## March 2015 MA 2110, Introduction to Manifolds Sixth Assignment Due 4/2/15

March 23, 2015

We know (Problem 13) that if  $(p,p) \in \Delta_M$  is a limit of double points for  $F: M \to N$  then p is a non-immersion point for F. In particular, if F is an immersion then there is a neighborhood of  $\Delta_M$  in  $M \times M$  containing no double points.

Say that a double point (p,q) of F is a good double point if the map  $F \times F$  is transverse to  $\Delta_N$ at (p,q); otherwise call it a bad double point. Say that a non-immersion point p of F is a good non-immersion point if for every non-zero vector  $v \in ker(D_pF)$  the map  $DF : TM \to TN$  is transverse to  $0_N$  at v; otherwise call it a bad non-immersion point. Our main goal (Problem 18 below) is to show that every smooth F (with compact domain) can be approximated by a smooth map having no bad double points and no bad non-immersion points. This includes the statement that every smooth map from an m-manifold to a (2m + 1)-manifold can be approximated by a smooth embedding.

16. Show that if (p, p) is a limit of bad double points for F then p is a bad non-immersion point for F. It follows that if every non-immersion point of F is good then there is a neighborhood of  $\Delta_M$  in  $M \times M$  in which there are no bad double points.

[Hint: This is a local question, so we may assume that M is open in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $G(t, x, v) = \frac{F(x+tv)-F(x)}{t}$  if  $t \neq 0$  and  $G(x, 0, v) = (D_x F)(v)$ . Show that for  $t \neq 0$  G(t, x, v) = 0 if and only if (x, x + tv) is a double point for F, and that it is a good double point if and only if G is transverse to 0 at (t, x, v). Show that there exists v such that G(0, x, v) = 0 if and only if x is a non-immersion point for F, and that it is a good non-immersion point if or every such v the map  $(x, v) \mapsto G(0, x, v)$  is transverse to 0. ]

The next problem shows in particular that any smooth map from an *m*-manifold to a 2*m*-manifold can be approximated by an immersion. Note that when a smooth map  $F : \Lambda \times M \to N$  is regarded as a family of maps  $F_{\lambda} : M \to N$  then it gives a smooth map  $\Lambda \times TM \to TN$  by  $(\lambda, v) \mapsto (DF_{\lambda})(v)$ . 17. Suppose that  $F_0: M \to N$  is a smooth map from a compact *m*-dimensional manifold to an *n*-dimensional manifold. Show that for every  $a \in M$  the map  $F_0$  belongs to some smooth family of maps  $F_{\lambda}$  such that the associated map  $\Lambda \times (TU - 0_U) \to TN$  is transverse to  $0_N$  for some neighborhood U of a. Conclude from this and a compactness argument that  $F_0$  belongs to some smooth family such that  $\Lambda \times (TM - 0_M) \to TN$  is transverse to  $0_N$ . It follows that for almost all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  (i.e. for all  $\lambda$  outside a set of measure zero) the map  $F_{\lambda}$  has no bad non-immersion points. Conclude that in the special case  $n \geq 2m F_{\lambda}$  is an immersion for almost all  $\lambda$ .

18. Let  $F_0$  be as in Problem 17. Show that  $F_0$  belongs to some smooth family such that  $\Lambda \times (TM - 0_M) \to TN$  is transverse to  $0_N$  and  $\Lambda \times (M \times M - \Delta_M) \to N \times N$  is transverse to  $\Delta_N$ .