

Math 154 Notes

These are some notes on solvability.

1: Roots of Unity Not Necessary: Let F be a field of characteristic zero. Let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial which is solvable by radicals. Herstein proves that the Galois group of $p(x)$ is solvable, assuming the side-condition that F contains all n -th roots of unity. Here we eliminate the side condition.

The proof in Herstein only uses finitely many roots of unity. Let's say that the proof uses roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$. Let n_1, \dots, n_k be the corresponding orders of these roots of unity. Let $N = n_1 \dots n_k$, and let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Then every α_j has the form ω^k for some k . So, Herstein's proof works if F contains ω .

Let's just assume that F has characteristic zero, without putting any assumptions about roots of unity. Let $\tilde{F} = F(\omega)$. Let \tilde{E} be the splitting field of $p(x)$ over \tilde{F} . The result in Herstein says that $G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$ is solvable. Let E be the splitting field of p over F . We would like to prove that $G(E, F)$ is solvable. We can assume that $E \subset \tilde{E}$ because E is obtained from F by adjoining the roots of p and \tilde{E} is obtained from \tilde{F} by adjoining the same roots of p .

Note that \tilde{E} is the splitting field, over F , of the polynomial $p(x)(x^N - 1)$. Hence \tilde{E} is normal over F . Also E is normal over F . Therefore $G(E, F)$ is a quotient of $G(\tilde{E}, F)$. The quotient of a solvable group is solvable. So, to finish our proof, we just have to show that $G(\tilde{E}, F)$ is solvable.

Now, \tilde{F} is normal over F , because it is the splitting field for $x^N - 1$. Therefore, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}) \rightarrow G(\tilde{E}, F) \rightarrow G(\tilde{F}, F) \rightarrow 0.$$

What this means is that the left map is an injection, the right map is a surjection, and the image of the left map is the kernel of the right map. The left group is solvable by the result in Herstein. The right group is abelian and hence solvable. We want to show that the middle group is solvable.

Let's write the sequence as $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$. Call the right map ρ . Consider the commutator sequence $M^0 = M$, $M^1 = [M : M]$, etc. Likewise define this for L and R . We have $\rho(M^i) \subset R^i$. Since R^k is trivial for k large, we have M^k in the kernel of ρ for k large. But then M^k is isomorphic to a subgroup of L , which is solvable. Hence M^k is solvable. But then the sequence M^{k+1}, M^{k+2}, \dots is eventually trivial. Hence M is solvable. That's it.

The Vandermonde Matrix: The second portion of these notes discusses what is known as the Vandermonde matrix. We will use the result here in the next section. Let p be prime and let $\alpha_k = \exp(2\pi ik/p)$. The numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are the distinct p th roots of unity. Actually, the result we prove doesn't use the fact that p is prime, but this is the case that we will need below.

Let

$$M_j = (\alpha_j, \alpha_{2j}, \dots, \alpha_{pj}) \tag{1}$$

Let M be the matrix with rows M_1, \dots, M_p . Our goal is to show that M has nonzero determinant. This is equivalent to showing that the vectors M_1, \dots, M_p are linearly independent in the vector space \mathbf{C}^p .

We introduce the *Hermitian inner product*

$$\langle (z_1, \dots, z_p), (w_1, \dots, w_p) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p z_i \bar{w}_i. \tag{2}$$

Here \bar{w}_i is the complex conjugate of w_i . This gadget works very much like a dot product. It obeys the following rules.

- $\langle Z_1 + Z_2, W \rangle = \langle Z_1, W \rangle + \langle Z_2, W \rangle$
- $\langle aZ, W \rangle = a \langle Z, W \rangle$.
- $\langle W, Z \rangle = \overline{\langle Z, W \rangle}$.

(We don't actually need to know the third rule, but it is worth stating anyhow.)

We check easily that

$$\langle M_i, M_i \rangle = p; \quad \langle M_i, M_j \rangle = 0 \tag{3}$$

when $i \neq j$. Supposing that $c_1 M_1 + \dots + c_p M_p = 0$, we would get

$$\langle c_1 M_1 + \dots + c_p M_p, c_j \rangle = p c_j = 0. \tag{4}$$

Hence $c_j = 0$. But j is arbitrary. Hence $c_1, \dots, c_p = 0$. This proves that the vectors M_1, \dots, M_p are linearly independent. Hence $\det(M)$ is nonzero.

3. Converse to the Solvability Result: The third goal of these notes is to prove the converse to the result in Herstein – without any assumptions about roots of unity. I’m adapting this proof from Jacobsen’s book, *Algebra*. Let F be a field of characteristic zero and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial. Let E be the splitting field of $p(x)$. Suppose that $G(E, F)$ is solvable.

Let N be the order of $G(E, F)$. Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Let $\tilde{F} = F(\omega)$ and let \tilde{E} be the splitting field of p over \tilde{E} . Note that $\tilde{E} = E(\omega)$. An argument similar to the one above shows that $G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$ is also solvable. So, in our proof, we can assume without loss of generality that $\omega \in F$.

Let $G = G(E, F)$. We can find a sequence $(e) = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \dots \subset G_0 = G$ such that each G_i is normal in G_{i-1} and $H_i = G_{i-1}/G_i$ is abelian. Suppose there is some index i such that H_i is not cyclic of prime order. We have a surjection $\phi : G_{i-1} \rightarrow H_i$ and we let $G'_i = \phi^{-1}(H'_i)$, where H'_i is some nontrivial subgroup of H_i . Then G'_i is normal in G_i and G_{i-1} is normal in G'_i , and the two quotients G_{i-1}/G'_i and G'_i/G_i are both abelian. In short, if H_i is not cyclic of prime order, we can insert another group in our sequence. So, we can assume that G_{i-1}/G_i is cyclic of prime order for all i . Note that all these prime orders divide N . Corresponding the sequence of groups, we can find a tower of fields

$$F = F_0 \subset \dots \subset F_n = E$$

such that $[F_i : F_{i-1}]$ has prime order for all i .

Note that all the primes involved divide N . In particular, if $[F_{i-1} : F_i] = p$ then F_{i-1} contains all the p th roots of unity. The following lemma finishes the proof.

Lemma 0.1 *Let K be a normal field extension of F of degree p , with p prime. Suppose also that F contains all the p th roots of unity. Then we have $K = F(a)$ where $a^p \in F$.*

Proof: Let $\alpha_k = \exp(2\pi ik/p)$. Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are the p th roots of unity. We can write $K = F(c)$ for some $c \in K$. The group $G(K, F)$ has order p and hence is cyclic. Let η be a generator of $G(K, F)$. Note that $\eta(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k$ since $\alpha_k \in F$. Consider the sums

$$d_k = \alpha_k \eta(c) + \alpha_{2k} \eta^2(c) + \dots + \alpha_{pk} \eta^p(c). \quad (5)$$

We have $\eta(d_k) = d_k/\alpha_k$. Therefore $\eta(d_k^p) = d_k/\alpha_k^p = d_k$. So, d_k^p is fixed by $G(K, F)$. Since K is normal over F , we have $d_k^p \in F$. To finish the proof, we just have to show that some d_k does not belong to F .

We can write Equation 5 in matrix form, as $D = MC$, where $D = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_p)$ and M is the *Vandermonde matrix*. We have already seen that $\det(M) \neq 0$. Hence M is invertible and we can write $C = M^{-1}D$. But then c is expressible as a linear combination of d_1, \dots, d_p . Since $c \notin F$, we must have $d_k \notin F$ for some k . This does it. ♠