

The purpose of these notes is to prove Lindemann's Theorem. The proof is adapted from Jacobson's book *Algebra I*, but I simplified some of the assumptions in order to make the proof easier. Also, I improved the proof somewhat.

## 1 The Main Result

Here is Lindemann's Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $u \neq 0$  be an algebraic number. Then  $e^u$  is transcendental.*

Theorem 1.1, applied to  $u = 1$ , immediately proves that  $e$  is transcendental. Here is another application.

**Theorem 1.2**  *$\pi$  is transcendental.*

**Proof:** Suppose  $\pi$  is algebraic. Since  $2i$  is also algebraic,  $2\pi i$  is algebraic. But  $e^{2\pi i} = 1$  and 1 is not transcendental. This contradicts Theorem 1.1. ♠

Rather than prove Theorem 1.1 directly, we'll prove a related result.

**Theorem 1.3** *Let  $\mathbf{F}$  denote the field of algebraic numbers. Suppose that  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are distinct algebraic integers. Then the numbers  $e^{u_1}, \dots, e^{u_k}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

Let's first see how Theorem 1.3 implies Theorem 1.1. Suppose that  $u$  is some algebraic number and  $e^u$  is algebraic. Then  $e^{ku} = (e^u)^k$  is algebraic for every integer  $k$ . We can choose  $k$  so that  $ku$  is an algebraic integer. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $u$  is an algebraic integer and  $e^u = v$  is an algebraic number. But then we set  $u_1 = 0$  and  $u_2 = u$  and  $v_1 = -v$  and  $v_2 = 1$ . We have

$$v_1 e^{u_1} + v_2 e^{u_2} = -v + e^u = 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $e^{u_1}$  and  $e^{u_2}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{F}$ .

**Remark:** Jacobson proves Theorem 1.3 under the weaker assumption that  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are just algebraic numbers and not necessarily algebraic integers. The stronger result in Jacobson is equivalent to the Lindemann-Weierstrass Theorem, a generalization of Lindemann's Theorem.

## 2 Outline of the Proof

Say that a *bad sum* is a nontrivial sum of the form

$$v_1 e^{u_1} + \dots + v_n e^{u_n}, \tag{1}$$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are algebraic numbers and  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  are algebraic integers. The content of Theorem 1.3 is that there are no bad sums. We will assume that there is a bad sum and derive a contradiction. Here is our first main result.

**Lemma 2.1 (Step 1)** *Suppose that there exists a bad sum. Then there exists a bad sum where  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

Note that the  $n$  in Step 1 might be different from the  $n$  in Equation 1. The same thing is true for the remaining steps. We are just using  $n$  to denote a finite sum.

Suppose then that we have a bad sum in which all the  $v$ 's are integers. We can find a normal extension  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in K$ . Let  $G = G(K, \mathbf{Q})$  denote the Galois group of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

**Lemma 2.2 (Step 2)** *Suppose that there exists a bad sum as in Step 1. Then there exists a bad sum of the form  $v_1 T_1 + \dots + v_n T_n$ , where*

$$T_k = \sum_{\phi \in G} e^{\phi(u_k)}, \tag{2}$$

and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Finally, here is the last of the algebraic steps.

**Lemma 2.3 (Step 3)** *Suppose that there exists a bad sum as in Step 2. Then we have a bad sum of the form*

$$v_0 + v_1 T_1 + \dots + v_n T_n, \tag{3}$$

where  $v_0 \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$  and the remaining terms are as in Step 2.

We will work with the sum in Equation 3.

**Lemma 2.4 (Step 4)** *For any sufficiently large prime  $p$ , there is an integer  $N \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$  and polynomial  $F(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  such that*

$$|Ne^{\phi(u_i)} - F(\phi(u_i))| < 1/p,$$

for all  $u_i$  and all  $\phi \in G$ . Also, the coefficients of  $F$  are all divisible by  $p$ .

