DEGREE TWO UNIRATIONAL PARAMETRIZATIONS OVER THE REAL FIELD

BRENDAN HASSETT, HAYATO TAKAGI, AND SHO TANIMOTO

1. Introduction

An algebraic variety is rational if it is birational to projective space and unirational if it is dominated by a rational variety. There exist complex threefolds that are unirational but not rational ([IM71,CG72, AM72]) A smooth projective complex variety is rationally connected if any two points may be connected by a rational curve. One outstanding question is whether there exists a rationally connected smooth projective variety which is not unirational. In dimensions ≤ 2 , those three notions are equivalent over \mathbb{C} , but it is expected that unirationality is a stronger notion than rational connectedness in higher dimensions.

On the other hand, a variety defined over \mathbb{R} may be rational over \mathbb{C} but not over \mathbb{R} , e.g., a conic $Q_{3,0}=\{x^2+y^2+z^2=0\}\subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 over \mathbb{C} but has no real point, so it cannot be rational over \mathbb{R} . More generally, if a smooth projective variety X is unirational over k then X(k) is non-empty. Another obstruction comes from Galois cohomology: If we have a unirational parametrization of degree n then n must annihilate $H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k),\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}}))$ as for any degree-n morphism $f:Y\to X$, we have $f_*f^*=[n]$ on $\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\overline{k}})$. When $k=\mathbb{R}$, the Galois cohomology $H^1(\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}),\operatorname{Pic}(X_{\mathbb{C}}))$ is a 2-torsion group. Indeed, this follows from a fact that

$$H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}=\langle \tau \rangle, M) = \{m \in M \mid \tau m = -m\}/\{\tau m - m \mid m \in M\}.$$

Thus one may ask if there exists a geometrically unirational variety, defined over \mathbb{R} with real points, which does not admit a degree-two real unirational parametrization.

A systematic study of such questions has been conducted by Trepalin in [Tre19] under the name of *Galois unirational surfaces*, and he obtained a complete answer for surfaces with rational points over fields of characteristic 0. In this survey paper, we illustrate the classification of Galois unirational surfaces. The following theorem is a corollary of [Tre19, Theorem 1.15], and we give a different proof:

Theorem 1.1 (Trepalin). Let S be a smooth real projective surface such that $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is rational. Then there exists a degree-two dominant rational map $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$ if and only if S is either rational or birational to a minimal conic bundle with a real point.

When S is a smooth projective geometrically rational surface over \mathbb{R} , its birational model is completely classified by the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 ([Kol97], Corollary 3.4). Let S be a smooth real projective surface such that $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is rational. Then S is birationally equivalent over \mathbb{R} to a surface in exactly one of the following classes:

- (1) $Q_{3,0} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case $S(\mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$.
- (2) \mathbb{P}^2 . In this case $S(\mathbb{R})$ is connected.
- (3_m) Minimal conic bundle with 2m $(m \ge 2)$ singular fibers. In this case $S(\mathbb{R})$ has m connected components.
 - (4) Minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and Picard rank 1. In this case $S(\mathbb{R})$ has 4 connected components.
 - (5) Minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and Picard rank 1. In this case $S(\mathbb{R})$ has 5 connected components.

Remark 1.3. Minimal conic bundles only admit an even number of singular fibers, and any minimal conic bundle with 2 singular fibers is rational.

In particular Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 show the following corollary

Corollary 1.4. Any minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 or 2 of Picard rank 1 over \mathbb{R} does not admit a degree-two real unirational parametrization.

Another corollary of Theorems 1.1 is the following:

Corollary 1.5. Let S be a real del Pezzo surface of degree ≥ 3 . If $S(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ then S admits a degree-two real unirational parametrization.

Our proof of Theorems 1.1 is based on the following idea: Suppose that we have a degree-two unirational parametrization $\mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow S$. After resolving the indeterminacy and taking the Stein factorization, we obtain a degree-two finite morphism $X' \to S$ where X' is a normal rational projective surface. This induces an involution τ' on X'. After resolving singularities equivariantly and applying the equivariant minimal model program, we obtain an equivariant minimal model (X,τ) such that X is a smooth rational projective surface. Such pairs are classified by [CMYZ24] which studied conjugacy classes of involutions in the real plane Cremona group. Thus we go through the classification by [CMYZ24] and confirm that in all cases the quotient X/τ is

either rational or birational to a minimal conic bundle. It is obvious that any rational surface admits a degree-two unirational parametrization. For conic bundles, we use an argument by Kollár and Szabó. See Corollary 3.2.

Related results. We mention results related to this paper. [CT19, Theorem 1.2] shows that if any real geometrically rational surface admits an odd-degree unirational parametrization, then it must be rational over the ground field. [KM17] proved unirationality for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 with conic bundle structures. Knecht used a similar idea to this paper in [Kne15] and proved that any minimal cubic surface over a field of odd characteristic does not admit a degree-two unirational parametrization. For recent results on rationality of higher-dimensional varieties over \mathbb{R} and other non-closed fields see [HT21a, HT21b, HKT22, BW20, BW23, KP23, FJS+24], for example.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we discuss equivariant minimal model program for involutions over non-closed fields. This is discussed over an algebraically closed field in [BB00], and we give a survey for an analogous theory over non-closed fields and the real field. Strictly speaking this section is not necessary for the rest of the paper, and people who are familiar with the equivariant minimal model program may skip this section. In Section 3 we give an argument by Kollár and Szabó and prove that any real conic bundle with a real point admits a degree-two unirational parametrization. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments: This paper stems from the working seminar on rational surfaces over non-closed fields which was held at Rice University during Spring 2015. The authors would like to thank the participants of that seminar. Finally we would like to thank Andrey Trepalin for pointing out relevant results in [Tre19] and [Isk67].

