma = \text{id} + h$. Then $h(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$.

Let $\nu : A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined as follows:

for $0 \neq a \in A$, let $\nu(a)$ be the largest integer ℓ such that $a \in \mathfrak{m}^\ell$.

The Setup

As in [Fak01], we look at the induced map $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$.

Write $\sigma = \text{id} + h$. Then $h(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$.

Let $\nu : A \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined as follows:

for $0 \neq a \in A$, let $\nu(a)$ be the largest integer ℓ such that $a \in \mathfrak{m}^\ell$.

Since $h(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$,

for all $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ either $h(a) = 0$ or $\nu(h^j(a)) > \nu(a)$ ($j > 0$).

We will show that the order of σ is a power of p .

Suppose a is of period s and we have

$$a = \sigma^s(a) = (\text{id} + h)^s(a)$$

Suppose a is of period s and we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sigma^s(a) = (\text{id} + h)^s(a) \\ &= a + \binom{s}{1}h(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + \binom{s}{s-1}h^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a), \\ 0 &= sh(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + sh^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a). \end{aligned}$$

Poonen's Method

Suppose a is of period s and we have

$$\begin{aligned}a &= \sigma^s(a) = (\text{id} + h)^s(a) \\ &= a + \binom{s}{1}h(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + \binom{s}{s-1}h^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a), \\ 0 &= sh(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + sh^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that our goal is to show that s is a power of p .
It suffices to show that either $s = 1$ or $p|s$.

Poonen's Method

Suppose a is of period s and we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sigma^s(a) = (\text{id} + h)^s(a) \\ &= a + \binom{s}{1}h(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + \binom{s}{s-1}h^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a), \\ 0 &= sh(a) + \binom{s}{2}h^2(a) + \cdots + sh^{s-1}(a) + h^s(a). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that our goal is to show that s is a power of p .




It suffices to show that either $s = 1$ or $p|s$.

Suppose $s \neq 1$ and p does not divide s .

Since $\nu(h^N(a)) > \nu(a)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, $N \geq 1$,

we must have $0 \notin \mathfrak{m}^{\nu(h(a))+1}$. Contradiction!

Bibliography (Selected)

-  Najmuddin Fakhruddin, *Boundedness results for periodic points on algebraic varieties*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **111** (2001), no. 2, 173–178. MR 1836365
-  Benjamin Hutz, *Good reduction of periodic points on projective varieties*, Illinois J. Math. **53** (2009), no. 4, 1109–1126. MR 2741181
-  Patrick Morton and Joseph H. Silverman, *Rational periodic points of rational functions*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1994), no. 2, 97–110. MR 1264933

Thank you!