Now let's put the steps together. We pick some large prime  $p$  and multiply Equation 3 by  $N$ :

$$X = v_0N + v_1NT_1 + \dots + v_nNT_n = 0. \quad (4)$$

Consider the related sum

$$Y = v_0N + v_1 \sum_{\phi \in G} F(\phi(u_1)) + \dots + v_n \sum_{\phi \in G} F(\phi(u_n)). \quad (5)$$

From Step 4, we have

$$|v_kNT_k - v_k \sum_{\phi \in G} F(\phi(u_k))| < \frac{M}{p}; \quad M = \max(|v_1|, \dots, |v_n|). \quad (6)$$

Subtracting  $X$  from  $Y$  term by term and using Equation 6, we get

$$|Y| = |Y - X| < \frac{nM}{p} < 1. \quad (7)$$

The last inequality holds when we pick  $p$  large enough. But each term

$$\sum_{\phi \in G} \frac{F(\phi(u_k))}{p} \quad (8)$$

is an algebraic integer that is fixed by all  $\phi \in G$ . Hence, this sum lies in  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The only algebraic integers in  $\mathbf{Q}$  are ordinary integers. Hence the sum in Equation 8 is an integer! Therefore, all the summands of  $Y$ , after the first one, lie in  $p\mathbf{Z}$ . But the first summand of  $Y$  lies in  $\mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$  provided we take  $p$  large enough. Hence  $Y \in \mathbf{Z} - p\mathbf{Z}$ . In particular  $|Y| \geq 1$ . For  $p$  sufficiently large, Equation 7 says that  $|Y| < 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence there are no bad sums.

This completes the proof, modulo the four steps above. Now we prove the four steps.

### 3 A Certain Ring

Let  $K$  be a finite normal extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $O_K$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $K$ . We define a ring  $R$ , as follows. An element of  $R$  is a map  $f : O_K \rightarrow K$  which is nonzero only at finitely many values. Given two elements  $f_1, f_2 \in R$ , we define  $g = f_1 + f_2$  by the rule  $g(a) = f_1(a) + f_2(a)$ . Again,  $g$  is only nonzero at finitely many values, so  $g \in R$ . This makes  $R$  into an abelian group. We define  $h = fg$  by the rule that

$$h(a) = \sum_{s+t=a} f(s)g(t). \quad (9)$$

Again  $h$  only takes on finitely many nonzero values. It is an easy but tedious exercise to check that these operations make  $R$  into a ring. For instance, the multiplication rule is associative and  $(fg)h$  and  $f(gh)$  both map  $a$  to

$$\sum_{r+s+t=a} f(r)g(s)h(t).$$

Here is a less obvious property.

**Lemma 3.1**  *$R$  is an integral domain.*

**Proof:** This works for roughly the same reason that polynomial rings over fields are integral domains: The highest degree terms multiply together to get a result that isn't cancelled by anything else. We don't have the notion of degree here, but we can do something similar. We define an ordering on  $\mathbf{C}$ , as follows:  $x_1 + iy_1 > x_2 + iy_2$  if and only if one of two things holds.

- $x_1 > x_2$ .
- $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 > y_2$ .

Our ordering has the following property: If  $z_1 > z'_1$  and  $z_2 > z'_2$  then  $z_1 + z_2 > z'_1 + z'_2$ . Given nonzero  $f, g \in R$ , there are largest elements  $s, t \in K$  such that  $f(s) \neq 0$  and  $g(t) \neq 0$ . But then  $fg(s+t) = f(s)g(t) \neq 0$ . The point is that all other sums in Equation 9 are less than  $s+t$  in the order. ♠

There is a map  $\Psi : R \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  given by

$$\Psi(f) = \sum_{a \in K} f(a)e^a. \quad (10)$$

This is a finite sum, so  $\Psi(f)$  is a well-defined number.