Brendan Hassett was partially supported by the Simons Foundation Award 546235 and the US National Science Foundation Grant 1929284. Hayato Takagi was partially supported by JST SPRING, Grant number JPMJSP2125. Sho Tanimoto was partially supported by JST FOREST program Grant number JPMJFR212Z, by JSPS KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid (B) 23H01067, by JSPS Bilateral Joint Research Projects Grant number JPJSBP120219935, Lars Hesselholt's Niels Bohr professorship, by MEXT Japan, Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers (LEADER), by Inamori Foundation, and by JSPS KAKENHI Early-Career Scientists Grant numbers 19K14512.

2. The classification of surfaces with biregular involutions over non-closed fields

The classification of rational surfaces with biregular involutions has been developed over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$ in [BB00]. Here we survey the minimal model program of smooth projective surfaces with biregular involutions over a non-closed field, following [BB00]. Suppose that k is a perfect field of characteristic $\neq 2$. We denote its absolute Galois group by $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$.

Let X be a smooth projective surface over k. Let NS(X) denote the subgroup of the Néron-Severi group $NS(\overline{X})$ corresponding to divisors defined over k, a finite-index subgroup of $NS(\overline{X})^G$. Let \overline{NE} denote the closed cone of effective curves in $NS(\overline{X}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that we have a non-trivial biregular involution $\tau: X \to X$. A pair (X, τ) is minimal if any birational morphism $f: X \to X'$, with a biregular involution $\tau': X' \to X'$ such that $\tau' \circ f = f \circ \tau$, is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2.1. A pair (X, τ) is minimal if and only if there are no exceptional curves E such that its Galois conjugates are disjoint to each other, and the sum of all Galois conjugates $L = \sum g_i \cdot E$ satisfies either $L = \tau L$ or $\tau L \cap L = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that there is an exceptional curve E whose Galois conjugates are disjoint and we have $\tau L = L$ or $\tau L \cap L = \emptyset$. Then we can contract the Galois orbit of $E \cup \tau E$ to X' and moreover, the involution τ induces an involution τ' on X'. Hence X is not minimal.

Assume that X is not minimal, i.e., it admits a non-trivial birational morphism $f:(X,\tau)\to (X',\tau')$. Let E be an exceptional curve contracted by f. Then its Galois conjugates are also contracted by f, so they must be disjoint. Moreover $\tau L + L$ also has to be contracted, so we have $(\tau L + L)^2 < 0$. This implies that $\tau L \cdot L \leq 0$. Hence τL and L coincide or they do not meet.

General fields. Our goal here is to give a rough classification of minimal pairs (X, τ) . First we consider the case of rk $NS(X)^{\tau} > 1$:

Lemma 2.2. Let (X, τ) be a minimal pair with $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X)^{\tau} > 1$ and K_X not nef. Then X admits a base point free linear system of Iitaka dimension 1, stable under τ and defined over k.

Proof. Suppose that rk $NS(X)^{\tau} > 1$. Since the canonical class K_X is not nef, the cone theorem implies that

$$\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(\overline{X})^G = \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(\overline{X})_{K_X \geq 0}^G + \sum_{L \in \mathcal{E}} \mathbb{R}_+[L]$$

where \mathcal{E} is a non-empty countable set and L is the sum of all Galois conjugates of a curve E where E generates a K_X -negative ray for $\overline{\text{NE}}(\overline{X})$. It follows from the proof of [Has, Theorem 3.9] that L has the following possibilities: (i) E is a (-1)-curve and its Galois conjugates are disjoint; (ii) E is a (-1)-curve, the Galois orbit of E decomposes as

$$\{E_1, E_1'\}, \cdots, \{E_r, E_r'\}$$

where $E_i \cdot E_i' = 1$ and all other pairs of (-1)-curves are disjoint. By the Hodge index theorem, $F_i = E_i + E_i'$ are all equal and it defines a fibration $f: X \to C$; (iii) E is a smooth rational curve such that $E^2 = 0$ and its Galois conjugates are disjoint to each other. It defines a fibration $f: X \to C$.

Now we take the τ -invariant part of this equality and what we obtain is

$${}^{\tau}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(\overline{X})^{G} = {}^{\tau}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(\overline{X})^{G}_{K_{X} \geq 0} + \sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{R}_{+}[L + \tau L],$$

where \mathcal{F} is a subset of \mathcal{E} such that for any $L \in \mathcal{F}$, $[L+\tau L]$ generates an extremal ray for ${}^{\tau}\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(\overline{X})^{G}$. Note that the action of τ and G commute because τ is defined over k.

When $[L + \tau L]$ generates an extremal ray, one needs to have $(L + \tau L)^2 \leq 0$. Thus we have the following possibilities: (i-a) L is the case of (i), $L \cap \tau L = \emptyset$ or $\tau L = L$; (i-b) L is the case of (i), and we have $(L + \tau L)^2 = 0$; (ii-a) L is the case of (ii) and we have $[L] = [\tau L]$; (iii-a) L is the case of (iii) and we have $[L] = [\tau L]$.

