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Abstract. For an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$, Hasse’s theorem asserts that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$, where $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. Assuming that $E$ has complex multiplication, we establish asymptotics for primes $p$ for which $a_p$ is in subintervals of the Hasse interval $[-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p}]$ of measure $o(\sqrt{p})$. In particular, given a function $f = o(1)$ satisfying some mild conditions, we provide counting functions for primes $p$ where $|a_p| \in (2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)), 2\sqrt{p})$, and for primes where $a_p \in (2\sqrt{p}(c - f(p)), 2c\sqrt{p})$, where $c \in (0, 1)$ is a constant.

1. Introduction

Let $E/\mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve. For a prime $p$ of good reduction, we may consider $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the number of points on $E$ modulo $p$. Putting the curve in its Weierstrass form $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and arguing probabilistically with the theory of quadratic residues, one sees that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ should be roughly $p + 1$. Indeed, it is a classical result of Hasse [18] that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$, where the error term $a_p$, called the trace of Frobenius, satisfies $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. The distribution of the quantity $a_p$ within the Hasse interval $[-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p}]$ has been of great interest in modern number theory, due in part to its role in the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, which relates the rank of the group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ to its local arithmetic data. More specifically, to such a curve, we can associate an $L$-series via an Euler product:

$$L(E, s) = \prod_{p \mid \Delta_E} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}},$$

where $\Delta_E = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2)$ is the discriminant of $E$. This series converges for $\Re(s) > 3/2$, and as a consequence of the modularity theorem, it will always admit an analytic continuation to all of $\mathbb{C}$. The resulting function is called the Hasse-Weil zeta function of $E$. Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [3] conjectured that the rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is equal to the order of vanishing of the Hasse-Weil zeta function at $s = 1$. The product formula (1) makes it clear that the behavior of $L(E, s)$ will depend entirely on the distribution of $a_p$. The distributions of the normalized trace of Frobenius $b_p = a_p/2\sqrt{p}$ have been well-studied, with different results depending on whether or not $E$ has complex multiplication (CM). The CM case is due to Hecke and Deuring:

**Theorem 1.1** (Hecke [11, 12], Deuring [7, 8, 9, 10]). Suppose that $E$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with complex multiplication. Then $a_p = 0$ for asymptotically half of all primes $p$. Moreover, for each subinterval $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [-1, 1]$,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \left\{ p \leq x : \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \in [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \{0\} \right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}.$$  

The distribution of the normalized trace in the non-CM case is much more difficult; the proof was finalized only in 2006, in a paper by Clozel, Harris, Taylor, and Shepherd-Barron. Until its proof, it was known as the Sato-Tate conjecture.

**Theorem 1.2** (Clozel, Harris, Shepherd-Barron, Taylor [1]). Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ without complex multiplication. Then, for each subinterval $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [-1, 1]$,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \# \left\{ p \leq x : \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \in [\alpha, \beta] \right\} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{1-t^2} \, dt.$$  

The distributions are markedly different based on whether or not $E$ has CM: if it does, $a_p/2\sqrt{p}$ is concentrated toward the endpoints of the interval $[-1, 1]$. These theorems give us information about the number of primes whose trace of Frobenius lies in subintervals of measure proportional to that of the Hasse interval, but they...
do not give information about primes which are in “density-zero” subintervals of the Hasse interval. In particular, the Lang-Trotter conjecture, which predicts the number of primes for which \( a_p = r \) for a fixed integer \( r \), remains unresolved.

**Conjecture 1.3** (Lang-Trotter). Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and let \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then if \( r = 0 \) or \( E \) does not have CM, then
\[
\# \{ p \leq x : a_p = r \} \sim C_{E,r} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x},
\]
where \( C_{E,r} \) is an explicit constant depending on \( E \) and \( r \).

In this paper, we extend the program begun by James, Tran, Trinh, and Wertheimer in [14] and continued by James and Pollack in [13]. In these papers, the authors study primes for which \( |a_p| \) is as large as possible for CM elliptic curves. Adopting the conventions of that paper, we say a prime \( p \) is *extremal* for an elliptic curve \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) if \( |a_p| = [2\sqrt{p}] \), additionally we say that \( p \) is a *champion prime* if \( a_p = -[2\sqrt{p}] \) (and consequently \( \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \) is as large as possible), and finally \( p \) is a *trailing prime* if \( a_p = [2\sqrt{p}] \). In [14], the authors established an asymptotic for the count of extremal primes, assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH). In [13], James and Pollack removed the reliance on GRH and separated the counts of trailing and champion primes.

**Theorem 1.4** (James, Pollack). Let \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, then
\[
\# \{ p \leq x : p \text{ is a trailing prime for } E \} \sim \frac{2}{3\pi} \frac{x^{3/4}}{\log x},
\]
and the same asymptotic holds for champion primes.

