

EQUATIONS OF UNIVERSAL TORSORS AND COX RINGS

B. Hassett

Department of Mathematics, Rice University, MS 136, Houston, TX 77251-1892, U.S.A. • *E-mail* : `hassett@math.rice.edu`

Abstract. We discuss several constructions of universal torsors over rational surfaces.

1. Universal torsors and Cox rings

1.1. Motivating Example. All fields are supposed to be of characteristic 0.

Let X/K be a quintic Del Pezzo surface over a number field K . We have $\overline{X} = X_{\overline{K}} = \text{Bl}_{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4} \mathbb{P}^2$, i.e. geometrically, X is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in four points in general position. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$P_1 = [1, 0, 0], P_2 = [0, 1, 0], P_3 = [0, 0, 1], P_4 = [1, 1, 1].$$

Theorem 1 (Enriques, Swinnerton-Dyer). *Even in the non-split case,*

$$X(K) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. See [Sko93]. □

Since there is a unique projectivity taking arbitrary generic points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 (i.e., distinct and no three of them collinear) to $[1, 0, 0], \dots, [1, 1, 1]$ as above, the geometry behind this over \overline{K} is (where $P_5 \in \overline{X}$ is the point we want to describe):

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{X} &= \mathrm{SL}_3 \backslash \{(P_1, \dots, P_5) \in \mathbb{P}^2\} \\ &= \mathrm{SL}_3 \backslash \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \end{array} \right) // \mathbb{G}_m^5. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the Grassmannian of 3-dimensional subspaces of 5-dimensional space $\mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)$. Since such a subspace is described by a basis which is unique only up to an action of GL_3 , we have $\mathrm{GL}_3 \backslash M(3 \times 5) \cong \mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)$, where we interpret the three rows of a 3×5 matrix as a basis. This implies that $\mathrm{SL}_3 \backslash M(3 \times 5)$ is the cone over this Grassmannian.

Therefore, $\overline{X} \cong \mathrm{Cone}(\mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)) // \mathbb{G}_m^5$. Here, $\mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)$ is embedded into \mathbb{P}^9 by the Plücker embedding.

The “miracle” is that this generalizes to non-closed fields.

Remark 2. The permutation group S_5 of the five points acts on the situation, and actually $\mathrm{Aut}(\overline{X}) = S_5$.

Descent data for X is given by representations $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow S_5$. Let T_ρ be the nonsplit form of \mathbb{G}_m^5 corresponding to ρ . In fact, X is $\mathrm{Cone}(\mathrm{Gr}(3, 5)) // T_\rho$.

1.2. Universal torsors. Let X be a smooth projective variety over \overline{K} . Assume $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is free of rank r . Let T_X be the Néron-Severi torus, i.e., its character group is $\chi^*(T_X) = \mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

Definition 3. A *universal torsor* \mathcal{U} is a T_X -principal homogeneous space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_x & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

so that given an element $\lambda \in \chi^*(T_X)$ (i.e., $\lambda : T_X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$), then $\mathcal{M}_\lambda^\times \cong \mathcal{L}_\lambda - \{0\text{-section}\}$ as \mathbb{G}_m -bundles over X . Here, $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is the line bundle associated to λ by $\chi^*(T_X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(X)$, and \mathcal{M}_λ is the associated bundle to the principal bundle $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ induced by the representation λ .

Example 4. 1. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{A}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ is the corresponding universal torsor with the torus acting diagonally. We have $\mathcal{U} / \mathbb{G}_m \cong X = \mathbb{P}^n$.

2. Let X be the quintic Del Pezzo surface as above with the action of T_X on $\text{Cone}(\text{Gr}(3, 5))$. Then the universal torsor \mathcal{U} is the open subset of $\text{Cone}(\text{Gr}(3, 5))$ on which T_X acts freely.