In the situations of (ii-a) and (iii-a), our assertion is immediate. The case of (i-a) does not occur since we are assuming that the pair (X, τ) is minimal. In the case of (i-b), let $F = L + \tau L$. There exists the unique Galois conjugate E' of E such that $E \cdot \tau E' = 1$, and this implies that F is a nef divisor. By Riemann Roch, we have $h^0(F) \geq 2$. Now L and τL do not move linearly, so |F| is a base point free linear system with desired properties.

Using the previous lemma, we can conclude the following proposition:

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, τ) be a minimal pair with $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X)^{\tau} > 1$ and K_X not nef. Then (X, τ) is one of the following:

- (1) There exists a smooth conic fibration $f: X \to C$ with a non-trivial involution v of C such that $f \circ \tau = v \circ f$ and C is a smooth projective curve;
- (2) There exists a conic fibration $f: X \to C$, with singular fibers with a non-trivial involution v of C such that $f \circ \tau = v \circ f$ and C is a smooth projective curve;

(3) There exists a conic fibration $f: X \to C$ such that $f \circ \tau = f$; the involution τ induces a non-trivial involution on every smooth fiber and C is a smooth projective curve;.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have a τ -invariant base point free linear system of Iitaka dimension 1 defined over k, and this defines a fibration $X \to C$ with an involution v of C (possibly trivial) such that $v \circ f = f \circ \tau$. The minimality implies that f is a conic fibration.

Assume that v is trivial. We would like to prove that τ induces a non-trivial action on every smooth fiber so that this case gives us the case of (3). Let D be the fixed locus for τ . The locus D contains the horizontal curve D_h and the vertical curve D_v . Since the action of τ on the generic fiber of f is non-trivial, the map $D_h \to C$ has to be a degree-two finite morphism. Let U be the complement of singular fibers of f. Consider the following morphism:

$$\tilde{f}: U/\langle \tau \rangle \to f(U)$$

This is a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration, hence $U/\langle \tau \rangle$ is smooth. This implies that the locus $D \cap U$ must be a smooth curve, and we conclude that $D_v \cap U$ is the empty set.

When we have $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X)^{\tau} = 1$, we have the following proposition instead:

Proposition 2.4. Let (X,τ) be a minimal pair with $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X)^{\tau} = 1$ and K_X not nef. Then X is a del Pezzo surface. Let r be the positive integer such that $(r) = (K_X, \operatorname{NS}(X))$ where $(K_X, \operatorname{NS}(X))$ is the ideal of $\mathbb Z$ generated by $K_X.D$ for D a divisor on X. The surface X satisfies one of the following statements:

- (1) X is minimal over k with $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=1$ or a quadric surface with τ interchanging the rulings;
- (2) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 6; more precisely X is a blow up of a closed point of degree 3 on X' where X' is geometrically isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 ; we have r=3;
- (3) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4 and we have r = 2 or 4;
- (4) X is a non-minimal cubic surface and we have r = 3;
- (5) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and r = 1 or 2:
- (6) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and r = 1.

Here Pic(X) denotes classes of divisors $D \subset X$ defined over k.

Proof. It is easy to see that $-K_X$ is ample. If $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)=1$, then X is minimal over k.

Suppose that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X) > 1$. The action $-\tau^*$ on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is the reflection with respect to K_X^{\perp} [Wal87, §2]. Let α be the generator of the ray containing K_X . If K_X is divisible, then X is isomorphic to a quadric surface. Thus it is minimal over k. We may assume that $\alpha = K_X$ and X is not minimal over k. Then we must have

$$r \mid (K_X, K_X) \mid 2r$$
.

Indeed, there exists $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $(K_X, L) = r$. Then $(K_X, L + \tau L) = 2r$, and $L + \tau L$ is a multiple of K_X , proving the claim.

If $(K_X, K_X) = 8$, then X contains a unique exceptional curve over the ground field. We conclude that r = 1. This is a contradiction.

Suppose that $(K_X, K_X) = 7$. Since X is not minimal, we may have an exceptional curve defined over the ground field on X or the disjoint union of two exceptional curves conjugate to each other. This means that r = 1, 2, which is impossible.

Assume that $(K_X, K_X) = 6$. Since X is not minimal, the possibilities of r are 1, 2, or 3. Hence we conclude that r = 3, and there exists a birational morphism f to X' such that X' is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 over the algebraic closure. The morphism f is a blow up of a closed point of degree 3 on X'.

If $(K_X, K_X) = 5$, then the possibilities of r are 1, 2, 3, or 4. This is impossible.

Other cases are similar. \Box

The classification over the reals. Over \mathbb{R} , we state more precise statements:

Proposition 2.5. Let (X, τ) be a minimal pair over \mathbb{R} with $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X)^{\tau} > 1$ and K_X not nef. Then (X, τ) is one of the following:

- (1) There exists a smooth conic fibration $f: X \to C$ to a smooth projective curve C with a non-trivial involution v of C such that $f \circ \tau = v \circ f$;
- (2) There exists a conic fibration $f: X \to C$ to a smooth projective curve C, with singular fibers, with a non-trivial involution v of C such that $f \circ \tau = v \circ f$. Let F_0 be a singular fiber for f. Then we have either
 - (a) the fiber F_0 has the form of E + E' where E is a (-1)-curve and E' is its Galois conjugate with $E \cdot E' = 1$, or;
 - (b) the fiber F_0 has the form of $E + \tau E'$ where E be an (-1)curve in F_0 , then its Galois conjugate E' is disjoint with E and we have $E \cdot \tau E' = 1$;