Here we adapt the techniques of [13] to establish asymptotics for primes \( p \) for which \( a_p \) is in short subintervals of the Hasse interval. Our main result gives a counting function for *nearly extremal* primes, which are primes for which \( a_p \) is within a small range of the endpoints of the Hasse interval. Our work also enables us to count primes within a small range of \( 2c\sqrt{p} \), where \( c \in (0,1) \) is a constant. In this context, “small” means that our intervals are of measure \( o(\sqrt{p}) \).

**Definition 1.5.** Let \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) be an elliptic curve and \( p \) a prime. We say \( p \) is

(i) *\( f \)-nearly extremal* for \( E \) if \( |a_p| \in (2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)), 2\sqrt{p}) \);

(ii) *\( f \)-champion* if \( a_p < -2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)) \);

(iii) *\( f \)-trailing* if \( a_p > 2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)) \);

(iv) a *(c, f)*-prime for \( E \) if \( a_p \in (2\sqrt{p}(c - f(p)), 2c\sqrt{p}) \) for some constant \( c \in (0,1) \).

Before we state our main theorem, we recall that a function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is called *regularly varying* if the limit
\[
C(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)}
\]
exists for all \( \lambda > 0 \), and \( f \) is *slowly varying* if the above limit is 1 for all \( \lambda > 0 \). In our work, the language of regularly varying functions gives the most precise description of the functions \( f \) that may be considered for \( f \)-nearly extremal and *(c, f)*-primes. We emphasize that this is not too serious a restriction on the function \( f \), as for example, all polynomials are regularly varying, and \( \log x \) and \( 1/\log x \) are slowly varying. Importantly, if \( f \) is regularly varying, then Karamata’s theorem [2] gives that \( f(x) = x^\alpha g(x) \) where \( g \) is slowly varying.

Note that \( \alpha = 0 \) if and only if \( f \) is slowly varying. This parameter \( \alpha = \alpha(f) \) is used in the statement of our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, and let \( f(x) = o(1) \) be a convex, differentiable, regularly varying function. If \( x^{-1/2} \ll f \), then the number of \( f \)-trailing primes \( p \leq x \) is
\[
\sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi(2 + \alpha)} \sqrt{f(x)} \frac{x}{\log x},
\]
and the same asymptotic holds for \( f \)-champion primes. Moreover, if \( \frac{1}{f(x)} = o(x^{265/\log x}) \) for sufficiently large \( x \), the number of *(c, f)*-primes \( p \leq x \) is
\[
\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \sqrt{1 - c^2}} \frac{f(x)}{\log x} \frac{x}{\log x}.
\]
In Table 1, we compute asymptotics for \( f \)-extremal primes for several specific examples of \( f \), and in Table 2 we include asymptotics for \((c, f)\)-primes when \( c = 1/2 \). As Table 1 indicates, taking \( f(p) = 1/2p^{1/2} \) recovers the asymptotic of Theorem 1.4. For the tables, we let \( \pi^f_{\text{Champ}}(x) \) and \( \pi^{(1/2, f)}_E(x) \) denote the counting functions of \( f \)-champion and \((1/2, f)\)-primes, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f(p) )</th>
<th>Width of interval</th>
<th>( \pi^f_{\text{Champ}}(x) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 1/2p^{1/2} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{2}{3\pi} x^{3/4} ) log ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{n}{2p^{1/2}}, n \geq 1 )</td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{3\pi} x^{3/4} ) log ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{2p^{1/4}} )</td>
<td>( p^{1/4} )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{4}{7\pi} x^{7/8} ) log ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{2p^{1/2-\varepsilon}}, \varepsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) )</td>
<td>( p^\varepsilon )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{2}{(3+2\varepsilon)\pi} x^{3/4+\varepsilon/2} ) log ( x )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{1}{\log p} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2p^{1/2}}{\log p} )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{x}{(\log x)^{3/2}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\log p}{2\sqrt{p}} )</td>
<td>( \frac{2p^{1/2}}{\log p} )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\pi} \frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log \log x)^{1/2}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\log \log p}{2\sqrt{p}} )</td>
<td>( \log p )</td>
<td>( \sim \frac{2}{3\pi} x^{3/4} ) ( \sqrt{\log \log x} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Background

Here we discuss relevant background information about the complex multiplication of elliptic curves, the trace of Frobenius, and regularly varying functions. All of the facts about elliptic curves used here can be found in David Cox’s *Primes of the form \( x^2 + ny^2 \)* [5] and Joe Silverman’s *The arithmetic of elliptic curves* [18].