The abstract approach to universal torsors is as follows: Choose a minimal set $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ generating $\text{Pic}(X)$ over \mathbb{Z} . Denote $\mathcal{L}_j - \{0\text{-section}\}$ by \mathcal{L}_j^\times . Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{L}_1^\times \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_r^\times$. Then $T_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ is a T_X -principal bundle defining the universal torsor.

However, this abstract definition is not very useful, e.g., for number theoretic applications.

Remark 5. Over non-closed fields, we may not be able to descend the universal torsor \mathcal{U} .

For example, consider a non-split conic X . It is geometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , but it has no line bundle isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ over the ground field. It only has line bundles of even degree, so there cannot exist a universal torsor over the ground field.

1.3. Total coordinate rings / Cox rings.

Definition 6. Let X be a projective variety with properties as above. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ be a basis of $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then the Cox ring of X is defined as

$$\text{Cox}(X) = \bigoplus_{(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_1^{v_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{L}_r^{v_r}).$$

Properties of $\text{Cox}(X)$ are:

1. It is graded by $\text{Pic}(X)$: for $\lambda \in \chi^*(T_X) \cong \text{Pic}(X)$, the part of degree λ is given by $\text{Cox}(X)_\lambda = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$.
2. The torus T_X acts naturally on $\text{Cox}(X)$: For $t \in T_X$, $s \in \text{Cox}(X)_\lambda$, this action is given by $t(s) := \lambda(t) \cdot s$.
3. $\text{Cox}(X)$ is independent of the choice of generators \mathcal{L}_i of the Picard group. Given two sets of generators \mathcal{L}_i and \mathcal{M}_j , the induced isomorphism of rings is canonical only up to the action of the torus T_X . The reason is that the isomorphism depends on a choice of isomorphisms

$$L_j \cong \mathcal{M}_1^{n_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{M}_r^{n_r}, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

However, such an isomorphism is not canonical: \mathcal{L}_j has automorphisms given by scalar multiplication. For details, see [HT04].

The existence of non-trivial automorphisms makes the descent of universal torsors an interesting question.

4. The graded pieces of $\text{Cox}(X)$ which are non-zero correspond to effective divisors on X .

The Cox ring does not need to be finitely generated:

Example 7 (Mukai). Let $X = \text{Bl}_{P_1, \dots, P_n} \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the blowup of projective space in n points in general position. If $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{n-r} \geq 1$, then $\text{Cox}(X)$ is not finitely generated (i.e., for \mathbb{P}^2 : $n \geq 9$; for \mathbb{P}^3 : $n \geq 8$). Details can be found in [Muk01].

However, it is finitely generated if one of the following conditions is true:

1. The cone of effective divisors $\text{NE}(X)$ is generated by a finite collection of semi-ample line bundles (e.g., $X = G/P$ where P is parabolic subgroup of an algebraic group G).
2. X is (log) Fano of dimension ≤ 3 .
3. X is toric. In this case, for $X = \mathbb{G}_m^{\dim X} = \bigcup_{j=1}^N D_j$ where the D_j are subvarieties of codimension 1, and $s_j \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(D_j))$ is non-zero, then $\text{Cox}(X) \cong K[s_1, \dots, s_N]$.

1.4. Relations between universal torsors and Cox rings. From now on, assume that $\text{Cox}(X)$ is finitely generated. Let $\mathcal{V} = \text{Spec}(\text{Cox}(X))$. It is affine with T_X -action $T_X \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Fix an open subset \mathcal{U} on which T_X acts freely. The basic fact is that \mathcal{U} is a T_X -principal bundle over X :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

and \mathcal{U} is a universal torsor.

The punchline is that this way, the universal torsor \mathcal{U} is naturally a quasi-affine variety. Therefore, giving equations for \mathcal{U} is equivalent to giving generators and relations for $\text{Cox}(X)$. This can be done by algebro-geometric methods, which may be seen as an improvement to the existing number theoretic method to calculate universal torsors.