- (3) There exists a conic fibration $f: X \to C$ to a smooth projective curve C such that $f \circ \tau = f$; the involution τ induces a nontrivial involution on smooth fibers. Let F_0 be a singular fiber. Then we have
 - (a) the fiber F_0 has the form of E + E' where E is a (-1)-curve and E' is its Galois conjugate with $E \cdot E' = 1$, or;
 - (b) the fiber F_0 has the form of $E + \tau E$ where E be an (-1)curve in F_0 , and we have $E \cdot \tau E = 1$;

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.3 and its proof. There is one thing we need to show: In the case of (2), any singular fiber F_0 is not of the form of $E + \tau E$ where E is a (-1)-curve with $E \cdot \tau E = 1$.

Suppose that it takes this form. Then the involution τ fixes the intersection point $\{p\} = E \cap \tau E$. Then one can find analytic coordinates $\{x,y\}$ such that p is given by x=y=0 and the action τ at p is given by $(x,y)\mapsto (y,x)$. Thus we can find an analytic hypersurface at p which τ acts trivially. In other words, there exists a principal ideal $(f)\subset\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ in the completion of the local ring at p such that (f) is preserved by τ^* and the action on $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p/(f)$ is trivial. We claim that $(f)\cap\mathcal{O}_p$ is non-trivial. If not, then we have the injection map $\mathcal{O}_p\hookrightarrow\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p/(f)$, so we conclude that the action on X itself is trivial and it contradicts with the fact that τ is non-trivial. This discussion shows that there exists a horizontal fixed curve passing through p where the action of τ is trivial. It follows that τ must be trivial. This is a contradiction with our assumption.

Here we show that the case (1) of Proposition 2.5 occurs.

Example 2.6. Let $X = C_1 \times C_2$ where C_i is a smooth conic. We consider an involution on C_2 which induces an involution on X by acting on C_1 factor trivially. Then the projection $X \to C_2$ is an example for the case (1).

Here we show that the case (2) of Proposition 2.5 occurs.

Example 2.7. Let f and g be homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in three variables x_0, x_1, x_2 over \mathbb{R} such that f and g define two smooth plane conics meeting transversely. We consider the following surface:

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : s^2 f(x_0, x_1, x_2) + t^2 g(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$$

This defines a smooth surface, and the projection f to \mathbb{P}^1 gives us a conic bundle structure. We consider the following involution on \mathbb{P}^1

$$\tau: (s:t) \mapsto (s:-t).$$

This defines an involution τ on X by mapping as

$$((x_0:x_1:x_2),(s:t))\mapsto ((x_0:x_1:x_2),(s:-t)).$$

Suppose that f is given by $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that g is given by $-a_0x_0^2 - a_1x_1^2 - a_2x_2^2$ where a_0, a_1, a_2 are mutually distinct. Suppose that $a_0 > a_1 > 0 > a_2$. Then a pair (X, τ) is not relatively minimal over \mathbb{R} .

Indeed, f has six geometric singular fibers at $(s:t) = (\pm \sqrt{a_0}:1), (\pm \sqrt{a_1}:1), (\pm \sqrt{a_2}:1)$, and the fiber at $(\sqrt{a_1}:1)$ consists of two components and we denote an exceptional curve on this fiber by E_1 . Then we can contract $E_1 + \tau E_1$ which is Galois invariant and we obtain a pair (X', τ') with a conic bundle structure f'. We claim that this pair (X', τ') is minimal over \mathbb{R} .

First note that rk $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{X}') = 6$ and its generators over \mathbb{Q} are

$$E_0, \tau E_0, E_2, \tau E_2, F, K_{X'}$$

where E_0 is an exceptional curve defined over \mathbb{C} in the fiber at $(\sqrt{a_0}:1)$, E_2 is an exceptional curve defined over \mathbb{C} in the fiber at $(\sqrt{a_2}:1)$, and F is a general fiber for f. We denote the Galois conjugates of E_0 and E_2 by E'_0 and E'_2 respectively. Then we have $E_0 + E'_0 \sim F$ and $E_2 + \tau E'_2 \sim F$. Thus we have $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Pic}(X)^{\tau'} = 2$ and it is generated by F and $K_{X'}$ over \mathbb{Q} . The involution τ' acts on this space trivially.

To see the minimality of (X', τ') , we need to understand extremal rays of $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X')^G$. One ray is generated by F. Another ray is generated by a sum of disjoint two (-2)-curves. Indeed, the anticanonical divisor $-K_X$ is a big and nef divisor contracting four disjoint (-2)-curves which are sections for f. It follows that $-K_{X'}$ is also a big and nef divisor contracting two disjoint (-2)-curves. Thus our assertion follows.

Now note that the fibers at $(\pm \sqrt{a_0}: 1)$ are type (2-a) and the fibers at $(\pm \sqrt{a_2}: 1)$ are type (2-b). So this is an example for both (2-a) and (2-b).