#### 2.1. Complex multiplication

One means of studying an elliptic curve \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) is to consider the endomorphism ring defined of its \( \mathbb{Q} \)-valued points, which we denote by \( \text{End}(E) \). For \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \), the multiplication-by-\( m \) map

\[
[m] : E \to E
\]

given by

\[
P \mapsto P + P + \cdots + P \quad \text{m times}
\]

provides an endomorphism of \( E \). For almost all elliptic curves defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), such maps give all of the possible endomorphisms, i.e. \( \text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z} \). However, for some elliptic curves, the endomorphism ring is strictly larger than \( \mathbb{Z} \). For example, if \((x, y)\) is a point on the elliptic curve given by the Weierstrass equation \( E : y^2 = x^3 - x \), then \((-x, iy)\) is also a point on the curve. This gives rise to an endomorphism \([i] : E \to E\) which is not given by any multiplication-by-\( m \) map, so \( \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \text{End}(E) \). Such curves are said to have *complex*
Table 2. Asymptotics for \((1/2, f)\)-primes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(f(p))</th>
<th>Width of interval</th>
<th>(\pi_E^{(1/2,f)}(x))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{1}{2p^{1/4}})</td>
<td>(p^{1/4})</td>
<td>(\approx \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3\pi} \frac{x^{3/4}}{\log x})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{1}{2p^{265-\varepsilon}}, \varepsilon \in (0, 0.265))</td>
<td>(p^{235+\varepsilon})</td>
<td>(\approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3\pi} \frac{x^{3.735+\varepsilon}}{\log x})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{1}{\log p})</td>
<td>(\frac{2p^{1/2}}{\log p})</td>
<td>(\approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3\pi} \frac{x}{\log^2 x})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{1}{\log \log p})</td>
<td>(\frac{2p^{1/2}}{\log \log p})</td>
<td>(\approx \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3\pi} \frac{x}{\log x \cdot \log \log x})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By multiplication. To characterize the possible endomorphism rings of an elliptic curve, we first require the definition of an order in a quadratic field.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \(A\) be a \(\mathbb{Q}\)-algebra which is finitely generated over \(\mathbb{Q}\). An order \(R\) of \(A\) is a subring of \(A\) such that \(R \otimes \mathbb{Q} = A\).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \(E/\mathbb{Q}\) be an elliptic curve. Then either \(\text{End}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}\) or \(\text{End}(E)\) is an order in a quadratic extension of \(\mathbb{Q}\).

Any order in a quadratic extension \(K\) of \(\mathbb{Q}\) is of the form \(\mathbb{Z} + f\mathcal{O}_K\), where \(f \geq 1\) is an integer. We say \(E\) has complex multiplication by the maximal order if \(\text{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_K\).

**2.2. Trace of Frobenius.** Just as we consider the endomorphism ring of the \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\)-valued points of an elliptic curve defined over \(\mathbb{Q}\), we may analyze the endomorphism ring of the \(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p\)-valued points of an elliptic curve \(E\) defined over \(\mathbb{F}_p\) for any prime \(p\) not dividing the discriminant \(\Delta_E\); write \(\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)\) for this ring. We again have \(\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)\). However, we also have the \(p\)th power Frobenius endomorphism given by

\[ \sigma_p : (x, y) \mapsto (x^p, y^p). \]

One may verify that \(\sigma_p \notin \mathbb{Z}\), in that this map does not correspond to any multiplication by \(m\) map, so we always have \(\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)\). We thus have the chain of containments

\[ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sigma_p] \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E), \]

where \(\mathbb{Z}[\sigma_p]\) is finite over \(\mathbb{Z}\). The element \(\sigma_p\) satisfies a monic relation of the form

\[ \sigma_p^2 - a_p \sigma_p + p = 0 \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(E), \]

where \(a_p\) is the trace of Frobenius as discussed in the introduction. The relation (2) motivates the use of the term “trace.” Indeed, \(a_p\) also arises as the trace of the Frobenius endomorphism when viewed as an element of \(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)\) via its action on the Tate module \(T_\ell(E)\), one can see the aforementioned reference [18] for an in-depth treatment of this perspective. In particular, this allows us to view the polynomial \(x^2 - a_p x + p\) arising from the relation (2) as the characteristic polynomial of \(\sigma_p\), which we denote \(\text{char}_{\sigma_p}(x)\). For a curve \(E\) defined over \(\mathbb{Q}\) with complex multiplication by \(\mathcal{O}_K\), the polynomial factors as

\[ \text{char}_{\sigma_p}(x) = (x - \omega)(x - \overline{\omega}), \]

where \(\omega\) is a prime of \(\mathcal{O}_K\) that lies over \(p\). Importantly, this implies that for the root \(\omega\) of \(\text{char}_{\sigma_p}\), we have

\[ 2\Re(\omega) = \omega + \overline{\omega} = a_p \]

and

\[ |\omega|^2 = N\omega = \begin{cases} p^2 & \text{if } \omega \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ p & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \]
where \( N \) denotes the norm of the field extension; in the quadratic case, this agrees with the square of the usual complex modulus. Therefore, when an elliptic curve \( E/\mathbb{Q} \) has CM by \( \mathcal{O}_K \), we can associate to each splitting rational prime \( p \) two primes \( \omega \) and \( \bar{\omega} \) of \( \mathcal{O}_K \) lying over \( p \), each with real part \( a_p/2 \). This fact is integral to the proof of our main theorem, and we will refer to the equation (3) later, specifying the prime \( \omega \) via congruence conditions.