To sketch a proof of these results, observe that X is naturally a Geometric Invariant Theory quotient $(\mathcal{V} // T_X)_\lambda$ (by Keel–Hu, [HK00]) after specifying a linearization $\lambda \in \chi^*(T_X)$ so that \mathcal{L}_λ is an ample line bundle on X .

Note that we need to mix affine invariant theory and the usual projective Geometric Invariant Theory to interpret $(\mathcal{V} // T_X)_\lambda$: First take the affine quotient under the action of $\ker(\lambda)$, which gives an affine variety. Then take Proj using the grading coming from the character λ .

Then $\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Cox}(X)_{n\lambda}) = (\mathcal{V} // T_X)_\lambda$ by Geometric Invariant Theory. The left hand side is $\text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\otimes n}))$, which is just X since \mathcal{L}_λ is ample.

A second observation is that given $\lambda \in \chi^*(T_X)$, i.e., $\lambda : T_X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, the associated bundle induces \mathcal{L}_λ^{-1} . Therefore, it suffices to check the claim for ample λ .

We have an inclusion $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Cox}(X)_{n\lambda} \rightarrow \text{Cox}(X)$ which induces a dominant map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(X \subset \mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{L}_\lambda)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cone}(X \subset \mathbb{P}^N) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Cone}(X \subset \mathbb{P}^N) - \{0\}) \cong (\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{-1})^\times \end{array}$$

The point is: One gets hold of the universal torsor by embedding it into the affine variety $\text{Spec}(\text{Cox}(X))$.

2. Equations of universal torsors

From now on, let X be a smooth projective variety over on algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0 with $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ whose Cox ring is finitely generated. Therefore, the cone of effective divisors $\text{NE}(X)$ is finitely generated.

2.1. The method of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc. This approach to the calculation of Cox rings can be found in [CTS87].

On X , choose effective divisors D_1, \dots, D_N generating $\text{Pic}(X)$. Let $W = X \setminus (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N)$. Since removing these generators kills the Picard group, $\text{Pic}(W) = 0$.

We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K[W]^*/K^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}D_j \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $K[W]^*/K^*$ describes the linear equivalences among $\{D_1, \dots, D_N\}$.

Dualizing this sequence by applying $\text{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{G}_m)$, we obtain

$$1 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^N \xrightarrow{q} R_W \rightarrow 1.$$

Remark 8. A morphism $\varphi : Z \rightarrow R_W$ gives a T_X -torsor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_X \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^N \times_{R_W} Z & \supset \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ & Z & \ni z \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} q^{-1}(\varphi(z)) \\ \downarrow \\ z \end{array}$$

The strategy is to construct a T_X -torsor \mathcal{U}_W over W which extend to a universal torsor over X . This strategy works well in many cases, but not in general.

The morphism $\varphi : W \rightarrow R_W$ is constructed by constructing a splitting σ to the quotient

$$K[W]^* \xrightleftharpoons{\sigma} K[W]^*/K^* :$$

Note that σ induces a K -algebra homomorphism

$$K[R_W] = K[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_{N-r}, t_{N-r}^{-1}] \rightarrow K[W], \quad t_j \mapsto \sigma(t_j),$$

where the t_j form a basis for $\chi^*(R_W)$ and $r = \text{Rank}(\text{Pic}(X))$. Since R_W is affine, such a homomorphism corresponds to a K -morphism $W \rightarrow R_W$, which defines φ .

The key fact is that the morphism φ extracted from σ gives a torsor $T_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_W \rightarrow W$ on W admitting an extension to a universal torsor $T_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ over X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_X \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}_W & \rightsquigarrow \\ & \downarrow & \\ & W & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_X \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} & \\ & \downarrow & \\ & X & \end{array}$$

An explicit method for constructing such an extension is not known. Only the existence is proven in [CTS87].

Remark 9 (Batyrev). Given a point $P \in W$, we get a natural splitting $\sigma_P : K[W]^*/K^* \rightarrow K[W]^*$: for every element of $K[W]^*/K^*$, choose a representing f satisfying $f(P) = 1$.