Example 2.8. Let X be the surface considered in Example 2.7. Let ζ be the involution on X defined by mapping as

$$((x_0:x_1:x_2),(s:t))\mapsto ((x_0:x_1:-x_2),(s:t)).$$

Assume that we have $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > 0$. Then we have $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)^{\zeta} = 2$. As before, one can show that (X,ζ) is minimal. Fibers at $(\pm\sqrt{a_0}:1)$ and $(\pm\sqrt{a_2}:1)$ are witnesses to (3-a) and fibers at $(\pm\sqrt{a_1}:1)$ are witnesses to (3-b).

Proposition 2.9. Retain the notation of Proposition 2.4. Then X is a del Pezzo surface classified as follows:

- (1) X is minimal over \mathbb{R} with $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X) = 1$ or a quadric surface with τ interchanging the rulings;
- (2) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4 and we have r=2:
- (3) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and r = 1 or 2:
- (4) X is a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and r = 1.

Proof. A proof is the same as before. Note that over \mathbb{R} , there are only closed points of degree 1 and 2. Thus the case $r = K_X^2 = 4$ – a non-split quadric surface blown up along a degree-four closed point – does not arise over \mathbb{R} .

Example 2.10. Let us exhibit examples for each case in Proposition 2.9. An involution on \mathbb{P}^2 or the involution of a degree-two morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ branched along a conic are witnesses of (1) For a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 2, one can consider the Geiser involution. For a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 1 one can consider the Bertini involution.

Finally for a non-minimal del Pezzo surface of degree 4, let $Q_{3,1}$ be a quadric surface of Picard rank 1. We pick a smooth member $C \in |-K_{Q_{3,1}}|$ and let $\pi: X \to Q_{3,1}$ be the double cover of $Q_{3,1}$ ramified along C. Let τ be the involution associated to this covering. Then we have $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(X)^{\tau}=1$. Let $\ell_1\subset Q_{3,1}$ be a line which is tangent to C. (This cannot be defined over $\mathbb R$ as the Picard rank of $Q_{3,1}$ is 1.) Let ℓ_2 be the Galois conjugate of ℓ_1 . The pullback of ℓ_1 is denoted by $E_1+\tau E_1$ and the pullback of ℓ_2 is $E_2+\tau E_2$ where E_2 is a conjugate of E_1 . If necessary, after replacing $X\to Q_{3,1}$ by its twisted form, we may assume that $E_1\cap E_2=\emptyset$. Thus one can contract E_1+E_2 and it shows that X is non-minimal and r=2.

Note that assuming $X(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$, X is rational as any del Pezzo surface of degree ≥ 5 with a rational point is rational over the ground field. In particular this involution has been studied in [CMYZ24, Section 9].

3. Degree-Two Unirational Parametrizations

We assume that our ground field is \mathbb{R} . Let S be a minimal conic bundle with $2m \, (m \geq 2)$ singular fibers defined over \mathbb{R} . Then it is well-known that S is non-rational over \mathbb{R} . (See, e.g., [Kol97].)

Here we recall the following theorem of Kollár and Szabó:

Theorem 3.1 ([KS03]). Let C be a smooth projective geometrically integral curve of genus g defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $\pi: W \to C$ be a dominant morphism from a smooth projective variety W defined over \mathbb{R} such

that the generic fiber W_{η} is geometrically rationally connected. Suppose that there is a real closed point $w \in W(\mathbb{R})$ such that the fiber $W_{\pi(w)}$ containing w is smooth. Then there exists a bisection of π of geometric genus 2g defined over \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Since W_{η} is geometrically rationally connected, it follows from [GHS03] that there is a section $\Sigma \subset W$ defined over \mathbb{C} such that Σ contains w and its normal bundle is globally generated and its H^1 vanishes. Let $\overline{\Sigma}$ be the complex conjugate of Σ and we consider the following prestable curve of genus 2g

$$C' = \Sigma \cup \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \overline{\Sigma}.$$

Here Σ is glued to \mathbb{P}^1 at $w \in \Sigma$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $\overline{\Sigma}$ is glued to \mathbb{P}^1 at $w \in \overline{\Sigma}$ and the complex conjugate $\overline{p} \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Then we consider the following stable map of genus 2g

$$f: C' \to W \times \mathbb{P}^1$$
.

mapping Σ to $\Sigma \times \{p\}$, \mathbb{P}^1 to $\{w\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\overline{\Sigma}$ to $\overline{\Sigma} \times \{\overline{p}\}$. This corresponds to a smooth real point of the moduli space of stable maps.

Then it follows from [GHS03, Lemma 2.6] that one can smooth this stable map to a stable map of genus $2g \ f: C' \to W \times \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over \mathbb{R} . The resulting stable map $f': C' \to W \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to W$ is a bisection of π . Thus our assertion follows.

The following corollary was first obtained in [Isk67, Corollary 4.4], and the above theorem also proves it:

Corollary 3.2. Let $\pi: S \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a conic bundle defined over \mathbb{R} such that S is smooth and $S(\mathbb{R})$ is non-empty. Then S admits a degree 2 unirational parametrization.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that π admits a bisection of genus 0. The base change of π by this bisection will give us a degree 2 unirational parametrization of S.

4. The proof of the main theorem

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. To this end, we will show that if (X, τ) is a pair of a smooth real rational projective surface with an involution τ such that (X, τ) is minimal, then X/τ is either rational or birational to a minimal conic bundle using the classification of such pairs in [CMYZ24].