2.3. Regularly varying functions. In this paper we require that \( f(x) = o(1) \) be differentiable, strictly decreasing, convex, and regularly varying. We recall the definition as stated in the introduction.

**Definition 2.3.** A function \( f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is called regularly varying if the limit
\[
C(\lambda) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)}
\]
exists for all \( \lambda > 0 \). The function \( f \) is slowly varying if \( C(\lambda) = 1 \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \).

As previously discussed, if \( f \) is regularly varying, then Karamata's theorem [2] implies that \( f(x) = x^\alpha g(x) \) where \( g \) is slowly varying, and \( \alpha = 0 \) if and only if \( f \) is slowly varying. We will need two technical lemmas for dealing with regularly varying functions in our proof of Theorem 1.6.

**Lemma 2.4.** If \( f \) is regularly varying and \( \eta < 1 \), then \( f(X) \sim f(X + X^n) \). Moreover, if \( f \) is strictly decreasing with \( f(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \) and \( p \in [X, X + X^n] \) is a rational prime, then \( f(X) \sim f(p) \sim f(X + X^n) \), and
\[
f(p) < f(X) < (1 + h(X))f(p),
\]
where
\[
h(X) := \frac{f(X) - f(X + X^n)}{f(X + X^n)} = o(1).
\]

**Proof.** Observing that
\[
C(\lambda) = \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{f(\lambda X)}{f(X)} = \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{f(\lambda X)}{f(\sqrt{\lambda X})} \frac{f(\sqrt{\lambda X})}{f(X)} = \left( \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{f(\lambda X)}{f(\sqrt{\lambda X})} \right) \left( \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{f(\sqrt{\lambda X})}{f(X)} \right) = C(\sqrt{\lambda})^2,
\]
we have \( C(\lambda) \to 1 \) as \( \lambda \to 1 \). Given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a \( \lambda > 1 \) such that \( C(\lambda) > 1 - \varepsilon \). Then for sufficiently large \( X \)
\[
1 \geq \frac{f(X + X^n)}{f(X)} = \frac{f(X(1 + X^{n-1}))}{f(X)} > \frac{f(X)}{f(X)} > 1 - \varepsilon,
\]
so letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) we have \( f(X + X^n) \sim f(X) \). Therefore, we have \( h(X) = o(1) \). When \( f \) is strictly decreasing, for \( p \in [X, X + X^n] \), we have
\[
f(p) < f(X) = \left( 1 + \frac{f(X) - f(p)}{f(p)} \right) f(p) < \left( 1 + \frac{f(X) - f(X + X^n)}{f(X + X^n)} \right) f(p) = (1 + h(X))f(p),
\]
which completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.5.** Write \( f(x) = x^\alpha g(x) \) where \( g \) is slowly varying. If \( f \) is convex, then
\[
\alpha = \lim_{X \to \infty} \frac{X f'(X)}{f(X)}.
\]

**Proof.** Fix a \( \lambda > 1 \). By convexity we have
\[
|f'(\lambda X)| < \left| \frac{f(\lambda X) - f(X)}{(\lambda - 1)X} \right| < |f'(X)|.
\]
Rearranging the left inequality yields
\[
\left| \frac{f'(\lambda X)\lambda X}{f(\lambda X)} \right| < \lambda \left| \frac{1 - f(X)}{f(X)} \right|.
\]
and substituting $X = X/\lambda$ we get
\[
\left| \frac{f'(X)X}{f(X)} \right| < \lambda \left| \frac{1 - \frac{f(X/\lambda)}{f(X)}}{\lambda - 1} \right|.
\]

Thus, after a similar rearrangement for the RHS we can bound
\[
\left| \frac{f(X) - 1}{\lambda - 1} \right| < \left| \frac{f'(X)X}{f(X)} \right| < \left| \frac{1 - \frac{f(X/\lambda)}{f(X)}}{\lambda - 1} \right|.
\]

Taking $X \to \infty$:
\[
\left| \frac{\lambda^\alpha - 1}{\lambda - 1} \right| < \left| \frac{f'(X)X}{f(X)} \right| < \left| \frac{1 - \lambda^{-\alpha}}{\lambda - 1} \right|.
\]

Finally, taking $\lambda \to 1$ we obtain the desired limit. \[\square\]

3. Counting $f$-nearly extremal and $(c, f)$-primes

We now establish the framework for the proof of Theorem 1.6. As in [13], the proof involves replacing the problem of counting primes with prescribed trace of Frobenius with the problem of counting primes of imaginary quadratic fields lying in narrow sectors of the complex plane. Indeed, for an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{Q}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}_K$, the splitting of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius (3) establishes the first connection between rational primes with prescribed trace and primes $\omega$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$. By imposing conditions on the trace, we are able to establish bounds on the argument of the primes $\omega$. Of course, as discussed in Section 2.1, an elliptic curve $E$ might have CM by an order strictly smaller than $\mathcal{O}_K$. However, for our purposes, we may assume without loss of generality that $E$ has complex multiplication by the maximal order $\mathcal{O}_K$, as there is always a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational isogeny $\phi : E \to E'$, where $E'/\mathbb{Q}$ has CM by the maximal order. See [4], Proposition 25 for a reference for this fact. In particular, $a_p(E) = a_p(E')$ for all but a finite number of primes $p$, so this additional assumption on the CM of $E$ will not alter our asymptotic estimates.

