

Notes on Solvability

Here are some notes on solvability which supplement what is in the book. I probably will only cover the first item in class, but you might as well have the other stuff. There are 4 topics:

1. Herstein's claim about solvability and normal extensions.
2. Solvability without roots of unity.
3. The Vandermonde matrix: a technical prelude.
4. The Converse to the Solvability Theorem.

1. A Claim of Herstein's about Solvability: Let F be an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. We say that a pair (F, F') of fields is a *solvable pair* if there is a finite chain

$$F = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_n = F'$$

such that $F_{i+1} = F_i(\omega_i)$ where $\omega_i^{r_i} = a_i \in F_i$. Here $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

The polynomial $p(x) \in F(x)$ is *solvable* if there is a solvable pair (F, F') such that F' contains all the roots of p . That is, F' contains the splitting field for p . The following result proves Herstein's claim in the book. This is also the solution to problem 5.7.1.

Theorem 0.1 *Suppose that $p(x) \in F[x]$ is solvable. Then there is a solvable pair (F, F'') such that F'' contains all the roots of p and F'' is a normal extension of F .*

Proof: Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be the elements involved in the construction of (F, F') . Since ω_j is algebraic over F , there is some polynomial $P_j(x) \in F[x]$ such that $P_j(\omega_j) = 0$. Define the big polynomial $P = P_1 \dots P_n$ and let E be the splitting field for P over F . Note that $\omega_j \in E$ for all j and also $F \subset E$. Therefore $F_j \subset E$ for all j .

Let $G = G(E, F_0)$ be the Galois group. Define $\tilde{F}_0 = F_0$. Assuming that \tilde{F}_k has been defined, let

$$\tilde{F}_{k+1} = \tilde{F}_k \left(\bigcup_{\phi \in G} \phi(\omega_k) \right).$$

in other words, we are adjoining to \tilde{F}_k not just the element ω_k but all the images of ω_k under elements of G .

By definition $\phi(\tilde{F}_0) = \tilde{F}_0$ for all $\phi \in G$. This is to say that \tilde{F}_0 is G -stable. Assuming that \tilde{F}_k is G -stable, so is \tilde{F}_{k+1} . So, by induction \tilde{F}_n is G -stable. But then \tilde{F}_n is a normal extension of F_0 .

At the same time, we can get from \tilde{F}_k to \tilde{F}_{k+1} by adjoining the elements one at a time. Since $\omega_k^{r_k} \in \tilde{F}_k$ the same is true for each $\phi(\omega_k)$. So, when we add the elements one at a time and consider the corresponding tower of fields, we see that $(\tilde{F}_0, \tilde{F}_n)$ is a solvable pair. Remembering that $\tilde{F}_0 = F$ and setting $F'' = \tilde{F}_n$, we are done. ♠

2: Roots of Unity Not Necessary: Let F be a field of characteristic 0. Let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial which is solvable by radicals. Herstein proves that the Galois group of $p(x)$ is solvable, assuming the side-condition that F contains all n -th roots of unity. Here we eliminate the side condition.

The proof in Herstein only uses finitely many roots of unity. Let's say that the proof uses roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$. Let n_1, \dots, n_k be the corresponding orders of these roots of unity. Let $N = n_1 \dots n_k$, and let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Then every α_j has the form ω^k for some k . So, Herstein's proof works if F contains ω .

Now we will not suppose that F contains ω . Let E be the splitting field of p . Let $\tilde{F} = F(\omega)$. Let \tilde{E} be the splitting field of p over \tilde{F} . Since \tilde{E} contains all the roots of p , we know that \tilde{E} contains an isomorphic copy of E . So, we might as well assume that $E \subset \tilde{E}$. We have two different chains,

$$F \subset \tilde{F} \subset \tilde{E}, \quad F \subset E \subset \tilde{E}.$$

The result in Herstein says that $G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$ is solvable. We now prove that $G(E, F)$ is solvable.

Note that \tilde{E} is the splitting field, over F , of the polynomial $p(x)(x^N - 1)$. Hence \tilde{E} is normal over F . Also E is normal over F . Therefore

$$G(E, F) = G(\tilde{E}, F)/G(\tilde{E}, E).$$

The quotient of a solvable group is solvable so, to finish our proof, we just have to show that $G(\tilde{E}, F)$ is solvable.

Now, \tilde{F} is normal over F , because it is the splitting field for $x^N - 1$. Therefore,

$$G(\tilde{F}, F) = G(\tilde{E}, F)/G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F}).$$

Let

$$\psi : G(\tilde{E}, F) \rightarrow G(\tilde{F}, F)$$

be the restriction map. Consider the commutator series for $G(\tilde{E}, F)$. This series of subgroups is mapped into the commutator series for $G(\tilde{F}, F)$, an abelian group. Hence, the commutator series for $G(\tilde{E}, F)$ eventually shrinks down until it is in the kernel of ψ , namely in $G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$. But this group is solvable. So, our original commutator series eventually lies in a solvable group and therefore shrinks down to the trivial group. This proves that $G(\tilde{E}, F)$ is solvable.