Let us recall the classification of birational involutions of the real projective plane provided in [CMYZ24]. For a birational involution $\iota \in \operatorname{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \operatorname{Bir}(\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{R}})$ and its regularization $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on some smooth

 \mathbb{R} -rational surface X, denote by $F(\tau)$ the union of all geometrically irrational real curves in the surface X that are pointwise fixed by the involution τ . The classification is as follows.

Theorem 4.1 ([CMYZ24, Main Theorem]). Let ι be an involution in $Bir(\mathbb{P}^2)$. Then ι admits a regularisation $\tau \in Aut(X)$ on a smooth real rational projective surface X such that a pair (X,τ) with $G = \langle \tau \rangle$ belongs to one of the following classes:

- (£) The surface X is \mathbb{P}^2 and τ is the linear involution $(x:y:z) \to (x:y:-z)$ with $F(\tau) = \emptyset$.
- (\mathfrak{Q}) The surface X is a quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 , and τ is an involution with $F(\tau) = \emptyset$ and $X(\mathbb{R})^{\tau} = \emptyset$.
- (\mathfrak{T}_{4n}) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a conic bundle with $4n \geq 4$ singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 0-twisted Trepalin involution with $F(\tau) = \emptyset$.
- (\mathfrak{T}'_{4n+2}) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a conic bundle with $4n+2 \geq 6$ singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 1-twisted Trepalin involution with $F(\tau) = \emptyset$.
 - (\mathfrak{T}''_{4n}) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a conic bundle with $4n \geq 4$ singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 2-twisted Trepalin involution with $F(\tau) = \emptyset$.
 - (\mathfrak{B}_4) The surface X is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 with $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and the involution τ is the Bertini involution of the surface X such that $F(\tau)$ is a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 4.
 - (\mathfrak{G}_3) The surface X is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 with $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and the involution τ is the Geiser involution of the surface X such that $F(\tau)$ is a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3.
 - (\mathfrak{R}_1) The surface X is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 with $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, and the involution τ is the Kowalevskaya involution of the surface X such that $F(\tau)$ is a genus 1 curve.
 - $(\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_g)$ The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a G-exceptional conic bundle with 2g + 2 singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a de Jonquières involution such that $F(\tau)$ is a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $g \geq 1$.
 - (\mathfrak{I}_g) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a non-G-exceptional conic bundle with 2g+2 singular fibers and $\mathrm{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 0-twisted Iskovskikh involution such that $F(\tau)$ is a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $g \geq 1$.

- (\mathfrak{I}'_g) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a non-G-exceptional conic bundle with 2g+3 singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 1-twisted Iskovskikh involution such that $F(\tau)$ is a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $g \geq 1$.
- (\mathfrak{I}''_g) The surface X admits a G-equivariant morphism $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that is a non-G-exceptional conic bundle with 2g+4 singular fibers and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the involution τ is a 2-twisted Iskovskikh involution such that $F(\tau)$ is a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $g \geq 1$.

In the following, we consider the quotient X/τ for a pair of a smooth real projective rational surface X and an involution τ on X such that (X, τ) is one of pairs listed in Theorem 4.1.

To begin with, the quotient \mathbb{P}^2/τ of \mathbb{P}^2 by the linear involution τ which acts by $(x:y:z) \to (x:y:-z)$ is rational because we can take $y/x, (z/x)^2$ as a transcendental basis of the function field of \mathbb{P}^2/τ over \mathbb{R} . This is the case of the class \mathfrak{L} of Theorem 4.1.

For the class \mathfrak{Q} , we have the following proposition:

Lemma 4.2. Let X be a rational quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 and let τ be an involution on X. Then the quotient X/τ is rational.

Proof. According to [CMYZ24, Proposition 3.1], there are only three possibilities:

- (1) τ is conjugate to a linear involution of \mathbb{P}^2 in Bir(\mathbb{P}^2).
- (2) $X \simeq \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = w^2\}$ and τ is the antipodal involution $(x:y:z:w) \mapsto (x:y:z:-w)$.
- (3) $X \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and τ acts by $((x:y), (s:t)) \mapsto ((x:y), (t:-s))$.

In the case (1), the quotient X/τ is rational because the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by a finite group of automorphisms is rational (see, e.g.[Tre14]). In the case (2), the function field of X/τ is $\mathbb{R}(Y,Z,W)^{(Y,Z,W)\mapsto (Y,Z,-W)}=\mathbb{R}(Y,Z,W^2)$ with $1+Y^2+Z^2=W^2$, where Y=y/x,Z=z/x,W=w/x. Thus, X/τ is rational. In the case (3), τ acts trivially on the first factor of $X\simeq \mathbb{P}^1\times \mathbb{P}^1$. So, S/τ is rational.

For the Bertini involution, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. Let S be a rational del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and let τ be the Bertini involution on S. Then the quotient S/τ is rational.

Proof. The Bertini involution τ on S is the Galois involution of the double covering $S \to Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the divisor $-2K_S$, where Q is a geometrically irreducible quadric cone in \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus we have

 $S/\tau \simeq Q$. Since S is rational, Q has plenty of smooth \mathbb{R} -points. Hence, our assertion follows.

Next we handle the Geiser involution:

Lemma 4.4. Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and let τ be the Geiser involution on S. Then the quotient S/τ is rational.

Proof. The Geiser involution τ on S is the Galois involution of the double covering $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by the anticanonical divisor $-K_S$. Thus we have $S/\tau \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$.