Deuring [6] showed that $a_p = 0$ if and only if $p$ is inert or ramified in $K$, so when $p$ is sufficiently large and is either $f$-nearly extremal or a $(c, f)$-prime, it is split in $K$. It is known that for any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}_K$, the prime $\omega$ of (3) can be specified by congruence conditions, i.e. there is a nonzero element $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and an irredundant list of elements $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r \in \mathcal{O}_K$ which are invertible modulo $\mu$, such that for each split prime $p$ which is coprime to $\mu$, a prime $\omega$ lying over $p$ satisfies (3) if and only if
\[
\omega \equiv \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{r-1}, \text{ or } \nu_r \pmod{\mu}.
\]

For more information on computing the exact congruence conditions to be imposed, one may consult [17]. As in [13], the work of Landau [15] allows us to argue that the number of congruence conditions $r$ in (4) above satisfies
\[
r = \varphi(\mu)/w_K,
\]
where $\varphi(\mu) = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mu\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$ is the number of units in $\mathcal{O}_K/\mu\mathcal{O}_K$ and $w_K$ denotes the number of roots of unity in $K$. The following theorem, due to Maknys [16], tells us how to count primes of $\mathcal{O}_K$ which occur in sectors.

**Theorem 3.1** (Maknys). Let $K$ be an imaginary quadratic field, fix a nonzero $\mu \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and let $h_K$ denote the class number of $K$. If $\nu$ is an invertible residue class modulo $\mu$, we have
\[
\sum_{\omega \text{ prime} \atop \varphi(\mu) \neq \nu \text{ prime}} \sum_{x < \omega < x + x^{0.735}} 1 \sim \frac{w_K}{h_K \varphi(\mu)} \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{x^{0.735}}{\log x},
\]
as $x \to \infty$, when $2\pi < \theta_2 - \theta_1 > x^{-0.265}$. 

\[\square\]
As $E$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the field $K$ is one of the nine imaginary quadratic fields of class number 1, i.e., for our purposes, we may take $h_K = 1$ in the above theorem. Maknys’ result will allow us to count primes in sectors of $\mathcal{O}_K$ which satisfy the congruence conditions (4), which are precisely the primes $\omega$ lying over rational primes $p$ with $\Re(\omega) = a_\eta/2$. The following lemma establishes the sectors where primes $\omega$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ must correspond to rational primes $p$ which are $f$-trailing for $E$. Set $\eta = 0.735$, as in the previous theorem.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $f$ be a strictly decreasing, regularly varying function with $f(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$ and $f(x) \gg x^{-1/2}$. Let $\omega = a + bi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime of norm $p$, where $p \in [X, X + X^0]$ is a rational prime. Let $h(X)$ be as in Lemma 2.4. Then for sufficiently large $X$, if

$$2a > 2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)),$$

then

$$|\arg(\omega)| < (1 + f(X))\sqrt{2f(X)} = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{2f(X)}.$$

Conversely, if

$$|\arg(\omega)| < (1 - h(X))\sqrt{2f(X)} = (1 - o(1))\sqrt{2f(X)},$$

then

$$2a > 2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)).$$

**Proof.** First we prove the forward direction. By the Taylor expansion of $\cos(x)$ at $x = 0$, we have $\cos(x) < 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{24}x^4$ for $x$ near 0. Then by assumption we have

$$\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)) < a = \sqrt{p}\cos(\arg\omega) < \sqrt{p} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\arg\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{24}(\arg\omega)^4 \right],$$

i.e.,

$$f(p) > \frac{1}{2}(\arg\omega)^2 - \frac{1}{24}(\arg\omega)^4.$$

Since $f$ in strictly decreasing, for $X < p < X + X^0$ we have

$$|\arg\omega| \sqrt{\frac{1 - \frac{1}{12}(\arg\omega)^2}{1 - \frac{1}{12}}} < \sqrt{2f(p)} < \sqrt{2f(X)}.$$

Then, as $f(X) = o(1)$ and $\arg\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]$, we get

$$|\arg\omega| < \sqrt{\frac{2f(X)}{1 - \frac{x^2}{12}}} < 3\sqrt{2f(X)},$$

and therefore, for sufficiently large $X$, we have the bound

$$|\arg\omega| < \frac{\sqrt{2f(X)}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{(\arg\omega)^2}{12}}} < \left(1 + \frac{(\arg\omega)^2}{18}\right)\sqrt{2f(X)} < (1 + f(X))\sqrt{2f(X)} = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{2f(X)},$$

which proves the first implication. For the reverse direction, suppose $|\arg\omega| < (1 - h(X))\sqrt{2f(X)}$. By Lemma 2.4, for sufficiently large $X$ we have

$$|\arg\omega| < (1 - h(X))\sqrt{2f(X)} < (1 - h(X))\sqrt{2(1 + h(X))f(p)} < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since $\cos(x)$ is even and it is decreasing on $[0, \pi/2]$, we have

$$a = \sqrt{p}\cos(\arg(\omega)) > \sqrt{p}\cos \left[ (1 - h(X))\sqrt{1 + h(X)}\sqrt{2f(p)} \right].$$