3. The Vandermonde Matrix: The second portion of these notes discusses what is known as the Vandermonde matrix. We will use the result here in the next section. Let p be prime and let $\alpha_k = \exp(2\pi i k/p)$. The numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are the distinct p th roots of unity. Actually, the result we prove doesn't use the fact that p is prime, but this is the case that we will need below.

Let

$$M_j = (\alpha_j, \alpha_{2j}, \dots, \alpha_{pj}) \tag{1}$$

Let M be the matrix with rows M_1, \dots, M_p . Our goal is to show that M has nonzero determinant. This is equivalent to showing that the vectors M_1, \dots, M_p are linearly independent in the vector space \mathbf{C}^p .

We introduce the *Hermitian inner product*

$$\langle (z_1, \dots, z_p), (w_1, \dots, w_p) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^p z_i \bar{w}_i. \tag{2}$$

Here \bar{w}_i is the complex conjugate of w_i . This gadget works very much like a dot product. It obeys the following rules.

- $\langle Z_1 + Z_2, W \rangle = \langle Z_1, W \rangle + \langle Z_2, W \rangle$
- $\langle aZ, W \rangle = a \langle Z, W \rangle$.
- $\langle W, Z \rangle = \overline{\langle Z, W \rangle}$.

(We don't actually need to know the third rule, but it is worth stating anyhow.)

We check easily that

$$\langle M_i, M_i \rangle = p; \quad \langle M_i, M_j \rangle = 0 \tag{3}$$

when $i \neq j$. Supposing that $c_1M_1 + \dots + c_pM_p = 0$, we would get

$$\langle c_1M_1 + \dots + c_pM_p, M_j \rangle = pc_j = 0. \quad (4)$$

Hence $c_j = 0$. But j is arbitrary. Hence $c_1, \dots, c_p = 0$. This proves that the vectors M_1, \dots, M_p are linearly independent. Hence $\det(M)$ is nonzero.

4. Converse to the Solvability Result: Now we prove the converse to the result in Herstein – without any assumptions about roots of unity. I'm adapting this proof from Jacobsen's book, *Algebra*. Let F be a field of characteristic zero and let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial. Let E be the splitting field of $p(x)$. Suppose that $G(E, F)$ is solvable.

Let N be the order of $G(E, F)$. Let $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/N)$. Let $\tilde{F} = F(\omega)$ and let \tilde{E} be the splitting field of p over \tilde{E} . Note that $\tilde{E} = E(\omega)$. An argument similar to the one above shows that $G(\tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$ is also solvable. So, in our proof, we can assume without loss of generality that $\omega \in F$.

Let $G = G(E, F)$. We can find a sequence $(e) = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \dots \subset G_0 = G$ such that each G_i is normal in G_{i-1} and $H_i = G_{i-1}/G_i$ is abelian. Suppose there is some index i such that H_i is not cyclic of prime order. We have a surjection $\phi : G_{i-1} \rightarrow H_i$ and we let $G'_i = \phi^{-1}(H'_i)$, where H'_i is some nontrivial subgroup of H_i . Then G'_i is normal in G_i and G_{i-1} is normal in G'_i , and the two quotients G_{i-1}/G'_i and G'_i/G_i are both abelian. In short, if H_i is not cyclic of prime order, we can insert another group in our sequence. So, we can assume that G_{i-1}/G_i is cyclic of prime order for all i . Note that all these prime orders divide N . Corresponding the sequence of groups, we can find a tower of fields

$$F = F_0 \subset \dots \subset F_n = E$$

such that $[F_i : F_{i-1}]$ has prime order for all i .

Note that all the primes involved divide N . In particular, if $[F_{i-1} : F_i] = p$ then F_{i-1} contains all the p th roots of unity. The following lemma finishes the proof.

Lemma 0.2 *Let K be a normal field extension of F of degree p , with p prime. Suppose also that F contains all the p th roots of unity. Then we have $K = F(a)$ where $a^p \in F$.*

Proof: Let $\alpha_k = \exp(2\pi i k/p)$. Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$ are the p th roots of unity. We can write $K = F(c)$ for some $c \in K$. The group $G(K, F)$ has order p

and hence is cyclic. Let η be a generator of $G(K, F)$. Note that $\eta(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k$ since $\alpha_k \in F$. Consider the sums

$$d_k = \alpha_k \eta(c) + \alpha_{2k} \eta^2(c) + \dots + \alpha_{pk} \eta^p(c). \quad (5)$$

We have $\eta(d_k) = d_k / \alpha_k$. Therefore $\eta(d_k^p) = d_k / \alpha_k^p = d_k^p$. So, d_k^p is fixed by $G(K, F)$. Since K is normal over F , we have $d_k^p \in F$. To finish the proof, we just have to show that some d_k does not belong to F .

We can write Equation 5 in matrix form, as $D = MC$, where

$$D = (d_1, \dots, d_p), \quad C = (c_1, \dots, c_p).$$

Here $c_j = \eta^j(c)$ and M is the *Vandermonde matrix*. We have already seen that $\det(M) \neq 0$. Hence M is invertible and we can write $C = M^{-1}D$. But then c is expressible as a linear combination of d_1, \dots, d_p . Since $c \notin F$, we must have $d_k \notin F$ for some k . This means that $K = F(d_k)$ because the irreducible polynomial for d_k must have degree p . ♠