Finally we discuss the Kowalevskaya involution:

Lemma 4.5 ([CMYZ24, Remark 6.6]). Let S be a rational del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and let τ be the Kowalevskaya involution on S. Then the quotient S/τ is birationally equivalent to a minimal Iskovskikh surface (i.e. a minimal exceptional conic bundle with 4 singular fibers).

Proof. Let us recall that the Kowalevskaya involution τ acts on a del Pezzo surface S in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ given by

$$w^{2} = -(y^{2} + ax^{2} + bxz + cz^{2})^{2} \pm xz(x - z)(x - sz)$$

for some real numbers a, b, c, s with a > 0, s > 1, and the involution τ is given by

$$(x:y:z:w)\mapsto (x:-y:z:w).$$

Thus the quotient $S = X/\tau$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(1,1,2,2)$ that is given by

$$w^{2} = -(u + ax^{2} + bxz + cz^{2})^{2} \pm xz(x - z)(x - sz).$$

The surface S is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 that is singular at the points (0:0:1:i) and (0:0:1:-i) and its singularities at these points are ordinary double points. Blowing up the conjugated points (0:0:1:i) and (0:0:1:-i), we get a conic bundle $\eta:\widetilde{S}\to\mathbb{P}^1$, which is defined over \mathbb{R} . A conic bundle η has exactly four geometrically singular fibers. Namely, they are the preimages of the curves in S that are cut out on Y by the equations x=0, z=0, x=z, x=sz. These fibers are conics in \mathbb{P}^2 that are irreducible over \mathbb{R} . This implies that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(\widetilde{S})=2$.

Finally we record the following theorem in the case of equivariant conic bundles:

Theorem 4.6 ([Tre16, Theorem 4.1]). Let G be a finite group. Let X be a G-smooth projective surface that admits a G-equivariant conic bundle structure. Then X/G is birational to a relatively minimal conic bundle.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Results in this section show that if (X, τ) is a pair of a smooth real rational projective surface with an involution τ , then X/τ is either rational or birational to a minimal conic bundle. This shows that when a real geometrically rational surface S admits a degree 2 unirational parametrization, S is either rational or birational to a minimal conic bundle. Conversely when S is rational, it is clear that it admits a degree 2 unirational parametrization. For a conic bundle S, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that S admits a degree 2 unirational parametrization. Thus our assertion follows.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. This follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. \Box

Example 4.7. Let us explain how to construct minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2. Let S' be a blow up of 7 general real points on \mathbb{P}^2 . This is a split del Pezzo surface of degree 2. The anticanonical linear system defines a double cover $S' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ ramified along a smooth quartic curve C. Since S' is split, all (-1)-curves are defined over \mathbb{R} . This means that all 28 bitangent lines to C are defined over \mathbb{R} , and each pullback consists of two (-1)-curves defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ be the twisted form of $S' \to \mathbb{P}^2$. Then the pullback of each bitangent line consists of two (-1)-curves conjugated to each other, and we conclude that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(S)=1$. Thus this is a minimal del Pezzo surface.

Conversely if we have a minimal del Pezzo surface $S \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2 and Picard rank 1, then for any (-1)-curve E and its complex conjugate E', we have $E+E' \sim -K_S$. In particular all bitangent lines are defined over \mathbb{R} , each pullback consists of two (-1)-curves conjugated to each other. Such a del Pezzo surface admits a unirational parametrization of degree 24 by [Man86, Theorem 29.9]. However, our theorem shows that it does not admit a degree-two real unirational parametrization.

Example 4.8. Next let us explain how to construct minimal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1. Let S' be a blow up of 8 general real points on \mathbb{P}^2 . This is a split del Pezzo surface of degree 1. The linear system $|-2K_S|$ defines a double cover $S' \to Q$ to a quadric cone Q ramified along a smooth sextic curve C and the vertex of Q. Since S' is split, all (-1)-curves are defined over \mathbb{R} . This means that all tritangent conics to C are defined over \mathbb{R} , and each pullback consists of two (-1)-curves defined over \mathbb{R} . Let $S \to Q$ be the twisted form of $S' \to Q$. Then the pullback of each tritangent conic consists of two (-1)-curves conjugated to each other, and we conclude that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}(S)=1$. Thus this is minimal.

Conversely if we have a minimal del Pezzo surface $S \to Q$ of degree 1 and Picard rank 1, then for any (-1)-curve E and its complex conjugate E', we have $E + E' \sim -2K_S$. In particular all tritangent conics are defined over \mathbb{R} , and each pullback consists of two (-1)-curves conjugated to each other. It is a major open problem whether S admits a unirational parametrization.

Finally to prove Corollary 1.5, we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 4.9. Let S be a real del Pezzo surface of degree $3 \leq d \leq 7$. Then either S is not minimal or S admits a conic bundle structure over \mathbb{P}^1 .