2.2. The example of the quintic Del Pezzo surface. Let $X = \text{Bl}_{P_1, \dots, P_4} \mathbb{P}^2$ be again the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in

$$P_1 = [1, 0, 0], P_2 = [0, 1, 0], P_3 = [0, 0, 1], P_4 = [1, 1, 1].$$

We will see how to obtain the Plücker equations defining the universal torsor by this method.

Consider the exceptional divisors E_i and the transforms l_{ij} of the lines through P_i and P_j ($i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$). Choose coordinates $[x, y, z]$ and let $u = \frac{x}{z}$, $v = \frac{y}{z}$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(u = x/z) &= l_{23} + E_3 - l_{12} - E_1 \\ \operatorname{div}(v = y/z) &= l_{13} + E_3 - l_{12} - E_2 \\ \operatorname{div}(u - 1) &= l_{24} + E_4 - l_{12} - E_1 \\ \operatorname{div}(v - 1) &= l_{14} + E_4 - l_{12} - E_2 \\ \operatorname{div}(u - v) &= l_{34} + E_3 + E_4 - l_{12} - E_1 - E_2 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we normalize these functions by constructing a section σ_P from a chosen point, say $P = [3, 2, 1]$. This gives a morphism $\varphi : W \rightarrow R_W$ as above.

Consider the sections λ_{ij} corresponding to l_{ij} and η_i to E_i . Using the normalization, we obtain:

$$\frac{u}{3} = \frac{\lambda_{23}\eta_3}{\lambda_{12}\eta_1}, \quad \frac{v}{2} = \frac{\lambda_{13}\eta_3}{\lambda_{12}\eta_2}, \quad \frac{u-1}{2} = \frac{\lambda_{24}\eta_4}{\lambda_{12}\eta_1}, \quad v-1 = \frac{\lambda_{14}\eta_4}{\lambda_{12}\eta_2}, \quad u-v = \frac{\lambda_{34}\eta_3\eta_4}{\lambda_{12}\eta_1\eta_2}.$$

Then the relations between the sections $u, v, u-1, v-1, u-v$ give relations between the sections λ_{ij}, η_i :

$$\begin{aligned} 3\frac{u}{3} - 2\frac{v}{2} &= u - v \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad -(3\lambda_{23})\eta_2 + (2\lambda_{13})\eta_1 + \lambda_{34}\eta_4 = 0 \\ 2\frac{v}{2} &= (v-1) + 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_{14}\eta_4 - (2\lambda_{13})\eta_3 + \lambda_{12}\eta_2 = 0 \\ 2\frac{u-1}{2} - (v-1) &= u - v \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_{34}\eta_3 - (2\lambda_{24})\eta_4 + \lambda_{14}\eta_1 = 0 \\ 3\frac{u}{3} &= 2\frac{u-1}{2} + 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad (2\lambda_{24})\eta_4 - (3\lambda_{23})\eta_3 + \lambda_{12}\eta_1 = 0 \\ -(u-v) + v(u-1) - (v-1)u &= 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_{12}\lambda_{34} - (2\lambda_{13})(2\lambda_{24}) + (3\lambda_{23})\lambda_{14} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $3\lambda_{23}, 2\lambda_{13}, 2\lambda_{24}$ by new variables exactly gives the Plücker relations.

2.3. The Cox ring approach. Consider a different example:

$$X = \operatorname{Bl}_{P_1, P_2, P_3} \mathbb{P}^2 \text{ where } P_1 = [1, 0, 0], P_2 = [1, 1, 0], P_3 = [0, 1, 0],$$

i.e., X is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in three points lying on a line. Let l_{123} be the transform of this line.

Basic facts on X are:

1. $\operatorname{NE}(X) = \langle l_{123}, E_1, E_2, E_3 \rangle$ is a simplicial cone, i.e., there are no relations between its generators. Therefore, the previous method does not work.