The Taylor series for cosine gives that $\cos(x) > 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2$, so we have

$$2a > 2\sqrt{p} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( (1 - h(X))\sqrt{1 + h(X)}\sqrt{2f(p)} \right)^2 \right)$$

$$= 2\sqrt{p} \left( 1 - (1 - h(X))^2(1 + h(X))f(p) \right)$$

$$= 2\sqrt{p} \left( 1 - (1 - h(X))^2(1 - h(X))f(p) \right)$$

$$> 2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)).$$

□
The previous lemma gives sectors in which one may count primes \( \omega \) corresponding to \( f \)-trailing primes. In the following lemma, we establish an analogous result for \((c, f)\)-primes.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( f, \omega = a + bi, X \leq p \leq X + X^\alpha \) and \( h(X) \) be as in the previous lemma. Let \( c \in (-1, 1) \). For sufficiently large \( X \), if

\[
\sqrt{p}(c - f(p)) < a < c\sqrt{p},
\]

then

\[
\arccos(c) < |\arg \omega| \leq \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{cf(X)}{2(1 - c^2)} + o\left(f(X)^2\right) \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} = \arccos(c) + (1 + o(1)) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}.
\]

Conversely, if

\[
\arccos(c) < |\arg \omega| < \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(X)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}
\]

then

\[
\sqrt{p}(c - f(p)) < a < c\sqrt{p}.
\]

**Proof.** For the forward implication, since \( f(X) = o(1) \), for sufficiently large \( X \) and any \( X \leq p \leq X + X^\alpha \), we have \(-1 < c - f(p) < c < 1\). Note that \( \arccos(x) \) is decreasing on \([-1, 1]\), we have \( a < c\sqrt{p} \) implies \(|\arg \omega| = \arccos\left(a/\sqrt{p}\right) > \arccos(c)\).

Recall that \( f \) is strictly decreasing and that \( a > \sqrt{p}(c - f(p)) \). By the Taylor expansion for \( \arccos(x) \) at \( x = c \), we know for sufficiently large \( X \) and any \( X \leq p \leq X + X^\alpha \), that

\[
|\arg \omega| = \arccos\left(a/\sqrt{p}\right) < \arccos(c - f(p))
\]

\[
= \arccos(c) + \frac{f(p)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} - \frac{f(p)^2}{2(1 - c^2)^{3/2}} + o\left(f(p)^3\right)
\]

\[
= \arccos\left(1 - \frac{cf(p)}{2(1 - c^2)} + o(f(p)^2)\right) \frac{f(p)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}
\]

\[
< \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{cf(X)}{2(1 - c^2)} + o(f(p)^2) \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}
\]

\[
= \arccos\left(1 - \frac{cf(X)}{2(1 - c^2)} + o(f(p)^2) \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}
\]

\[
= \arccos(c) + (1 + o(1)) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}.
\]

For the reverse implication, since \( f(X) = o(1) \), for sufficiently large \( X \) and any \( X \leq p \leq X + X^\alpha \), by assumption we have

\[
0 < \arccos(c) < |\arg \omega| < \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(X)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} < \pi.
\]

Since \( \arccos(c) < |\arg \omega| \) and \( \cos(\omega) \) is decreasing on \([0, \pi]\), we have \( a < c\sqrt{p} \).

For the other inequality, since

\[
|\arg \omega| < \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(X)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}}
\]
and \( \cos(\alpha + \beta) = \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \), we have
\[
a/\sqrt{p} = \cos(\arg \omega)
\]
\[
> \cos \left( \arccos(c) + \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \right)
\]
\[
= c \cos \left( \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \right)
\]
\[
- \sqrt{1 - c^2} \sin \left( \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \frac{f(X)}{\sqrt{1 - c^2}} \right).
\]

For \( x \) near 0 we may use the bounds \( \cos(x) > 1 - \frac{1}{2} x^2 \) and \( \sin(x) < |x| \) to get
\[
a/\sqrt{p} > c \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) f(X)^2 \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) f(X)
\]
\[
= c \left( 1 + \frac{c f(X)}{2 - 2c} \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) f(X)
\]
Finally, when \( 0 \leq c < 1 \) we apply Lemma 2.4 to see that
\[
a/\sqrt{p} = c - \left( 1 + \frac{c f(X)}{2 - 2c} \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c^2} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c^2} \right) \right) \left( 1 + h(X) \right) f(p)
\]
\[
> c - f(p).
\]