Proof. Assume that S is minimal. Let E_1 be a line on S and E_2 be its conjugate. Then since the degree of S is greater than or equal to 3, we must have $E_1.E_2 = 0$ or 1. However, the minimality implies we must have $E_1.E_2 = 1$. This means that $E_1 + E_2$ defines a conic bundle structure over \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus our assertion follows.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. It follows from Lemma 4.9 that S is birational to a del Pezzo surface S' of degree ≥ 3 which is minimal. If the degree of S' is less than or equal to 7, then this is a minimal conic bundle. Thus Theorem 1.1 implies that S' admits a degree-two real unirational parametrization. When the degree $S' \geq 8$, the existence of a real point on S implies that S' is rational. Thus our assertion follows. \square

References

- [AM72] M. Artin and D. Mumford, Some elementary examples of unirational varieties which are not rational, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **25** (1972), 75–95, DOI 10.1112/plms/s3-25.1.75. \uparrow 1
- [BB00] Lionel Bayle and Arnaud Beauville, *Birational involutions of* **P**², Asian J. Math. 4 (2000), no. 1, 11–17. Kodaira's issue. ↑1, 2
- [BW20] Olivier Benoist and Olivier Wittenberg, The Clemens-Griffiths method over non-closed fields, Algebr. Geom. 7 (2020), no. 6, 696–721, DOI 10.14231/ag-2020-025. ↑1
- [BW23] _____, Intermediate Jacobians and rationality over arbitrary fields, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **56** (2023), no. 4, 1029–1084, DOI 10.24033/asens.2549. $\uparrow 1$
- [CMYZ24] Ivan Cheltsov, Frédéric Mangolte, Egor Yasinsky, and Susanna Zimmermann, Birational involutions of the real projective plane, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), to appear (2024). ↑1, 2.10, 4, 4.1, 4, 4.5
 - [CG72] C. Herbert Clemens and Phillip A. Griffiths, The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold, Ann. of Math. (2) 95 (1972), 281–356, DOI 10.2307/1970801. ↑1
 - [CT19] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, Stably rational surfaces over a quasi-finite field, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 83 (2019), no. 3, 113−126, DOI 10.4213/im8761. ↑1

- [FJS⁺24] Sarah Frei, Lena Ji, Soumya Sankar, Bianca Viray, and Isabel Vogt, Curve classes on conic bundle threefolds and applications to rationality, Algebr. Geom. 11 (2024), no. 3, 421–459. ↑1
- [GHS03] Tom Graber, Joe Harris, and Jason Starr, Families of rationally connected varieties, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 57–67, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00402-2. $\uparrow 3$
 - [Has] Brendan Hassett, Rational surfaces over nonclosed fields: Arithmetic geometry, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 8, 2009, pp. 155–209. ↑2
- [HKT22] Brendan Hassett, János Kollár, and Yuri Tschinkel, Rationality of even-dimensional intersections of two real quadrics, Comment. Math. Helv.
 97 (2022), no. 1, 183–207, DOI 10.4171/cmh/529. ↑1
- [HT21a] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel, Rationality of complete intersections of two quadrics over nonclosed fields, Enseign. Math. 67 (2021), no. 1-2, 1-44, DOI 10.4171/lem/1001. With an appendix by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène. ↑1
- [HT21b] _____, Cycle class maps and birational invariants, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **74** (2021), no. 12, 2675–2698, DOI 10.1002/cpa.21967. \uparrow 1
 - [Isk67] V. A. Iskovskih, Rational surfaces with a pencil of rational curves, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **74(116)** (1967), 608–638. ↑1, 3
 - [IM71] V. A. Iskovskih and Ju. I. Manin, Three-dimensional quartics and counterexamples to the Lüroth problem, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 86(128) (1971), 140–166. ↑1
- [Kne15] Amanda Knecht, Degree of unirationality for del Pezzo surfaces over finite fields, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 27 (2015), no. 1, 171–182, DOI 10.5802/jtnb.897. ↑1
- [Kol97] János Kollár, Real algebraic surfaces (1997). arXiv:alggeom/9712003v1. $\uparrow 1.2,\ 3$
- [KM17] János Kollár and Massimiliano Mella, Quadratic families of elliptic curves and unirationality of degree 1 conic bundles, Amer. J. Math. 139 (2017), no. 4, 915–936, DOI 10.1353/ajm.2017.0024. ↑1
- [KS03] János Kollár and Endre Szabó, Rationally connected varieties over finite fields, Duke Math. J. **120** (2003), no. 2, 251–267, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-03-12022-0. \uparrow 3.1
- [KP23] Alexander Kuznetsov and Yuri Prokhorov, Rationality of Fano three-folds over non-closed fields, Amer. J. Math. 145 (2023), no. 2, 335–411, DOI 10.1353/ajm.2023.0008. ↑1
- [Man86] Yu. I. Manin, Cubic forms 4 (1986), x+326. Algebra, geometry, arithmetic, Translated from the Russian by M. Hazewinkel. ↑4.7
- [Tre14] Andrey Trepalin, Rationality of the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by finite group of automorphisms over arbitrary field of characteristic zero, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), no. 2, 229–239, DOI 10.2478/s11533-013-0340-7. $\uparrow 4$
- [Tre16] _____, Quotients of conic bundles, Transform. Groups **21** (2016), no. 1, 275-295, DOI 10.1007/s00031-015-9342-9. $\uparrow 4.6$
- [Tre19] _____, Quotients of del Pezzo surfaces, Internat. J. Math. **30** (2019), no. 12, 1950068, 40, DOI 10.1142/s0129167x1950068x. \uparrow 1, 1
- [Wal87] C. T. C. Wall, Real forms of smooth del Pezzo surfaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 375/376 (1987), 47–66, DOI 10.1515/crll.1987.375-376.47. $\uparrow 2$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY BOX 1917, 151 THAYER STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA

Email address: brendan_hassett@brown.edu

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho Chikusaku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

Email address: hayato.takagi.c6@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho Chikusaku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

 $Email\ address: \verb|sho.tanimoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp|$