**Lemma 3.2**  $\Psi$  is a ring homomorphism.

**Proof:** It is pretty obvious that  $\Psi$  is a group homomorphism. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(fg) &= \sum_{a \in K} (fg)(a)e^a = \\
&= \sum_{a \in K} \sum_{s+t=a} f(s)g(t)e^{s+t} = \\
&= \sum_{s,t \in K} f(s)g(t)e^{s+t} = \\
&= \sum_{s,t \in K} f(s)g(t)e^s e^t = \\
&= \left( \sum_{s \in K} f(s)e^s \right) \left( \sum_{t \in K} g(t)e^t \right) = \\
&= \Psi(f)\Psi(g).
\end{aligned}$$

The main point here is that  $e^{s+t} = e^s e^t$ . ♠

There are two more pieces of structure. Let  $G = G(K, \mathbf{Q})$  be the Galois group of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For any  $\phi \in G$ , the composition  $\phi \circ f$  is also an element of  $R$ . This map has the action  $\phi \circ f(a) = \phi(f(a))$ . Similarly, the composition  $f \circ \phi$  is an element of  $R$ .

## 4 Step 1

Suppose that we have a bad sum, as in Equation 1. We take the field  $K$  to be some finite normal extension that contains  $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$ .

Let  $N$  be the kernel of  $\Psi$ . If our bad sum exists, then  $N$  is nontrivial. In fact,  $N$  consists exactly in those elements which  $\Psi$  maps to bad sums.

Our bad sum gives us a nontrivial element  $f \in N$ . Consider the product

$$g = \prod_{\phi \in G} (\phi \circ f) \in N. \tag{11}$$

Since  $R$  is an integral domain,  $g$  is a nontrivial element of  $R$ . By construction  $\phi \circ g = g$  for all  $\phi \in G$ . This is to say that  $g(a)$  is fixed by all elements of  $G$ . But then  $g(a) \in \mathbf{Q}$  for all  $a \in O_K$ . By construction  $\Psi(g)$  is a bad sum with rational coefficients. We multiply through by a large integer to make all the coefficients integers. This completes Step 1.

## 5 Step 2

We keep the same notation. Suppose that  $f \in N$  is such that  $\Psi(f)$  is a bad sum with integer coefficients. We consider the product

$$g = \prod_{\phi \in G} (f \circ \phi) \in N. \quad (12)$$

By construction,  $g \circ \phi = g$  for all  $\phi \in G$ . The map  $g$  assigns the same values to both  $a$  and  $\phi(a)$  for all  $\phi \in G$ . Hence, in the bad sum  $\Psi(g)$ , the coefficient of  $e^a$  and the coefficients of  $e^{\phi(a)}$  are the same for each  $a \in O_K K$  and  $\phi \in G$ . By construction, these coefficients are integers. Hence,  $\Psi(g)$  has exactly the form mentioned in Step 2.

## 6 Step 3

Say that an element  $g \in R$  is *symmetric* if  $g \circ \phi = g$  for all  $\phi \in G$  and also  $g$  is integer valued. We established Step 2 by showing that the kernel  $N$ , if nonempty, contains a symmetric element. To complete Step 3, we just have to adjust  $g$  so that  $g(0) \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 6.1** *The product of two symmetric elements is symmetric.*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are symmetric. Then, setting  $s' = \phi^{-1}(s)$  and  $t' = \phi^{-1}(t)$ , we have

$$fg \circ \phi(a) = \sum_{s+t=\phi(a)} f(s)g(t) = \sum_{s'+t'=a} f(s)g(t) = \sum_{s'+t'=a} f(s')g(t') = fg(a).$$

Hence  $fg \circ \phi = fg$ . ♠

Given a symmetric  $g \in N$ , we choose some algebraic integer  $a \in K$  such that  $g(a) \neq 0$ . We define  $h$  to be the symmetric element such that  $h(-b) = g(a)$  if and only if  $b = \phi(a)$  for some  $\phi \in G$ , and otherwise  $h(b) = 0$ . Finally, we set  $f = gh$ . By construction  $f \in N$  and  $f$  is symmetric. We compute

$$f(0) = \sum_{s+t=0} g(s)h(t) = Cg(a)^2 \neq 0. \quad (13)$$

The only contributions from this sum arise when  $s$  lies in the  $G$ -orbit of  $a$ . The constant  $C$  is the number of points in the  $G$ -orbit of  $a$ . We have  $f(0) \neq 0$  and  $f \in N$  and  $f$  is symmetric. Hence  $\Psi(f)$  is the kind of bad sum advertised in Step 3.