When \(-1 < c < 0\) we apply Lemma 2.4 to see that
\[
a/\sqrt{p} > c - \left( 1 - \frac{|c|f(x)}{2 - 2c} - h(X) - \frac{|c|f(X)h(X)}{2 - 2c} \right) \left( 1 + h(X) \right) f(p)
\]
\[
> c - f(p).
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

We are now ready for the proof of our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. As established, we may suppose that \( E \) has CM by the maximal order \( O_K \). We fix a number \( p_0(E) \) which is large enough to ensure that all primes \( p > p_0(E) \) are of good reduction for \( E \), unramified in \( K \), and coprime to \( \mu \). We call a prime \( \omega \in O_K \) \( f\)-distinguished if \( N\omega = p \) is prime, \( \omega \equiv \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{r-1} \mod \mu \) (this ensures that (3) holds for \( \omega \) and hence that \( 2\mathfrak{N}(\omega) = a_{\omega} \), and \( 2\mathfrak{N}(\omega) > 2\sqrt{p}(1 - f(p)) \)). If we count \( f\)-distinguished primes, we are double counting the rational primes they correspond to: if \( \omega \) is \( f\)-distinguished, then so is \( \overline{\omega} \). Therefore, if we let \( \chi_{\text{fd}} \) denote the characteristic function of \( f\)-distinguished primes, we see that
\[
\sum_{p \text{ is } f\text{-distinguished}} \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{p_0 < N\omega < X} \chi_{\text{fd}}(\omega).
\]

As in [13], we begin by considering a weighted version of the right-hand sum. Let \( \eta = .735 \) as in Theorem 3.1, and let \( x \) be a large real number. For each prime \( \omega \in O_K \) with \( N\omega \) prime and \( x^{1/2} < N\omega \leq x \), we define
\[
\mathcal{X}(\omega) = \{ T \in \mathbb{R} : T < N\omega \leq T + \eta \}
\]
Each \( \mathcal{X}(\omega) \) is of length \( \sim (N\omega)^{\eta} \), uniformly in \( \omega \). Therefore,
\[
\sum_{x^{1/2} < N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{fd}}(\omega)(N\omega)^{\eta} = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{x^{1/2} < N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{fd}}(\omega) \int_{\mathcal{X}(\omega)} 1 dX
\]
\[
= (1 + o(1)) \int_{x^{1/2} - x^{\eta/2}}^{x} \sum_{x^{1/2} < N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{fd}}(\omega) dX.
\]
We first consider the range of integration where \( x^{1/2} \leq X \leq x - x^\eta \); in this range the first restriction on the sum implies the second. The difference between the bounds in Lemma 3.2 is \( \sim 2\sqrt{2f(x)} \), so we can apply Theorem 3.1 with \( \theta_2 - \theta_1 = 2\sqrt{2f(X)} \) and sum over the \( r = \varphi(\mu)/w_K \) residue classes to get

\[
\sum_{X < N\omega \leq X + x^\eta} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2f(X)}}{\pi} \frac{X^\eta}{\log X}.
\]

We now let

\[
F(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} t^{\eta+1} \sqrt{f(t)} \log t,
\]

where if \( f \) is regularly varying, \( \alpha \) is given by Karamata’s theorem; \( f(x) = x^\eta g(x) \) where \( g \) is slowly varying (indeed, \( \alpha = 0 \) if \( f \) is slowly varying). We now use Lemma 2.5 to compute

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} F'(t) \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\log t}{\sqrt{f(t)} t^\eta} = \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log t}{\eta \sqrt{f(t)} \log \log t} \cdot \frac{(1 + \eta) t^{\eta+1} \sqrt{f(t)} \log t}{2 \sqrt{f(t)} \log t} - \frac{t^{\eta+1} f'(t)}{2 \sqrt{f(t)} \log t}
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \left( (1 + \eta) + \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{tf'(t)}{2f(t)} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \left( 1 + \eta + \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) = 1.
\]

Written differently, we have

\[
F'(t) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{f(t)} t^\eta}{\log t}.
\]

The contribution to the integral from the range \( x^{1/2} \leq X \leq x - x^\eta \) is thus

\[
\sim F(x - x^\eta) - F(x^{1/2}),
\]

which we claim is \( \sim F(x) \). Indeed, we have

\[
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x - x^\eta) - F(x^{1/2})}{F(x)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x - x^\eta)}{F(x)} - \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{F(x^{1/2})}{F(x)}
\]

\[
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{f(x - x^\eta)}(x - x^\eta)^{\eta+1}}{\log(x - x^\eta)} - \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{f(x^{1/2})}x^{(\eta+1)/2}}{\log x^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{f(x)}x^{\eta+1}}
\]

\[
= \lim_{x \to \infty} \left( \frac{f(x - x^\eta)}{f(x)} \right)^{1/2} - 2 \lim_{x \to \infty} \left( \frac{f(x^{1/2})}{f(x)} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{(\eta+1)/2}}
\]

\[
= 1 - 2 \lim_{x \to \infty} \left( \frac{f(x^{1/2})}{f(x)} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{(\eta+1)/2}}.
\]