We have $W = X - \{E_1, E_2, E_3, l_{123}\} \cong \mathbb{A}^2$, and X is an equivariant compactification of \mathbb{G}_a^2 , acting on \mathbb{A}^2 by translation.

2. The ample cone, which is the dual of the effective cone, is generated by

$$\{l_{123} + E_1 + E_2 + E_3, l_{123} + E_1 + E_2, l_{123} + E_1 + E_3, l_{123} + E_2 + E_3\}.$$

3. The anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is nef and big. Therefore, X is (log) Del Pezzo.

Next, we are looking for generators and relations of $\text{Cox}(X)$. Generators are $\lambda_{123} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(l_{123})) \subset \text{Cox}(X)_{l_{123}}$ which is vanishing exactly along l_{123} , and $\eta_j \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(E_j)) \subset \text{Cox}(X)_{E_j}$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

These sections do not generate the Cox ring – in cases where they generate it, the method of Colliot-Thélène works well, but not here. We must choose additional generators: $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(l_{123} + E_1 + E_2))$ corresponds to linear forms in x, y, z vanishing at P_3 , i.e., it is $K^2 \cong \langle x, z \rangle$. Besides $\lambda_{123}\eta_1\eta_2$, which can be identified as z , we can choose another section ξ_3 such that $\xi_3\eta_3 = -x$.

Similarly, we have $\xi_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(l_{123} + E_2 + E_3))$ such that $y = \xi_1\eta_1$ and $\xi_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(l_{123} + E_1 + E_3))$ such that $x - y = \xi_2\eta_2$.

This gives a homomorphism

$$\psi : K[\lambda_{123}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3] / \langle \eta_1\xi_1 + \eta_2\xi_2 + \eta_3\xi_3 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Cox}(X),$$

and since the dimension of both of these is 6 ($\dim(X) = 2$ and $\text{Rank}(\text{Pic}(X)) = 4$), it is reasonable to hope that this is an isomorphism.

Remark 10. Then $\eta_1\xi_1 + \eta_2\xi_2 + \eta_3\xi_3$ is the equation of the universal torsor $T_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \rightarrow X$ in the sense that

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V} := \text{Spec } K[\lambda_{123}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3] / \langle \eta_1\xi_1 + \eta_2\xi_2 + \eta_3\xi_3 \rangle.$$

Strategy of the proof. First, consider ψ in degrees ν corresponding to a nef line bundles on X . Such line bundles are semi-ample and in this case even globally generated. By induction on the effective monoid or by application of a vanishing theorem, we can prove that ψ is surjective in these nef degrees.

In degrees ν corresponding to not necessarily nef divisors ν , we reduce to the nef case the following way: Given $s \in \text{Cox}(X)_\nu = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_\nu)$, there exists a nef line bundle m , a section $\mu \in \text{Cox}(X)_m$ and $a, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that $s = \mu\lambda_{123}^a\eta_1^{b_1}\eta_2^{b_2}\eta_3^{b_3}$. This follows from the geometric fact that, given effective D on X , we can write $D = M + F$ for a base point free divisor M and a fixed divisor F supported in $\{l_{123}, E_1, E_2, E_3\}$. \square

References

- [CTS87] J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE & J.-J. SANSUC – La descente sur les variétés rationnelles. II, *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), no. 2, p. 375–492.
- [HK00] Y. HU & S. KEEL – Mori dream spaces and GIT, *Michigan Math. J.* **48** (2000), p. 331–348, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [HT04] B. HASSETT & Y. TSCHINKEL – Universal torsors and Cox rings, Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), Progr. Math., vol. 226, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004, p. 149–173.
- [Muk01] S. MUKAI – Counterexample to Hilbert's Fourteenth Problem for the 3-dimensional additive group, RIMS preprint 1343, 2001.
- [Sko93] A. N. SKOROBOGATOV – On a theorem of Enriques-Swinnerton-Dyer, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6)* **2** (1993), no. 3, p. 429–440.