## 7 Step 4

We can find a polynomial  $a(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  such that all the terms  $\phi(u_j)$  are roots of  $a$ , and 0 is not a root of  $a$ .

Choose a prime  $p$  and consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} x^{p-1} a(x)^p. \quad (14)$$

Next, we define

$$N = f^{(p-1)}(0) + f^{(p)}(0) + \dots; \quad F(x) = f^{(p)}(x) + f^{(p+1)}(x) + \dots \quad (15)$$

These are finite sums because  $f$  is a polynomial.

**Lemma 7.1** *If  $p$  is large enough,  $N$  is not divisible by  $p$ .*

**Proof:** We can write  $f(x) = b_0 x^{p-1} + b_1 x^p + \dots$ , where  $b_0 = a(0)^p / (p-1)!$ . We have  $f^{(p-1)}(0) = a(0)^p$  and all higher derivatives of  $f$  vanish at 0. If  $p$  is large then  $a(0)^p$  is not divisible by  $p$ . ♠

**Lemma 7.2**  *$F(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$  and all the coefficients are divisible by  $p$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $F$  is the sum of integer polynomials,  $F(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ . Note that  $f^{(k)}(x)$  has all coefficients divisible by  $p$  as long as  $k \geq p$ . Hence the sum of these polynomials has all coefficients divisible by  $p$ . ♠

To finish our proof, it is convenient to introduce the function

$$G(x) = f(x) + f'(x) + f''(x) \dots \quad (16)$$

We have

$$G(0) = N; \quad G(\phi(u_i)) = F(\phi(u_i)). \quad (17)$$

The reason this works is that the first  $p-2$  derivatives of  $f$  vanish at 0 and the first  $p-1$  derivatives of  $f$  vanish at each  $\phi(u_i)$ . So, to finish Step 4, we just have to prove that

$$|G(0)e^t - G(t)| < 1/p; \quad \forall t = \phi(u_i). \quad (18)$$

The rest of the proof is devoted to the proof of Equation 18. Note that  $t$  might be a complex number here. On the first pass, you might want to just consider the case when  $t$  is always real. In this case, the derivatives we take are the ordinary derivatives. In the general case, the expression  $f'$  means  $df/dz$ , the complex derivative. The only difference between the general complex case and the real case is that you have to think a bit about why the starred inequality is true in the complex case.

Let

$$N = \max |\phi(u_i)| \tag{19}$$

where the max is taken over all possibilities. We have  $|t| \leq N$ .

Let  $\psi(x) = e^{-x}G(x)$ . We compute

$$\psi'(x) = -e^{-x}(G(x) - G'(x)) = -e^{-x} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f^{(i)}(x) \right) = -e^{-x} f(x).$$

The sums are finite, because  $f$  is a polynomial. Our equation tells us that

$$|\psi'(x)| \leq e^N |f(x)|, \tag{20}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{C}$  such that  $|x| \leq N$ . Letting  $B$  be the disk of radius  $N$  centered at the origin, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G(t) - e^t G(0)| &= \\ |e^t |\psi(t) - \psi(0)| &\leq^* \\ te^t \max_B |\psi'| &\leq \\ Ne^{2N} \max_B |f| &\leq \frac{C^p}{(p-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant that only depends on the original polynomial  $a$  and not on any properties of  $p$ . For  $p$  sufficiently large, this last bound is less than  $1/p$ . This finishes Step 4.