As \( x^{-1/2} \ll f(x) \), there is a constant \( C \) such that \( Cx^{-1/4} \leq \sqrt{f(x)} \) for sufficiently large \( x \), so that

\[
\left( \frac{f(x^{1/2})}{f(x)} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{(\eta+1)/2}} \leq \frac{C\sqrt{f(x^{1/2})}}{x^{(2\eta+1)/4}},
\]

where the term on the RHS clearly goes to 0 as \( x \to \infty \). We may conclude that \( F(x - x^\eta) - F(x^{1/2}) \sim F(x) \). The remaining range of integration is the union of the intervals \([x^{1/2} - x^{\eta/2}, x^{1/2}] \) and \([x - x^\eta, x] \), which together make up a set of measure \( x^{1/2} + x^{\eta/2} \ll x^\eta \), while the integrand itself is uniformly \( \ll x^{\eta} \). Altogether, this interval makes a contribution of \( \ll x^{2\eta} \), and for large \( x \) and constants \( M \) and \( C \) we have

\[
0 \leq \frac{x^{2\eta}}{F(x)} = M \cdot \frac{x^{2\eta} \log x}{\sqrt{f(x)}x^{\eta+1}} = M \cdot \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{f(x)}x^{2^{265}}} \leq \frac{C_x}{x^{2^{265}}} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x^{2^{265}}} = C \log x \cdot \frac{\sqrt{f(x)}x^{\eta+1}}{x^{2^{265}}} \rightarrow 0;
\]

we may conclude that this contribution does not affect the asymptotic. It follows that

\[
\sum_{x^{1/2} < N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega)(N\omega)^\eta \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \frac{\sqrt{f(x)}x^{\eta+1}}{\log x};
\]
but for the corresponding sum over primes \( \omega \) with \( N(\omega) \leq x^{1/2} \), we have

\[
\sum_{N\omega \leq x^{1/2}} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega)(N\omega)^\eta \ll x^{(\eta+1)/2},
\]

so we can delete the restriction that \( N\omega > x^{1/2} \) on the sum in (7) without altering the asymptotic:

\[
\sum_{N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega)(N\omega)^\eta \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \sqrt{f(x)x^{\eta+1}} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x},
\]

We will now remove the weights via partial summation. Put

\[
A(t) = \sum_{N\omega \leq t} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega)(N\omega)^\eta.
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega) = \int_2^x t^{-\eta} dA(t) = (1 + o(1)) \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{f(x)x}}{\log x} \right) + \eta \int_2^x A(t)t^{-\eta-1} dt,
\]

where

\[
\int_2^x A(t)t^{-\eta-1} dt = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \int_2^x \left( 1 + o(1) \right) \sqrt{f(x)x} \cdot \frac{\log x}{x} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \frac{2}{2 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{x\sqrt{f(x)}}{\log x};
\]

this may be confirmed by taking the derivative of the right-hand side. We thus have

\[
\sum_{N\omega \leq x} \chi_{\text{id}}(\omega) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \left( \frac{\sqrt{f(x)x}}{\log x} + \frac{2\eta}{2 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{x\sqrt{f(x)}}{\log x} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha} \cdot \frac{2(\eta + 1) + \alpha}{2 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{x\sqrt{f(x)}}{\log x}
\]

The same argument, constraining \( \arg(-\omega) \) rather than \( \arg(\omega) \), gives the asymptotic for \( f \)-champion primes. For the \((c,f)\)-primes, the calculation is essentially the same; in this case, the difference between the bounds constraining \( \arg(\omega) \) comes from Lemma 3.3, it is

\[
(8) \quad \theta_2 - \theta_1 \sim \frac{2f(X)}{\sqrt{1-c^2}}
\]

instead of \( 2\sqrt{2f(X)} \). The function \( F \) as in (6) becomes

\[
F(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \eta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\log t} \cdot f(t)t^{\eta+1}.
\]

The remainder of the argument is similar, and the final asymptotic is then

\[
\sum_{p_0 < p \leq x} 1 \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-c^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\log x} \cdot f(x)x.
\]

\[
\square
\]

Remark 3.4. The difference between the bounds (8) in the \((c,f)\) case is the reason for the restriction that \( f(t)x^{2\delta}/\log x \) in Theorem 1.6, also this ensures that \( f \) is large enough to apply Maknys’ result in Theorem 3.1. We remark that conditional on the generalized Riemann Hypothesis, this restriction may be relaxed to \( x^{-1/2+\epsilon} \ll f \) for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) using the methods in [14]. However, those techniques do not allow one to separate the count of primes on either side of the Hasse interval, i.e. one could find the asymptotic count of primes \( p \leq x \) such that \( a_p \in (2c\sqrt{p} - f(p), 2\sqrt{p}) \cup (-2c\sqrt{p}, -2\sqrt{p}(c + f(p))) \), but not the count of primes for which \( a_p \) is in either interval in the union. We do not see how to bypass this limitation, and consequently we do not pursue this direction in more detail.
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